Original Article Down-regulation of long non-coding RNA LET is associated with poor prognosis in gastric cancer

Bing Zhou¹, Xiao-Yue Jing¹, Jie-Qing Wu², Hui-Fang Xi³, Guang-Jian Lu⁴

¹Department of General Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xinxiang Medical University, Xinxiang Medical University, Weihui 453100, China; ²Department of Oncology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xinxiang Medical University, Xinxiang Medical University, Weihui 453100, China; ³Department of Pediatrics, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xinxiang Medical University, Xinxiang Medical University, Weihui 453100, China; ⁴Department of Clinical Laboratory Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xinxiang Medical University, Xinxiang Medical University, Weihui 453100, China

Received September 29, 2014; Accepted November 26, 2014; Epub December 1, 2014; Published December 15, 2014

Abstract: Introduction: Long non-coding RNAs (IncRNAs) have emerged recently as major players in tumor biology and may be used for cancer diagnosis, prognosis, and potential therapeutic targets. Although down-regulation of IncRNA LET in several cancers has been studied, its role in gastric cancer remains unknown. The aim of our study was to investigate the expression, and clinical significance of IncRNA LET in gastric cancer. Methods: The expression of IncRNA LET was detected by quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) in pairs of tumor tissues and adjacent non-tumor tissues of 93 gastric cancer patients. Then, we analyzed the potential relationship between IncRNA LET expression levels in tumor tissues and clinicopathological features of gastric cancer, and clinical outcome. Results: We found that IncRNA LET expression was markedly down-regulated in tumor tissues compared with adjacent nontumor tissues, and associated with depth of invasion, lymph node metastasis, distant metastasis, and TNM stage. Kaplan-Meier analysis showed that patients with low IncRNA LET expression had a poor overall survival than those with high IncRNA LET expression. Moreover, univariate and multivariate analyses showed that low IncRNA LET expression was an independent poor prognostic factor for gastric cancer patients. Conclusions: Our data provided the first evidence that IncRNA LET might be a novel prognostic indicator in gastric cancer and might be a potential target for diagnosis and gene therapy.

Keywords: Gastric cancer, long non coding RNA, LET, prognosis

Introduction

Gastric cancer is the second leading cause of cancer death, and is the most common gastrointestinal malignancy in China [1, 2]. Although the majority of the patients at an early stage of gastric carcinoma can be cured by surgery, more than half of those at an advanced stage of the disease die of carcinoma recurrence, even after undergoing curative gastrectomy [3]. Thus, the overall 5-year survival rate is about 40%, and that of the patients with distant metastasis is less than 5% [4, 5]. Therefore, new markers with high sensitivity and specificity for gastric cancer detection and better progression control targets are urgent needed.

It is well known that protein coding genes account for only 2% of the total genome, where-

as the vast majority of the human genome can be transcripted into non coding RNAs (ncRNA) [6]. Among them are long non coding RNAs (lncRNAs), which are more than 200 nucleotides in length and unable to be translated into proteins [7]. LncRNAs are known to play important roles in cellular development, differentiation, and many other biological processes [8-10]. Multiple lines of evidence have revealed the contribution of lncRNAs as having oncogenic and tumor suppressor roles in tumorigenesis [11].

Recent studies indicated that IncRNA LET was down-regulated in several cancers such as hepatocellular carcinomas, colorectal cancer, and gallbladder cancer, which play key roles in tumor development and progression [12-14].



Figure 1. qRT-PCR analysis of IncRNA LET expression in gastric cancer. IncRNA LET was significantly downregulated in gastric cancer tissues compared to the adjacent non-tumor tissues. Δ Ct method was used to measure the relative IncRNA LET expression, which was normalized by the GAPDH expression level. **P* < 0.05.

However, the relationship between expression of IncRNA LET and gastric cancer development and/or progression remains unclear.

In our current study, we examined the expression level of IncRNA LET in gastric cancer tissues and adjacent non-tumor tissues. The relationships between its expression and clinicopathological features were then analyzed so as to evaluate whether IncRNA LET expression could be a useful biomarker for prognosis in gastric cancer patients.

