Original Article miR-200a/miR-141 and miR-205 upregulation might be associated with hormone receptor status and prognosis in endometrial carcinomas

Ying Dong^{1,2}, Jing-Wen Si¹, Wen-Ting Li², Li Liang¹, Jian Zhao³, Mei Zhou², Dong Li¹, Ting Li¹

¹Department of Pathology, Peking University First Hospital, Beijing, China; ²Department of Pathology, Xinjiang Medical University, Cancer Hospital, Urumqi, Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region, China; ³Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Peking University First Hospital, Beijing, China

Received December 21, 2014; Accepted February 21, 2015; Epub March 1, 2015; Published March 15, 2015

Abstract: The aim of this study was to compare the clinicopathological significance of miR-200a/miR-141 and miR-205 expression in endometrioid carcinomas (ECs) versus nonendometrioid carcinomas (NECs) and to assess their correlation with hormone receptor status. miR-200a/miR-141 and miR-205 expression in 154 endometrial cancers was determined by qRT-PCR. The status of estrogen and progesterone receptor (ER/PR) was assessed using immunohistochemistry. miR-200a/miR-141 and miR-205 increased significantly in ECs and in NECs. The expression level of miR-200a was significantly higher in NECs than in ECs (P = 0.025). Furthermore, there was a trend that NECs with worse clinicopathological variables had a higher miR-200a expression, while an inverse trend existed in ECs. miR-205 upregulation occurred frequently in NECs without lymph node metastases (P = 0.030), whereas such association was not present in ECs. Interestingly, In ECs, miR-200a/miR-141 upregulation occurred frequently in the hormone receptor positive subgroups than the negative subgroups (P < 0.05). Similarly, the expression level of miR-205 was higher in the hormone receptor positive subgroups and the association between miR-205 and PR reached statistical significance (P = 0.024). In contrast, in NECs, a negative correlation was found between miR-200a/miR-141 and ER or PR status. Meanwhile, in ECs, miR-200a upregulation correlated with prolonged survival in the ER positive subgroup (P = 0.046), whereas an inverse trend existed in the ER negative subgroup. Our findings suggest that miR-200a/miR-141 and miR-205 increased significantly in ECs and in NECs. However, they might behave differently in ECs versus NECs. miR-200a/miR-141 and miR-205 might be associated with hormone receptor status in endometrial cancer and may possess prognostic impacts.

Keywords: Endometrial carcinoma, miR-200a/miR-141, miR-205, ER, PR

Introduction

Endometrial carcinoma is the most common malignancy of the female genital tract. On the basis of clinical behavior and etiology, endometrial cancers have long been categorized into 2 major subtypes [1]. Type I tumors (approximately 80%) are endometrioid carcinomas (ECs), express estrogen and progesterone receptors (ER, PR), and usually follow a favorable course. In contrast, type II (10%-20%) tumors are nonendometrioid carcinomas (NECs) with poor prognosis and are not associated with estrogen excess. Although ECs has a relatively low mortality rate, some tumors are aggressive and insensitive to surgery, chemotherapy or radiation therapy. In fact, little knowledge of the biological differences is available to predict endometrial caner outcomes besides their pathological distinctions.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small non-coding RNA elements that control cellular function by modulating the stability and translation of multiple target messenger RNAs (mRNAs) at the posttranscriptional level [2]. To date, among the differentially expressed miRNAs, miR-200 family (miR-200a/miR-141, miR-200b/miR200c/miR-429) and miR-205 are most upregulated in endometrioid samples compared to benign tissues [3, 4]. However, the difference of these miRNA expression and their implied roles in ECs versus NECs have not been extensively evaluated. Previously, based on limited number of patient samples including 15 NECs, we found that the expression levels of miR-200a/miR-

