Original Article Formononetin sensitizes glioma cells to doxorubicin through preventing EMT via inhibition of histone deacetylase 5

Quan Liu^{1*}, Yan Sun^{2*}, Jie-Min Zheng^{1*}, Xian-Lei Yan¹, Hong-Mou Chen¹, Jia-Kang Chen¹, He-Qing Huang¹

¹Department of Neurosurgery, The Fourth Affiliated Hospital Guangxi Medical University, Liuzhou 545005, Guangxi, China; ²Department of Scientific Research and Education, The Fourth Affiliated Hospital Guangxi Medical University, Liuzhou 545005, Guangxi, China. ^{*}Equal contributors.

Received February 6, 2015; Accepted March 30, 2015; Epub June 1, 2015; Published June 15, 2015

Abstract: Chemoresistance is a major obstacle to successful chemotherapy for glioma. Formononetin is a novel herbal isoflavonoid isolated from *Astragalus membranaceus* and possesses antitumorigenic properties. In the present study, we investigated the anti-proliferative effects of formononetin on human glioma cells, and further elucidated the molecular mechanism underlying the anti-tumor property. We found that formononetin enhanced doxorubicin cytotoxicity in glioma cells. Combined treatment with formononetin reversed the doxorubicin-induced epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) in tumor cells. Moreover, we found that formononetin treatment significantly decreased the expression of HDAC5. Overexpression of HDAC5 diminished the suppressive effects of formononetin on glioma cell viability. Furthermore, knockdown of HDAC5 by siRNA inhibited the doxorubicin-induced EMT in glioma cells. Taken together, these results demonstrated that formononetin-combined therapy may enhance the therapeutic efficacy of doxorubicin in glioma cells by preventing EMT through inhibition of HDAC5.

Keywords: Glioma, epithelial-mesenchymal transition, HDAC5, combination treatment

Introduction

Glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) is the most common primary brain tumor, which is characterized by high aggressiveness and poor prognosis [1, 2]. The lethality of this malignancy is mainly due to the abnormal proliferation and invasiveness of glioma cells. The current strategy for the treatment of GBM is general palliative treatment, including surgical palliative resection, standard chemotherapy, and focal radiotherapy [3-5]. However, the development of acquired drug resistance to conventional chemotherapeutics has become a major obstacle in GBM treatment [6]. Such limitation highlights the imperative need for identifying novel treatment strategies which may help overcome drug resistance and enhance tumor cell response to anti-cancer drugs.

It has been acknowledged that the pathogenesis of glioma is a multistep process regulated by aberrantly protein expression and alterations of morphological and molecular features during malignant progression [7, 8]. Epithelialmesenchymal transition (EMT) is a complex, reversible process which induces epithelial cells to transform to mesenchymal phenotype [9, 10]. Accumulating evidences suggest that EMT plays an important role in regulating the chemoresistance properties of glioma [11].

Traditional Chinese herbs are significant sources of drugs that serve as potential therapeutic compounds for cancer treatment. *Astragalus membranaceus* (*Radix Astragali*) has a long history of medicinal use in traditional Chinese medicine as an immunomodulating agent to treat diarrhea, anorexia and fatigue [12]. Formononetin, an active component isolated from *Astragalus membranaceus*, possesses diverse pharmacological benefits such as antiinflammatory and immuno-modulatory activity [13]. Accumulating evidences also demonstrated the anticancer activity of formononetin on several cancer types such as breast cancer,







Figure 1. Cytotoxic effects of formononetin on glioma cells. Three glioma cell lines including U87MG (A), U251MG (B) and T98G (C) were incubated with different concentrations (0~ 200 μ M) of formononetin for 48 h. The CCK-8 values of the treated glioma cells were normalized to the control group with the absence of formononetin. **P* < 0.05; ***P* < 0.01.

prostate cancer and cervical cancer [14-16]. However, the effect of formononetin on human glioma has not been elucidated. Thus, the present study aimed to explore the anti-proliferative effects of formononetin on glioma cells, and further elucidate the molecular mechanism underlying the anti-tumor property on human glioma.