Materials and methods

Patients and specimens

A total of 93 gastric cancer samples were obtained from patients who had underwent surgery at The First Affiliated Hospital of Xinxiang Medical University between 2007 and 2009, and were diagnosed with gastric cancer according AJCC cancer staging manual based on histopathological evaluation [15]. Clinical pathology information was available for all samples (**Table 1**). No local or systemic treatment was conducted in these patients before the operation. All specimens were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen until use. The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Xinxiang Medical University. Informed consents were obtained from all patients.

RNA extraction and qRT-PCR analyses

Total RNA was extracted from tissues using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). For qRT-PCR, RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA by using a Reverse Transcription Kit (Takara). Real-time PCR analyses were performed with Power SYBR Green (Takara). Results were normalized to the expression of GAPDH. The PCR primers for IncRNA LET or GAPDH were as follows: IncRNA LET sense, 5'-CCTTCCTGACAGCCAGTGTG-3' and reverse, 5'-CAGAATGGAAATACTGGAGCA-AG-3': GAPDH sense, 5'-GTCAACGGATTTGGT-CTGTATT-3' and reverse, 5'-AGTCTTCTGGGTG-GCAGTGAT-3'. gRT-PCR and data collection were performed on ABI 7900. The relative expression of IncRNA LET was calculated and normalized using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method relative to GAPDH.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 18.0 software (IBM). The statistical significance between groups was determined using the Student's t test. Association between expression level of IncRNA LET and each clinicopathologic parameter was evaluated using Pearson's Chi-square test. Patient survival was evaluated using the Kaplan-Meier method and compared using logrank test. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses were performed to analyze the survival data. The data are shown as the mean ± SD from at least three independent experiments. A two-sided P value of less than 0.05 was considered to statistically significant.

Results

Expression of IncRNA LET is downregulated in human gastric cancer tissues

We firstly examined IncRNA LET expression level in 93 paired gastric cancer samples and adjacent non-tumor tissues by qRT-PCR, and normalized to GAPDH. **Figure 1** showed that the IncRNA LET level was significantly down-regulated in gastric cancer tissues compared with corresponding adjacent non-tumor tissues (P < 0.05). The data indicate that abnormal IncRNA LET expression may be related to gastric cancer pathogenesis.

Clinicopathological features	Total	LncRNA LET	Dualus	
		Low	High	P value
Age (years)				0.559
< 60	41	18	23	
≥60	52	26	26	
Gender				0.141
Male	60	25	35	
Female	33	19	14	
Tumor size (cm)				0.537
< 5	35	18	17	
≥5	58	26	32	
Differentiation				0.723
Well	27	12	15	
Moderate + Poor	66	32	34	
Depth of invasion				0.000
T1 + T2	36	8	28	
T3 + T4	57	36	21	
Lymph node metastasis				0.000
No	51	12	39	
Yes	42	32	10	
Distant metastasis				0.000
No	48	13	35	
Yes	45	31	14	
TNM stage				0.001
+	47	14	33	
III + IV	46	30	16	

 Table 1. Association of IncRNA LET with clinicopathological features

IncRNA LET expression and clinicopathologic factors in gastric cancer

To assess the correlation of IncRNA LET expression with clinicopathologic data, the expression levels of IncRNA LET in tumor tissues were categorized as low or high in relation to the mean value. Clinicopathologic factors were analyzed in the high and low IncRNA LET expression groups (**Table 1**). The low IncRNA LET group was correlated with deeper depth of invasion, more lymph node metastasis, more distant metastasis, and higher TNM stage (P < 0.05) than the high IncRNA LET expression group. However, IncRNA LET expression level was not associated with other clinicopathologic factors such as age, gender, tumor size, and differentiation (P > 0.05) (**Table 1**).