) /a wia la la	No. of cases			
variable	ECs	NECs		
Total	102	52		
Age	median 53.5 years, range 33-77	median 58 years, range 39-80		
Grade (ECs)				
Low	73 (71.6%)	/		
High	29 (28.4%)	/		
Myometrial invasion ^a				
< 1/2	70 (78.7%)	29 (61.7%)		
≥ 1/2	19 (21.3%)	18 (38.3%)		
Lymph node metastases ^b				
No	44 (84.6%)	30 (85.7%)		
Yes	8 (15.4%)	5 (14.3%)		
Vessel invasion ^a				
No	75 (84.3%)	35 (74.5%)		
Yes	14 (15.7%)	12 (25.5%)		
Stageª				
I and II	79 (88.8%)	34 (72.3%)		
III and IV	10 (11.2%)	13 (27.7%)		
ER				
Negative	23 (22.5%)	34 (65.4%)		
Positive	79 (77.5%)	18 (34.6%)		
PR				
Negative	22 (21.6%)	38 (73.1%)		
Positive	80 (78.4%)	14 (26.9%)		

Tabla 1	Cliniconothological	footuroo of 151	andometrial	aarainamaa
ladie 1.	CINICODATIOODEICAL	leatures of 154	endomernar	carcinomas
	e		00.00	0000

^a89 ECs patients and 47 NECs patients received hysterectomy; ^b52 ECs patients and 35 NECs patients received lymphadenectomy.

141 and miR-205 significantly increased in ECs compared with normal controls, but did not in NECs. However, this finding was not consistent with several previous studies [5-8]. On the other hand, recent studies have suggested that there maybe a link between deregulated miR-NAs and steroid hormones in malignancies. Limited studies have been published on the miR-200 family in connection with breast cancer and hormone receptor status, but few focused on endometrial cancer [9]. Interestingly, in the present study, sequence analyses demonstrated estrogen and progesterone receptor binding elements in the promoter region of miR-200a/miR-141 and miR-205. In addition, the complementary sites of miR-200a/miR-141 and miR-205 were identified in the 3'UTR or CDS of ER and PR mRNA. As both hormone receptor (HR) and miRNAs have the ability to regulate genes, their interaction within cancer may take on many forms. In some cases, HR can influence expression of various miRNAs. Conversely the miRNA can regulate expression of an HR directly or regulate HR signaling by targeting the mRNAs of HR co-regulators and target genes [10, 11].

Together, these uncertainties promote us to further characterize the expression pattern of miR-200a/miR-141 and miR-205 in a larger cohort of endometrial cancers. We hypothesized that miR-200a/miR-141 and miR-205 alterations may be associated with hormone receptor status and may possess prognostic utility.

Materials and methods

Patients and samples

Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue samples of 154 endometrial carcinomas (102 endometrioid carcinomas, 52 serous carcinomas) collected between 2001 and 2014 were obtained from the Surgical Pathology files of the First Hospital, Peking University, China.



Figure 1. miR-200a/miR-141 and miR-205 alterations in endometrial cancers. A. The upregulation of miR-200a, miR-141 and miR-205 coexisted in majority of endometrial cancers. B. The expression levels of miR-200a, miR-141 and miR-205 were significantly increased in ECs as well as in NECs. In particular, miR-200a was significantly upregulated in NECs than in ECs. C. In NECs, miR-205 expression was significantly associated with the absence of lymph node metastases and the phenomenon was not present in ECs.

The clinicopathological features of all the patients are shown in Table 1. Twenty-six unmatched endometrial samples served as normal controls. Some endometrial carcinomas (58 ECs and 15 NECs) have been the subject of our earlier investigation [12]. The endometrial carcinoma cases were reviewed and classified using the 2014 World Health Organization criteria [13]. Tumors were staged according to the 2009 International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) guidelines [14]. Additionally, inclusion criteria for all cases included the absence of any treatment prior to surgery. In the 154 cases, follow-up information was obtained for 79 patients. 72 (91.1%) Patients were alive without clinical evidence of tumor at a median interval of 25 months (range, 2-117 months). The study was approved by the institutional ethics committee.

