Case Report Coexistence of homologous-type cervical carcinosarcoma with endometrioid-type G1 endometrial cancer: a case report with an immunohistochemical study

Andrzej Semczuk¹, Eva Colas², Beata Walczyna³, Maciej Joźwik⁴, Andrzej Pyra⁵, Anna Semczuk-Sikora⁶, Tomasz Rechberger¹

¹IIND Department of Gynecology, Lublin Medical University, Lublin, Poland; ²Research Unit of Biomedicine and Translational Oncology, Vall d'Hebron University Hospital, Barcelona, Spain; ³Department of Clinical Pathology, Lublin Medical University, Lublin, Poland; ⁴Department of Gynecology, Bialystok Medical University, Bialystok, Poland; ⁵Obstetrics and Gynecology Chair, Municipal Hospital, Nowa Deba, Poland; ⁶Department of Obstetrics and Pathology of Pregnancy, Lublin Medical University, Lublin, Poland

Received August 20, 2014; Accepted September 15, 2014; Epub September 15, 2014; Published October 1, 2014

Abstract: Coexistence of two or even more independent primary tumors derived from the female genital tract organs is a unique event. The most common combination is the coexistence of synchronous tumors in the ovary and endometrium. In the present case study, we described a coincidence of homologous-type cervical carcinosarcoma (CS) with endometrioid-type G1 uterine adenocarcinoma (EC) arising on the basis of hyperplastic endometrium. A panel of immunohistochemical markers was applied, either in both CS components or in endometrioid-type EC, to assess possible differences between both uterine malignancies. We also presented a short overview of the coexistence of cervical carcinosarcomas with other female genital tract malignancies.

Keywords: Cervical carcinosarcoma, endometrial cancer, endometrial hyperplasia, immunohistochemisty

Introduction

Carcinosarcomas (CS) are extremely unique neoplasms, accounting below 1% of all the female genital tract malignancies worldwide [1]. They are composed of an intimate admixture of two malignant components, carcinomatous and sarcomatous. The carcinomatous element is generally of the endometrioid-type, whereas the sarcomatous one may be either homologous or heterologous [1, 2]. Four theories of their histogenesis have been proposed; in general, most cases represent metaplastic carcinomas, where the carcinomatous component is responsible for tumor invasiveness [3-5]. However, in vitro study by Gorai and coinvestigators [6] suggested the combination theory of CS development, where the stem cells give rise both to carcinomatous and sarcomatous components.

Singh et al. [7] reported that only 1-2% of women with gynecological malignancies are simultaneously affected by two or even more, independent, primary tumors originated from the female genital tract organs. The most common combination is the coexistence of synchronous tumors in the ovary and endometrium [7-9]. The coexistence of cervical CSs with other female genital tract malignancies is uncommon; only a few case reports have been published worldwide up to now [10, 11].

In the present case study, we reported a coincidence of homologous-type cervical CS with endometrioid-type G1 uterine adenocarcinoma (EC) arising on the basis of hyperplastic endometrium. A panel of IHC markers was also applied, either in CS components or in endometrioid-type EC, to analyze possible differences between both uterine malignancies.

	Cervical CS		Uterine adenocarcinoma
	Carcinoma	Sarcoma	Carcinoma
ER	Negative	Negative	Negative
PgR	Negative	Negative	Positive
AR	Negative	Negative	Negative
p53	Negative	Negative	Positive, 90%
Vimentin	Positive	Positive	Positive
MyoD	Negative	Negative	Negative
Cytokeratin	Positive	Negative	Positive
CD10	Positive, weak	Negative	Negative
Calretinin	Negative	Negative	Negative
CD34	Positive, weak	Negative	Positive, weak

Table 1. Summary of the immunostaining results in cervical CS and uterine adenocarcinoma