Correlation between IncRNA LET expression and prognosis of gastric cancer patients

We further examined whether IncRNA LET expression level correlated with outcome of

gastric cancer patients after gastrectomy. Overall survival (OS) curves were plotted according to Inc-RNA LET expression level by the Kaplan-Meier analysis and log-rank test, the results were presented in **Figure 2**, patients with low IncRNA LET expression level had poorer overall survival than the high IncRNA LET expression group (P < 0.05). Our data demonstrated that down-regulated expression of IncRNA LET in gastric cancer was significantly correlated with patients' survival time.

In order to estimate the clinical significance of various prognostic factors that might influence survival in the study population, univariate analyses was performed for OS in 93 patients with gastric cancer, respectively. As shown in Table 2. depth of invasion, lymph node metastasis, distant metastasis, TNM stage, and IncRNA LET expression were statistically significant risk factors affecting OS of gastric cancer patients. The other clinicopathological features, such as age, gender, tumor size and differentiation were not statistically significant prognosis factors (P > 0.05). Low intratumoral IncRNA LET expression

is a significant negative predictor for OS (P < 0.05). To evaluate the robustness of the prognostic value of intratumoral lncRNA LET expression, variables with a value of P < 0.05 were selected for multivariate analysis. As shown in **Table 2**, multivariate analysis revealed that lncRNA LET expression depth of invasion, lymph node metastasis, distant metastasis, and TNM stage were independent prognostic markers for gastric cancer. Taken together, these data indicated that low lncRNA LET expression level was an independent risk factor for gastric cancer patients.

Discussion

Accurate prediction of the prognosis for the individual gastric cancer patient is of great importance, and molecular biomarkers that could be served as prognostic factors would be useful in determining an individualized treatment plan for a gastric cancer patient [16]. However, the biomarkers used in this tumor



Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis of association between IncRNA LET expression level and overall survival of gastric cancer patients. Patients with low expression of IncRNA LET showed decreased overall survival compared with patients with high level of IncRNA LET expression. Survival curves were compared using log-rank test.

group today are not satisfactory [17], and it is needed to exploit additional markers to finetune this process.

Previous investigations indicated that IncRNAs were involved in multiple cellular functions including proliferation, apoptosis and differentiation, thus, IncRNAs have been implemented in diverse physiological and pathological processes ranging from development to cancer. For example, Rainish's data showed that IncRNA HOTAIR was increased in expression in primary breast tumors and metastases, and HOTAIR expression level in primary tumors was a powerful predictor of eventual metastasis and death, in vitro assay, they demonstrated that HOTAIR exerts its oncogenic functions via binding the PRC2 (polycomb repressive complex 2), which methylates histone H3 on K27 to promote gene repression [18]. Zhang found that IncRNA ANRIL was up-regulated and served as an independent predictor for overall survival of human gastric cancer, Further experiments revealed that E2F1 could induce ANRIL and ANRIL-mediated growth promotion is in part due to epigenetic repression of miR-99a/

miR-449a in Trans by binding to PRC2 [19]. Liu et al. showed that IncRNA GAS5 expression was downregulated in bladder cancer: furthermore, gain-offunction and loss-of-function studies showed that GAS5 could inhibit bladder cancer cell proliferation [20]. Oin demonstrated that IncRNA TSLC1-AS1 expression was down-regulated in glioma tumor, over-expression of TSL-C1-AS1 resulted in inhibition of cell proliferation, migration and invasion in U8 cells, which indicated that IncRNA TSLC1-AS1 may serve as a potential biomarker and therapeutic target for glioma [21]. However, the relationship between IncRNA and cancer patient prognosis remains largely unknown.

LncRNA LET was previously shown to be down-regulated and function as a tumor sup-

pressor in primary hepatocellular carcinoma, they also demonstrated that the down-regulation of IncRNA LET was vital in the stabilization of nuclear factor 90 protein, which leads to hypoxia-induced cancer cell invasion [12], Ma revealed that IncRNA LET was significantly down-regulated in gallbladder cancer compared to their adjacent normal tissues. Meanwhile, patients with low expression of IncRNA LET have significantly poorer prognosis than those with high expression [13]. This common characteristic thus strengthened the clinical application value of IncRNA LET. Therefore, we hypothesized that IncRNA LET expression was also decreased in gastric cancer tissues and decreased of this IncRNA could predict the prognosis of gastric cancer patients.