RNA preparation and quantitative real-time PCR

Tumor samples from corresponding FFPE blocks were cut into $20-\mu m$ fragments and total

RNA samples were extracted from the FFPE tissue using RNeasy FFPE kit (Qiagen, Crawley, UK) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The quality of the total RNA was measured using a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington DE, USA). Reverse transcription and qRT-PCR amplification were performed in two steps. In the first reverse transcription step, 10 ng of RNA was used in reactions with specific stemloop RT primer for miR-200a (ABI#000502), miR-141 (ABI#000463), miR-205 (ABI#000-509), and endogenous control primer for small nuclear RNA U6 (ABI#001973). Reaction was performed with TaqMan MicroRNA Reverse Transcription Kit, according to the manufacturer's protocol (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). In the second step, cDNA samples were amplified in Real Time PCR instrument 7500 (Applied Biosystems) with the specific TaqMan miR-200a, miR-141, and miR-205 assay and small nuclear RNA U6 as endogenous control. All reactions were run in triplicate, and the average threshold cycle and SD values were calculated. The relative quantity (RQ) of each miRNA

	Log ₂ (Relative Quantity) Mean ± SE						
Variable	ECs		NECs				
-	miR-200a	miR-141	miR-205	miR-200a	miR-141	miR-205	
Grade (ECs)							
Low	3.96 ± 0.35	3.74 ± 0.33	4.29 ± 0.44	/	/	/	
High	3.44 ± 0.61	3.17 ± 0.58	4.93 ± 0.53	/	/	/	
р	0.447	0.369	0.415	/	/	/	
Myometrial invasion							
< 1/2	3.57 ± 0.36	3.27 ± 0.35	4.29 ± 0.41	4.86 ± 1.13	4.16 ± 0.90	4.05 ± 0.66	
≥ 1/2	3.50 ± 0.72	3.63 ± 0.49	4.26 ± 1.04	5.65 ± 1.43	4.39 ± 1.09	4.35 ± 0.88	
р	0.921	0.622	0.975	0.663	0.876	0.787	
Lymph node metastases							
No	4.32 ± 0.46	3.72 ± 0.45	4.06 ± 0.62	5.37 ± 1.00	4.71 ± 0.83	4.45 ± 0.60	
Yes	3.82 ± 1.20	3.45 ± 1.03	4.00 ± 0.90	5.74 ± 1.22	4.85 ± 0.78	2.52 ± 1.38	
р	0.679	0.818	0.971	0.884	0.947	0.233	
Vessel invasion							
No	3.63 ± 0.34	3.38 ± 0.33	4.27 ± 0.44	4.93 ± 1.08	4.18 ± 0.85	4.24 ± 0.64	
Yes	3.16 ± 1.01	3.15 ± 0.73	4.38 ± 0.70	5.83 ± 1.40	4.45 ± 1.07	3.93 ± 0.87	
р	0.599	0.780	0.916	0.660	0.869	0.798	
Stage							
I and II	3.62 ± 0.33	3.32 ± 0.32	4.26 ± 0.42	4.31 ± 1.08	3.81 ± 0.82	4.06 ± 0.55	
III and IV	3.09 ± 1.22	3.49 ± 0.82	4.44 ± 0.76	7.38 ± 1.28	5.38 ± 1.25	4.44 ± 1.27	
р	0.609	0.865	0.887	0.119	0.312	0.746	
ER							
Negative	3.99 ± 0.72	3.00 ± 0.78	3.41 ± 0.91	6.09 ± 0.83	4.44 ± 0.76	4.40 ± 0.59	
Positive	3.77 ± 0.34	3.75 ± 0.29	4.79 ± 0.36	4.01 ± 1.80	4.35 ± 1.27	4.41 ± 0.92	
р	0.763	0.277	0.103	0.235	0.950	0.994	
PR							
Negative	3.54 ± 0.75	3.04 ± 0.79	3.01 ± 0.91	6.01 ± 0.76	4.83 ± 0.70	4.26 ± 0.57	
Positive	3.89 ± 0.33	3.73 ± 0.29	4.88 ± 0.36	3.64 ± 2.26	3.26 ± 1.51	4.81 ± 1.02	
р	0.644	0.326	0.028	0.206	0.291	0.624	

Table 2. Comparison of clinicopathological features and expression levels of miR-200a, miR-141 andmiR-205 in ECs and in NECs

was calculated by the comparative CT (2^{- $\Delta\Delta$ CT}) method, in which $\Delta\Delta$ CT was calculated as follows: $\Delta\Delta$ CT = (CT_{miR-of-interest} - CT_{U6})_{cancer} - (CT_{miR-of-interest} - CT_{U6})_{control}.