Clinical history and immunohistochemistry

In December 2013, a 57-year-old woman (gravida 9, para 8) was admitted to the IInd Department of Gynecology, Lublin Medical University, Lublin, Poland, with the diagnosis of CS of the uterine cervix. Two weeks before, she was hospitalized at the Gynecologic and Obstetrics Unit of the Municipal Hospital in Radzyn Podlaski, Poland, with abnormal uterine bleeding. Histopathological assessment of the material collected thereafter revealed a uterine cervix CS. Gynecologic speculum examination showed a hypertrophic vaginal mucosa with enlarged and distended uterine cervix fulfilled with enlarged masses. The uterus was slightly enlarged whereas the endometrial thickness was within the normal range (5 mm). Both ovaries were of normal size. She had last menstruation 5 years ago, and there was no family history of female genital tract malignancies. Her past medical history consisted of one cesarean section. She was also suffered from chronic arterial hypertension; antihypertensive drugs were continually prescribed. She had not been exposed to exogenous hormones within the last 10 years. Last cervical smear, performed 4 years ago, was normal. The tumor markers of CA 125 and CA 19.9 were 320 U/ml and 22 U/ml, respectively. Total abdominal hysterectomy with bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy and surgical staging (pelvic and para-aortic lymph nodes dissection, appendectomy, omentectomy and peritoneal washings) were performed. Histopathological assessment of the post-operative material revealed a homologous-type (leiomyosarcoma) cervical CS, infiltrating the endocervix with parametrial involvement and LVSI. In addition, complex endometrial hyperplasia with nuclear atypia coexisted with intramucosal, well-differentiated endometrioidtype EC has also been found out. There were no metastases, either to the lymph nodes, appendix or to the omentum. Peritoneal washings showed normal cells (lymphocytes, granulocytes, mesothelial cells and erythrocytes). The patient was staged IIB based on a new FIGO classification [12, 13]. The postoperative course was uneventful and the patient was discharged at day 9 and referred to the Oncology Hospital in Lublin, Poland. She underwent courses

of chemotherapy (adriamicin and cyclophosphamide), and additional imaging studies (USG, PET). There was no evidence of recurrence 7 months after the surgery.

A panel of IHC markers has been applied in order to evaluate the staining patterns of both female genital tract malignancies. Immunohistochemical results are depicted at **Table 1**. In general, most of immunohistochemical markers showed similar staining pattern in both components of uterine CS and uterine adenocarcinoma, apart from p53, PgR, cytokeratin, CD10 and CD34 immunoreactivity. Examples of immunohistochemical staining are shown at **Figure 1**.

Discussion

Synchronous appearance of two histologically independent tumors within the female genital tract is a unique event [7, 14, 15]. For gynecological pathologists as well as gynecological oncologists, it is of utmost important to "....recognize these combinations of tumors to avoid their misinterpretation as a combination of primary and metastatic tumors because of widely different management and prognostic implications" [7]. In the present study, synchronous primary homologous-type CS of the uterine cervix with uterine corpus adenocarcinoma has been reported. The first one composed of two unrelated malignant components, whereas the second one was consisted of atypical complex endometrial hyperplasia coexisting with welldifferentiated G1 endometrioid-type uterine adenocarcinoma (Figure 1). On reviewing the literature (Pubmed®), we did not find out the



Figure 1. Immunohistochemical staining of selected proteins in cervical CS and endometrioid-type EC-ER (A and B), PgR (C and D), p53 (E and F), AR (G and H), vimentin (I and J) and cytokeratin (K and L) (Original magnification × 200).

coexistence of uterine cervix CS with endometrioid-type EC, although rare cases encountered the simultaneous occurrence of cervical/ uterine CSs with other female genital tract malignancies [10, 11, 16-18]. For example, a 63-year-old woman affected by primary synchronous uterine CS and serous carcinoma of bilateral fallopian tubes was presented by Jain and Puri [11]. Two cases of collision of the endometrioid EC and stromal sarcoma of the uterus were previously published [16]. Interestingly. Japanese researchers described a case of hepatoid carcinoma of the uterus that was in collision with a uterine CS [17]. Even three pathologically different components of uterine malignancies (CS, uterine papillary serous carcinoma and endometrioid-type EC) were reported and a detailed review of the literature has also been presented [18].