To test this hypothesis, tissue samples from 93 patients with gastric cancer were selected. The qRT-PCR showed that IncRNA LET was significantly down-regulated in gastric cancer tissues compared to the adjacent non-tumor tissues. We then used the mean expression level of IncRNA LET as a cutoff to divide the 93 patients into the IncRNA LET low group and IncRNA LET

Clinicopathological feature	Un	Univariate analysis			Multivariate analysis			
	Risk ratio	95% CI	Р	Risk ratio	95% CI	Р		
Age (years)								
≥ 60 vs. < 60	1.362	0.614-2.325	0.406					
Gender								
Male vs. Female	0.894	0.493-1.524	0.275					
Tumor size								
≥ 5 cm vs. < 5 cm	1.571	0.847-2.386	0.337					
Differentiation								
Moderate + Poor vs. Well	2.1745	0.674-3.738	0.397					
Depth of invasion								
T3 + T4 vs. T1 + T2	1.974	0.813-3.426	0.029	1.663	0.697-3.215	0.017		
Lymph node metastasis								
Yes vs. No	2.607	0.718-5.326	0.013	2.402	0631-5.174	0.009		
Distant metastasis								
Yes vs. No	3.017	1.429-6.617	0.009	2.826	1.374-6.036	0.011		
TNM stage								
+ V vs. +	3.618	1.495-7.214	0.012	3.177	1.318-6.915	0.008		
LncRNA LET								
Low vs. High	2.513	1.414-5.847	< 0.001	2.275	1.301-5.176	0.007		

 Table 2. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis of factors associated with clinicopathological features

high group to further investigate the association between IncRNA LET expression and clinicopathological characteristics. Patients with low expression of IncRNA LET showed deeper depth of invasion, more lymph node metastasis, more distant metastases and higher TNM stage than the IncRNA LET high group. These results indicated that down-regulation of IncRNA LET might play key roles in gastric cancer progression and development.

As IncRNA LET expression was found to be associated with gastric cancer invasion and metastasis, considering the invasion of cancer to nearby tissues and metastasis to distal tissues are crucial factors affecting the prognosis of patients, IncRNA LET might be a potential prognostic marker for patients with gastric cancer. In order to investigate the prognostic role of IncRNA LET on gastric cancer, we performed Kaplan-Meier analysis of overall survival. Results showed that patients with gastric cancer of low IncRNA LET expression tend to have worse overall survival in comparison to patients with high expression. To further evaluate the prognostic value of IncRNA LET in gastric cancer, we performed Cox proportional hazards model, our results proved that decreased IncRNA LET expression was an independent prognostic marker for predicting the poor prognosis of gastric cancer patients. Thus, IncRNA LET expression could be used as a molecular prognostic factor to identify patients who are more likely to have higher risk of death.

In conclusion, we have proved that IncRNA LET expression was decreased in gastric cancer and associated with tumor progression. The present study also demonstrated for the first time that IncRNA LET expression was an independent prognostic factor of patients with gastric cancer. Therefore, it is possible that IncRNA LET may play an important role in invasiveness and metastasis of gastric cancer. It is also possible that IncRNA LET serves as prognostic marker in clinical practice and a new therapeutic method for the treatment of gastric cancer.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Xiao-Yue Jing, Department of General Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xinxiang Medical University, Xinxiang Medical University, Weihui 453100, China. E-mail: xiaoyuejing05@126.com