Immunohistochemistry

IHC Staining was performed using the Dako EnVision system on 4- μ m sections of FFPE tissue with primary antibodies against ER (1D5, Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) and PR (PgR636, Carpinteria, CA, USA). Base on our experiences and other studies, ER or PR nuclear staining in more than 10% of tumor cells was defined as ER or PR positive [15]. Normal endometrial glands and stromal cells exhibiting strong ER and PR staining were used as positive controls. The negative control was run without the addition of the primary antibodies.

Statistical analyses

The associations between miRNA alterations and clinicopathological features were statistically analyzed with the Student's T-test and Chi-Square Test methods. Survival analysis was performed using Kaplan-Meier curves with logrank tests. The Cox model was used to analyze the independent prognostic factors. Diseasefree survival was considered as the length of time after surgery treatment until relapse, death from an unrelated disease, or the final







Е



Figure 2. Associations between miR-200a/miR-141, miR-205 and hormone receptor status in ECs and in NECs. A. The schematic representation of predicted ER or PR binding sites in the promoter regions of MIR200A/MIR141 and MIR205. B. The PR (PGR) and ER (ESR1) and their possible target sites by miR-200a/miR-141 according to the target predictions. C. Representative immunohistochemical staining patterns of PR in ECs and NECs are shown. Bar = 100 µm. D. In ECs, miR-200a/ miR-141 expression occurred more frequently in the ER and PR positive subgroups with statistical significance, whereas an inversed correlation existed in NECs. E. Similarly, in ECs. the expression level of miR-205 was higher in the ER and PR positive subgroups, and the association between miR-205 and PR was of statistical significance, while the correlation was not present in NECs.

2869

day of the follow-up period with no disease found. A P value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS (Chicago, IL) 13.0.

Results

Expression of miR-200a/141 and miR-205 in ECs and in NECs and their relationship with clinicopathological variables

In this cohort, upregulaiton of miR-200a, miR-141 and miR -205 frequently occurred in ECs (88.2%, 90.2%, 90.2%) as well as in NECs (86.5%, 75.0%, 84.6%), they coexisted in majority of endometrial cancer samples (74.03%, 114/154) (Figure 1A). The expression levels of these miRNAs were significantly increased in ECs as well as in NECs compared with normal controls (P < 0.05). Furthermore, the expression levels of miR-200a and miR-141 were higher in NECs than in ECs, and miR-200a showed the statistical significance (P = 0.025) (Figure **1B**). In addition, there was a trend that NECs exhibiting worse clinicopathological factors, including deep myometrial invasion, lymph node metastases, vessel invasion, and late clinical stage, exhibited a higher miR-200a/ miR-141 expression levels. By contrary, ECs with favorable chinicopathological variables had a higher miR-200a expression level, although all the associations did not reach statistical significance (P > 0.05) (**Table 2**).

miR-205 upregulation occurred significantly frequently in NECs without lymph node metastases (P = 0.030) and the expression level was also higher in this subgroup, although the association did not reach statistical significance (**Figure 1C**). However, the phenomenon was not present in ECs. miR-205 expression was not associated with other clinicopathological factors either in ECs or in NECs (**Table 2**).

ER and PR binding sites scanning

The miR-200a family has five members, belonging to two clusters according to the location in the genome. The first cluster, *MIR200A*, *MIR200B*, and *MIR429*, is located on human chromosome 1. The second cluster, *MIR200C* and *MIR141*, is located on chromosome 12.

Using the transcription factor prediction algorithm, rVista 2.0 (http://rvista.dcode.org), we

identified multiple ER/PR binding sites in the upstream of *MIR200A* (12/6), *MIR141* (18/10) and *MIR205* (12/16) respectively. In **Figure 2A**, we show a schematic representation of the 2 kb region upstream of the genes, highlighting the ER and PR binding sites in each case. We analyzed the 10 kb region upstream of the miRNA genes respectively. This was chosen as a conservative distance over which a transcription factor may exert its influence, as previously microRNAs within 50 kb of each other have been shown to be transcriptionally co-regulated [16, 17].

miRNA target prediction

The five members of miR-200 family are also classified into two groups according to their seed sequences. The seed sequence of miR-200a and miR-141 is AACACU, and the sequence of miR-200b/miR200c and miR-429 is AAUACU.

miR-200a/miR-141 binding sites were identified in the 3'UTR or CDS of ER and PR respectively using the target gene prediction algorithms including TargetScan (www.targetscan. org/) and Diana (http://diana.cslab.ece.ntua. gr/tarbase). ER contains 1 miR-200a/miR-141 binding site in its CDS region (7mer-1A, poorly conserved). PR contains 3 miR-200a/miR-141 binding sites in its 3'UTR (7mer-m8, 7mer-1A, poorly conserved) (**Figure 2B**).