D'Angelo and Prat [4] suggested "...frequent association of carcinosarcomas with otherwise typical endometrial adenocarcinomas within the same hysterectomy specimen...". In general, uterine CSs revealed more aggressive behavior compared even with high-risk epithelial ECs [19, 20]. They probably represent a distinct biologic entity and should not be incorporated in the studies of ECs [20]. cDNA microarray analysis of 29 uterine CSs and 66 endometrioid-type ECs displayed a distinct gene expression pattern in various histological subtypes of malignancies, reporting that "....greater expression of/GF2 and lower expression of MUC1, SCGB2A1, HOXB6 and TFF3 was observed in mixed mullerian tumor specimens when compared with endometrioid carcinomas" [21]. Based on microarray analysis, endometrioidtype ECs, uterine papillary serous and uterine CSs may develop in part *via* alternate genetic pathways [21].

Immunohistochemical evaluation of various protein markers play a role not only as a differential diagnostic tool but also as a matter of scientific investigation [18, 22-25]. The use of a panel of specific antibodies is generally recommended in routine gynecologic pathology [24, 25]. For example, application of IHC shares a new light in the differentiation diagnosis between simultaneously occurring independent carcinomas of endometrium and ovary versus cases of EC with ovarian metastases [26]. Results of different proteins immunoreactivity (ER, PgR, p53, cytokeratin, vimentin and MyoDs1) displayed various staining patterns in three histologically different collision neoplasms of the uterus [18]. Although similar

staining patterns of uterine CSs support a monoclonal origin of this case study, a significant different IHC results were observed between sarcomatous CS component and EC [18]. Differences of IHC between carcinomatous and sarcomatous elements of uterine CS has previously been published [23]. Currently, differences between cervical CS and type I EC in spite of IHC markers (particularly of PgR and p53) may suggest both tumors develop independently and share different genetic/immunologic alterations. It will be of utmost import for our future study to evaluate p53-pathway alterations, in both elements of uterine CS as well as in endometrioid-type EC, at the IHC and genetic levels.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge Mrs Mariola Bigas and Mrs Marian Nowak for their excellent immunostaining analysis and to Mr Robert Klepacz for high-quality photographs. This study was supported by a grant from the Lublin Medical University, Lublin, Poland (Dz. St. 326/14 to A.S.).

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Andrzej Semczuk, IInd Department of Gynecology, Lublin Medical University, 8 Jaczewski Street, Lublin 20-954, Poland. Tel: +48 81 7244268; Fax: +48 81 7244849; E-mail: andrzej.semczuk@am.lublin.pl

References

- Arend R, Doneza JA and Wright JD. Uterine carcinosarcoma. Curr Opin Oncol 2011; 23: 531-536.
- [2] Kanthan R and Senger JL. Uterine carcinosarcomas (malignant mixed mullerian tumors): a review with special emphasis on the controversies in management. Obstet Gynecol Int 2011; 2011: 470795.
- [3] McCluggage WG. Malignant biphasic uterine tumors: carcinosarcomas or metaplastic carcinomas? J Clin Pathol 2002; 55: 321-325.
- [4] D'Angelo E and Prat J. Pathology of mixed Mullerian tumors. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynecol 2011; 25: 705-718.
- [5] Semczuk A, Ignatov A, Obrzut B, Reventos J and Rechberger T. Role of p53 pathway alterations in uterine carcinosarcomas (Malignant Mixed Mullerian Tumors). Oncology 2014; 87: 193-204.