References

- Siegel R, Naishadham D and Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2013. CA Cancer J Clin 2013; 63: 11-30.
- [2] Jing JJ, Liu HY, Hao JK, Wang LN, Wang YP, Sun LH and Yuan Y. Gastric cancer incidence and mortality in Zhuanghe, China, between 2005 and 2010. World J Gastroenterol 2012; 18: 1262-9.
- [3] Nakajima T. Gastric cancer treatment guidelines in Japan. Gastric Cancer 2002; 5: 1-5.
- [4] Crew KD and Neugut AI. Epidemiology of gastric cancer. World J Gastroenterol 2006; 12: 354-362.
- [5] Yang L. Incidence and mortality of gastric cancer in China. World J Gastroenterol 2006; 12: 17-20.
- [6] Esteller M. Non-coding RNAs in human disease. Nat Rev Genet 2011; 12: 861-874.
- [7] Mercer TR, Dinger ME and Mattick JS. Long non-coding RNAs: insights into functions. Nat Rev Genet 2009; 10: 155-159.
- [8] Batista PJ and Chang HY. Long noncoding RNAs: cellular address codes in development and disease. Cell 2013; 152: 1298-1307.
- [9] Cesana M, Cacchiarelli D, Legnini I, Santini T, Sthandier O, Chinappi M, Tramontano A and Bozzoni I. A long noncoding RNA controls muscle differentiation by functioning as a competing endogenous RNA. Cell 2011; 147: 358-369.
- [10] Ponting CP, Oliver PL and Reik W. Evolution and functions of long noncoding RNAs. Cell 2009; 136: 629-641.
- [11] Prensner JR and Chinnaiyan AM. The emergence of IncRNAs in cancer biology. Cancer Discov 2011; 1: 391-407.
- [12] Yang F, Huo XS, Yuan SX, Zhang L, Zhou WP, Wang F and Sun SH. Repression of the long noncoding RNA-LET by histone deacetylase 3 contributes to hypoxia-mediated metastasis. Mol Cell 2013; 49: 1083-1096.
- [13] Ma MZ, Kong X, Weng MZ, Zhang MD, Qin YY, Gong W, Zhang WJ and Quan ZW. Long noncoding RNALET is a positive prognostic factor and exhibits-tumorsuppressive activity in gallbladder cancer. Mol Carcinog 2014; [Epub ahead of print].

- [14] He Y, Meng XM, Huang C, Wu BM, Zhang L, Lv XW and Li J. Long noncoding RNAs: Novel insights into hepatocelluar carcinoma. Cancer Lett 2014; 344: 20-27.
- [15] Egner JR. AJCC cancer staging manual. JAMA 2010; 304: 1726-1727.
- [16] Ueda T, Volinia S, Okumura H, Shimizu M, Taccioli C, Rossi S, Alder H, Liu CG, Oue N and Yasui W. Relation between microRNA expression and progression and prognosis of gastric cancer: a microRNA expression analysis. Lancet Oncol 2010; 11: 136-146.
- [17] Pietrantonio F, De Braud F, Da Prat V, Perrone F, Pierotti MA, Gariboldi M, Fanetti G, Biondani P, Pellegrinelli A, Bossi I and Di Bartolomeo M. A review on biomarkers for prediction of treatment outcome in gastric cancer. Anticancer Res 2013; 33: 1257-1266.
- [18] Gupta RA, Shah N, Wang KC, Kim J, Horlings HM, Wong DJ, Tsai MC, Hung T, Argani P, Rinn JL, Wang Y, Brzoska P, Kong B, Li R, West RB, van de Vijver MJ, Sukumar S and Chang HY. Long non-coding RNA HOTAIR reprograms chromatin state to promote cancer metastasis. Nature 2010; 464: 1071-1076.
- [19] Zhang EB, Kong R, Yin DD, You LH, Sun M, Han L, Xu TP, Xia R, Yang JS, De W and Chen J. Long noncoding RNA ANRIL indicates a poor prognosis of gastric cancer and promotes tumor growth by epigenetically silencing of miR-99a/ miR-449a. Oncotarget 2014; 5: 2276-2292.
- [20] Liu Z, Wang W, Jiang J, Bao E, Xu D, Zeng Y, Tao L and Qiu J. Downregulation of GAS5 promotes bladder cancer cell proliferation, partly by regulating CDK6. PLoS One 2013; 8: e73991.
- [21] Qin X, Yao J, Geng P, Fu X, Xue J and Zhang Z. LncRNA TSLC1-AS1 is a novel tumor suppressor in glioma. Int J Clin Exp Pathol 2014; 7: 3065.