Correlation between miR-200a/miR-141, miR-205 and hormone receptor status in ECs and in NECs

As expected, the ER, PR expressed more frequently in ECs (77.5%, 78.4%) than in NECs (34.6%, 26.9%) with statistical significance (P < 0.05) (**Table 1**; **Figure 2C**). And the ER or PR positivity was associated with favorable clinicopathological variables with statistical significance (P < 0.05) or borderline level significance (P = 0.080, P = 0.061). Patients with ER or PR positive expression also had a prolonged survival, although no statistical significance existed (data not shown).

Because miR-200a and miR-141 have the same seed sequence, in next analyses, we combined the status of miR-200a and miR-141. miR-200a/miR-141 upregulation was defined as miR-200a upreguation and/or miR-141 upregulation. In ECs, miR-200a/miR-141 upreg-



Figure 3. The predictive roles of miR-200a and miR-141 in ECs were associated with hormone receptor status. And the combined miR-200a upregulation and ER positive expression significantly correlated with favorable prognosis.

ulation occurred more often in the ER or PR positive subgroups than in the negative subgroups, and the correlations were of statistical significance (P = 0.022, P = 0.019). While in NECs, the correlations became inversed. miR-200a/miR-141 upregulation occurred frequently in the ER or PR negative subgroups (P = 0.015, P = 0.004) (Figure 2D).

Similarly, the expression level of miR-205 in ECs was higher in the ER+ and PR+ subgroups, and the association between miR-205 with PR status was of statistical significance (P = 0.028) (**Table 2; Figure 2E**). However, such association was not present in NECs (P > 0.05).

Association of miR-200a/miR-141 upregulation with patient survival in different hormone receptor expression subgroups

Because we have found miR-200a/miR-141, miR-205 expression correlated with ER or PR status, next we performed extended analyses based on hormone receptor status to assess whether hormone receptor status interferes with miR-200a/miR-141, miR-205 function.

The miR-200a, miR-141, miR-205, and ER, PR status alone was not significantly related to patient survival. However, when we classified the patients based on ER or PR status, we found that the predictive roles of miR-200a and miR-141 were associated with hormone receptor status. In ECs, miR-200a upregulation was significantly correlated with prolonged patient survival in the ER+ subgroup (P = 0.046), whereas the association became inversed in the ER- subgroup, although without statistical significance (Figure 3). However, the association of miR-200a upregulation with longer survival in the ER positive subgroup did not persist in multivariate analysis (P > 0.05). For miR-205 expression, the Kaplan-Meier curve did not demonstrate significant changes in hormone receptor positive versus negative status (data not shown). In NECs, the follow-up data was not sufficient to do survival analysis.

Discussion

Our data set represents the largest series of endometrial cancers assessed for miR-200a, miR-141 and miR-205 alterations. We found that the expression levels of miR-200a, miR-141 and miR-205 significantly increased in ECs as well as in NECs. However, they might behave differently in ECs versus NECs.

To our knowledge, upregulation of miR-200 family member and miR-205 is observed consistently in ECs compared to normal controls. However, their roles in NECs have not been studied extensively. Only several studies demonstrated that these miRNAs were significantly increased in endometrioid carcinomas as well as in serous carcinomas and were not specific for histologic type [5-8]. We reconfirmed such observation in the present study. However, in an earlier study, based on small sample size (58 ECs and 15 NECs), we believed that expression of miR-200a, miR-141 and miR-205 was more often associated with ECs than NECs, the expression levels of these miRNAs increased significantly in ECs but did not in NECs. Thus, the result of our earlier investigation was not consistent with the findings of this cohort. However, due to the large number of patient samples, the findings of the present study were significant and may represent real expression pattern of miRNA-200a/miR-141 and miR-205 in endometrial cancer subtypes. The other reason of the different findings between this study and our earlier investigation may be because the earlier one included more old tissue blocks, and the higher extent of RNA degradation in older tissue blocks probably reduces the realtime PCR efficiency in miRNA analysis.