- [6] Gorai I, Yanagibashi T, Taki A, Udagawa K, Miyagi E, Nakazawa T, Hirahara F, Nagashima Y and Minaguchi H. Uterine carcinosarcoma is derived from a single stem cell: an *in vitro* study. Int J Cancer 1997; 72: 821-827.
- [7] Singh N. Synchronous tumors of the female genital tract. Histopathology 2010; 56: 277-285.
- [8] Soliman PT, Slomovitz BM, Broaddus RR, Sun CC, Oh JC, Eifel PJ, Gershenson DM and Lu KH. Synchronous primary cancers of the endometrium and ovary: a single institution review of 84 cases. Gynecol Oncol 2004; 94: 456-462.
- [9] Saatli B, Yildirim N, Ozay AC, Koyuncuoglu M, Demirkan B and Saygili U. Synchronous tumors of the female genital tract: a 20-year experience in a single center. Ginekol Pol 2014; 85: 441-445.
- [10] Gaertner EM, Farley JH, Taylor RR and Silver SA. Collision of uterine rhabdoid tumor with endometrioid adenocarcinoma: a case report with review of the literature. Int J Gynecol Pathol 1999; 18: 396-401.
- [11] Jain M and Puri V. Synchronous carcinosarcoma uterus and primary serous carcinoma of bilateral fallopian tubes: a case report. J Reprod Med 2013; 58: 361-364.
- [12] Pecorelli S. Revised FIGO staging for carcinoma of the vulva, cervix, and endometrium. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2009; 105: 103-104.
- [13] Zalewski K, Doniec J, Baranowski W and Bidzinski M. Revised FIGO staging systems for gynecologic malignancies - 2009 update. Ginekol Pol 2010; 81: 778-782.
- [14] Ayhan A, Yalcin OT, Tuncer ZS, Gurgan T and Kucukali T. Synchronous primary malignancies of the female genital tract. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 1992; 45: 63-66.
- [15] Walsh C, Holschneider C, Hoang Y, Tieu K, Karlan B and Cass I. Coexisting ovarian malignancy in young women with endometrial cancer. Obstet Gynecol 2005; 106: 693-699.
- [16] Lam KY, Khoo US and Cheung A. Collision of endometrioid carcinoma and stromal sarcoma of the uterus: a report of two cases. Int J Gynecol Pathol 1999; 18: 77-81.
- [17] Takahashi Y and Inoue T. Hepatoid carcinoma of the uterus that collided with carcinosarcoma. Pathol Int 2003; 53: 323-326.
- [18] Jang KS, Lee WM, Kim YJ and Cho SH. Collision of three histologically distinct endometrial cancers of the uterus. J Korean Med Sci 2012; 27: 89-92.
- [19] Amant F, Cardon I, Fuso L, Berteloot P, de Jonge E, Jacomen G, Van Robaeys J, Neven P, Moerman P and Vergote I. Endometrial carcinosarcomas have a different prognosis and pattern of spread compared to high-risk epithelial endometrial cancer. Gynecol Oncol 2005; 98: 274-280.

- [20] Bansal N, Herzog TJ, Seshan VE, Schiff PB, Burke WM, Cohen CJ and Wright JD. Uterine carcinosarcomas and grade 3 endometrioid cancers: evidence for district tumor behavior. Obstet Gynecol 2008; 112: 64-70.
- [21] Maxwell GL, Chandramouli GV, Dainty L, Litzi TJ, Berchuck A, Barrett JC and Risinger JI. Microarray analysis of endometrial carcinomas and mixed mullerian tumors reveals distinct gene expression profiles associated with different histological types of uterine cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2005; 11: 4056-4066.
- [22] Watanabe M, Shimizu K, Kato H, Imai H, Nakano H, Sugawa M and Shiraishi T. Carcinosarcoma of the uterus: immunohistochemical and genetic analysis of clonality of one case. Gynecol Oncol 2001; 82: 563-567.
- [23] Semczuk A, Skomra D, Chyzynska M, Szewczuk W, Olcha P and Korobowicz E. Immunohistochemical analysis of carcinomatous and sarcomatous components in the uterine carcinosarcoma: a case report. Pathol Res Pract 2008; 204: 203-207.

- [24] Schutter J, Atkins KA, Ghartey K and Herzog TJ. Clinical applications of immunohistochemistry in gynecological malignancies. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2007; 17: 311-315.
- [25] Mittal K, Soslow R, McCluggage WG. Application of imnohistochemistry to gynecologic pathology. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2008; 132: 402-423.
- [26] Halperin R, Zehav S, Hadas E, Halber L, Bukovsky I and Schneider D. Simultaneous carcinoma of the endometrium and ovary vs. endometrial carcinoma with ovarian metastases: a clinical and immunohistochemical determination. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2003; 13: 32-37.