Altered expressions of miR-200 family and miR-205 have been linked with outcome of endometrial cancer patients. Based on tissue and plasma of 77 EC patients, Torres et al indicated that all miR-200 family members were significantly upregulated in ECs and most pronounced in early clinical stages and a systematic decrease of their expression was noted in higher stages and in poorly differentiated tumors [18]. miR-205 upregulation were associated poor survival and promote cell proliferation and invasion by targeting PTEN and ESRRG respectively in endometrial cancer [19, 20].

In this cohort, there was a trend that NECs with worse clinicopathological variables had a higher miR-200a expression, while an inverse trend existed in ECs. Thus, our findings of miR-200a/ miR-141 expression in ECs are in line with others. For miR-205 expression, our findings seem not consistent with others. We did not found miR-205 expression correlated with clinicopathological factors or patient survival except that miR-205 upregulation occurred frequently in NECs without lymph node metastases with statistical significance; however, such association was not present in ECs. Together, our data may also imply that miR-200a/miR-141 and miR-205 behave differently in ECs versus in NECs.

Furthermore, our findings differ from other studies in terms of the roles of miR-200a/miR-141 and miR-205 as tumor suppressors, especially in terms of the epithelial to mesenchymal transition induced by loss of these miRNAs. Recently, study indicated that members of miR-200a family and miR-205 are responsible for repressing ZEB1 and ZEB2 as well as other mesenchymal genes. These miRNAs are considered as "guardians of the epithelial phenotype", and loss of these miRNAs is a marker for aggressiveness and metastasis in endometrial, breast and ovarian cancer based on cell line models [21, 22]. However, it has to be taken into account that our material consisted of tumor samples that are more heterogeneous than cancer cell lines. In addition, one study postulated that miR-200 family member were downregulated only in malignant myoepitheliomas, but not in basal-like breast cancers and suggested that high expression of miR-200c and miR-429 stabilized the epithelial phenotype and prevented invasive cell growth [23]. Our tumor samples included both malignant and non-malignant stromal cells that may differ in miRNAs and ZEB protein expression. Thus, our results may present expression of miR-200a/miR-141 and miR-205 in the microenvironment of the tumors rather than only in malignant epithelial cells, in particular, with regard to the miR-200a/miR-141 alterations in NECs.

More interestingly, our data indicated that a vital link between miRNA-200/miR-141, miR-205 and hormone receptors, key elements in endometrial carcinogenesis, might exist. Recently, mounting evidence indicates that miRNAs are intimately involved with hormone receptor status [24, 25]. Studies leave no doubt that some miRNAs are regulated by steroid hormone in an ER or PR-dependent manner. A study from Panda et al indicated that endometrial miR-200c expression undergoes dynamic changes during transition from normal into cancerous states, possibly influenced by hormonal

milieu. miR-200c expression was elevated in normal endometrium from mid- and late-luteal phase, and in endometrial tumors. And Treatment of Ishikawa cells with 17β -estradiol, progesterone, and medroxy progesterone acetate had modest effects on miR-200c expression [26]. Another study using microRNA microarray identified 17 and 14 ER-upregulated miR-NAs in Ishikawa and EEC-1 cell lines upon E2 treatment, respectively. However, miR-200 family members and miR-205 were not included [27].

In this study, first, sequence analyses demonstrated multiply estrogen and progesterone receptor-binding elements in the upstream of MIR200A/MIR141 and MIR205. Meanwhile. ER and PR were identified as potential targets of miR-200a/miR-141 by target prediction algorithms. Second, we found that, in ECs, miR-200a/miR-141 upregulation occurred more often in the ER or PR positive subgroups than in their negative subgroups. Similarly, the expression level of miR-205 in ECs was significantly higher in the PR positive subgroup. In contrast, in NECs, a negative correlation was found between miR-200a/miR-141 and ER or PR status. Third, miR-200a/miR-141 upregulation might possess different prognostic impact on ECs in hormone receptor positive and negative context. In the ER positive subgroup, miR-200a upregulation correlated well with prolonged survival, whereas an inverse trend existed in the ER negative subgroup. Thus, in ECs, as transcription factor, the hormone receptor might modulate expression of miR-200/miR-141 and miR-205 by activating their promoters. In NECs, a high miR-200a/miR-141 expression might offer a mechanism for the reduced hormone receptor expression through targeting their mRNAs.

In conclusion, our findings suggest that upregulation of miR-200a/miR-141 and miR-205 is the common molecular alterations in ECs and in NECs. However, they might play different roles in endometrial cancer subtypes. Furthermore, miR-200a/miR-141 and miR-205 might be associated with hormone receptor status through different mechanisms in ECs versus NECs and may possess prognostic impacts.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81360381).

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Ying Dong or Dr. Ting Li, Department of Pathology, Peking University First Hospital, 8 Xishiku St., Xicheng Dist., Beijing 100034, P.R. China. Tel: 86-10-8357-5136; Fax: 86-10-6655-2587; E-mail: dongying_999@163. com (YD); lixiaoting12@hotmail.com (TL)

References

- Murali R SR, Weigelt B. Classification of endometrial carcinoma: more than two types. Lancet Oncol 2014; 15: e268-e278.
- [2] Palanichamy JK, Rao DS. miRNA dysregulation in cancer: towards a mechanistic understanding. Front Genet 2014; 5: 54.
- [3] Snowdon J, Zhang X, Childs T, Tron VA, Feilotter H. The microRNA-200 family is upregulated in endometrial carcinoma. PLoS One 2011; 6: e22828.
- [4] Lee JW, Park YA, Choi JJ, Lee YY, Kim CJ, Choi C, Kim TJ, Lee NW, Kim BG, Bae DS. The expression of the miRNA-200 family in endometrial endometrioid carcinoma. Gynecol Oncol 2011; 120: 56-62.
- [5] Hiroki E, Akahira J, Suzuki F, Nagase S, Ito K, Suzuki T, Sasano H, Yaegashi N. Changes in microRNA expression levels correlate with clinicopathological features and prognoses in endometrial serous adenocarcinomas. Cancer Sci 2010; 101: 241-249.
- Karaayvaz M, Zhang C, Liang S, Shroyer KR, Ju
 J. Prognostic significance of miR-205 in endometrial cancer. PLoS One 2012; 7: e35158.
- [7] Devor EJ, Hovey AM, Goodheart MJ, Ramachandran S, Leslie KK. microRNA expression profiling of endometrial endometrioid adenocarcinomas and serous adenocarcinomas reveals profiles containing shared, unique and differentiating groups of microRNAs. Oncol Rep 2011; 26: 995-1002.
- [8] Ratner ES, Tuck D, Richter C, Nallur S, Patel RM, Schultz V, Hui P, Schwartz PE, Rutherford TJ, Weidhaas JB. MicroRNA signatures differentiate uterine cancer tumor subtypes. Gynecol Oncol 2010; 118: 251-257.
- [9] Tuomarila M, Luostari K, Soini Y, Kataja V, Kosma VM, Mannermaa A. Overexpression of MicroRNA-200c Predicts Poor Outcome in Patients with PR-Negative Breast Cancer. PLoS One 2014; 9: e109508.
- [10] Cochrane DR, Cittelly DM, Richer JK. Steroid receptors and microRNAs: relationships revealed. Steroids 2011; 76: 1-10.
- [11] Bhat-Nakshatri P, Wang G, Collins NR, Thomson MJ, Geistlinger TR, Carroll JS, Brown M,

Hammond S, Srour EF, Liu Y, Nakshatria H. Estradiol-regulated microRNAs control estradiol response in breast cancer cells. Nucleic Acids Res 2009; 37: 4850-4861.

- [12] Wang Y, Adila S, Zhang X, Dong Y, Li WT, Zhou M, Li T. MicroRNA expression signature profile and its clinical significance in endometrioid carcinoma. Zhonghua Bing Li Xue Za Zhi 2014; 43: 88-94.
- [13] Zaino R, Matias-Guiu X, Carinelli SG, Mutter GL, Ellenson LH, Peters III WA, Eng C, Sherman ME, Katabuchi HK, Shih IM, Konishi I, Soslow R, Las S, Stewart CJR. Epithelial tumours and precursors. In: Kurman RJ, Carcangiu ML, Simon Herriongton C, Young RH, editors. WHO Classification of Tumours of Female Reproductive Organs. Lyon, France: IARC Press; 2014. pp. 125-135.
- [14] Werner HM, Trovik J, Marcickiewicz J, Tingulstad S, Staff AC, Amant F, Salvesen HB. Revision of FIGO surgical staging in 2009 for endometrial cancer validates to improve risk stratification. Gynecol Oncol 2012; 125: 103-108.
- [15] Huvila J, Talve L, Carpen O, Edqvist PH, Ponten F, Grenman S, Auranen A. Progesterone receptor negativity is an independent risk factor for relapse in patients with early stage endometrioid endometrial adenocarcinoma. Gynecol Oncol 2013; 130: 463-469.
- [16] Murray MJ, Saini HK, van Dongen S, Palmer RD, Muralidhar B, Pett MR, Piipari M, Thornton CM, Nicholson JC, Enright AJ, Coleman N. The two most common histological subtypes of malignant germ cell tumour are distinguished by global microRNA profiles, associated with differential transcription factor expression. Mol Cancer 2010; 9: 290.
- [17] Giulianelli S, Vaque JP, Soldati R, Wargon V, Vanzulli SI, Martins R, Zeitlin E, Molinolo AA, Helguero LA, Lamb CA, Gutkind JS, Lanari C. Estrogen receptor alpha mediates progestininduced mammary tumor growth by interacting with progesterone receptors at the cyclin D1/ MYC promoters. Cancer Res 2012; 72: 2416-2427.
- [18] Torres A, Torres K, Pesci A, Ceccaroni M, Paszkowski T, Cassandrini P, Zamboni G, Maciejewskiet R. Diagnostic and prognostic significance of miRNA signatures in tissues and plasma of endometrioid endometrial carcinoma patients. Int J Cancer 2013; 132: 1633-1645.
- [19] Su N, Qiu H, Chen Y, Yang T, Yan Q, Wan X. miR-205 promotes tumor proliferation and invasion through targeting ESRRG in endometrial carcinoma. Oncol Rep 2013; 29: 2297-2302.
- [20] Zhang G, Hou X, Li Y, Zhao M. MiR-205 inhibits cell apoptosis by targeting phosphatase and

tensin homolog deleted on chromosome ten in endometrial cancer Ishikawa cells. BMC Cancer 2014; 14: 440.

- [21] Gregory PA, Bert AG, Paterson EL, Barry SC, Tsykin A, Farshid G, Vadas MA, Khew-Goodall Y, Goodall GL. The miR-200 family and miR-205 regulate epithelial to mesenchymal transition by targeting ZEB1 and SIP1. Nat Cell Biol 2008; 10: 593-601.
- [22] Cochrane DR, Howe EN, Spoelstra NS, Richer JK. Loss of miR-200c: A Marker of Aggressiveness and Chemoresistance in Female Reproductive Cancers. J Oncol 2010; 2010: 821717.
- [23] Bockmeyer CL, Christgen M, Muller M, Fischer S, Ahrens P, Langer F, Kreipe H, Lehmann U. MicroRNA profiles of healthy basal and luminal mammary epithelial cells are distinct and reflected in different breast cancer subtypes. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2011; 130: 735-745.

- [24] Cochrane DR, Jacobsen BM, Connaghan KD, Howe EN, Bain DL, Richer JK. Progestin regulated miRNAs that mediate progesterone receptor action in breast cancer. Mol Cell Endocrinol 2012; 355: 15-24.
- [25] Lessey BA. Fine tuning of endometrial function by estrogen and progesterone through microR-NAs. Biol Reprod 2010; 82: 653-655.
- [26] Panda H, Pelakh L, Chuang TD, Luo X, Bukulmez O, Chegini N. Endometrial miR-200c is altered during transformation into cancerous states and targets the expression of ZEBs, VEGFA, FLT1, IKKbeta, KLF9, and FBLN5. Reproductive Sci 2012; 19: 786-796.
- [27] Zhang R, He Y, Zhang X, Xing B, Sheng Y, Lu H, Wei Z. Estrogen receptor-regulated microRNAs contribute to the BCL2/BAX imbalance in endometrial adenocarcinoma and precancerous lesions. Cancer Lett 2012; 314: 155-165.