Materials and methods

Cell culture and reagents

Human glioma cell lines U87MG, U251MG, and T98G were purchased from the ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA) and cultured in DMEM (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. All cells were maintained at 37°C in 5% CO₂ incubator. Formononetin (purity > 99%) and doxorubicin (Dox) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). The HDAC5 plasmid, HDAC5 siRNA and negative control siRNA were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA, USA).

CCK-8 assay

Tumor cells were seeded onto 96-well plates at 3000 cells/well. The medium was replaced with the corresponding serum-free medium for 24 h to synchronize the cell cycle, and then serum-free medium was replaced with complete medium containing the drugs at the indicated concentrations for 48 h. Then 10 μ L/well CCK8 solution (Dojindo, Kumamoto, Japan) was added, the plates incubated for 3 h, and absorbance was measured at 450 nm using an MRX II microplate reader (Dynex, Chantilly, VA, USA).

Transfection

Cells were transfected with HDAC5 plasmid, HDAC5 siRNA or negative control siRNA using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The transfection medium (Opti-MEM; Gibco) was replaced with complete medium 12 h after transfection, and the cells were incubated for the indicated times.





Figure 2. Formononetin enhanced doxorubicin sensitivity in glioma cells. Three glioma cell lines including U87MG (A), U251MG (B) and T98G (C) were incubated with doxorubicin alone or in combination with formononetin (100 μ M) for 48 h. CCK-8 assay was performed to measure the cell viability of different glioma cell lines. **P* < 0.05; ***P* < 0.01.

Western blot analysis

Cells were lysed in 50 µl cell lysis buffer (Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA, USA) containing protease inhibitors (Sigma). The protein concentration was quantified using the BCA Protein Kit (Thermo, Rockford, IL, USA). Cell lysates were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE and proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). The membranes were then incubated with primary antibodies (E-cadherin, Vimentin or HDAC5, diluted 1:1000; Abcam, Cambridge, USA) at 4°C overnight. The membranes were washed three times with TBS/T and then incubated with the appropriate HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies for 1 h at room temperature. Protein expression was detected by chemiluminescence (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ, USA).

Statistical analysis

Each experiment was performed in triplicate, and repeated at least three times. All the data were presented as means \pm SD and treated for statistics analysis by SPSS program. Comparison between groups was made using AN- OVA and statistically significant difference was defined as P < 0.05.

Results

Formononetin enhanced doxorubicin sensitivity in glioma cells

Firstly, CCK-8 assay was performed to determine the appropriate concentration of formononetin for combined treatment with doxorubicin. A series of formononetin concentrations ranging from 0~ 200 µM were incubated with three glioma cells lines (U87MG, U251MG and T98G) and data from CCK-8 assay showed that formononetin exerted little cytotoxicity in cancer cells between 0 and 100 µM. However, higher concentrations of formononetin (150, 200 µM) significantly inhibited the viability of the three cell lines (Figure 1A-C). Therefore, 100 µM formononetin was used for further coadministration with doxorubicin. To evaluate the synergistic cytotoxic effects of doxorubicin combined with formononetin, we used CCK-8 assay to measure cell viability treated with doxorubicin alone or in combination with formononetin for 48 h. At a result, the doxorubicin sensitivity was increased in U87MG, U251MG



Figure 3. Formononetin treatment altered the expression of doxorubicin-induced EMT-markers. U87MG cells were incubated with doxorubicin alone (A and B) or in combination with Formononetin (100 μ M) (C and D) for 48 h. Western blot was performed to determine the expression of EMT markers E-cadherin and vimentin. **P* < 0.05; ***P* < 0.01.

and T98G cells after co-administration with formononetin (**Figure 2A-C**). These results suggested that formononetin could enhance the sensitivity of doxorubicin in glioma cells.

Formononetin inhibited doxorubicin-induced EMT

In order to investigate whether doxorubicin can induce EMT in tumor cells, we evaluated the expression of epithelial/mesenchymal markers in glioma cells treated with doxorubicin for 48 h. Results showed that administration of doxorubicin significantly enhanced the expression of vimentin and decreased the expression of E-cadherin in U87MG cells (**Figure 3A** and **3B**). However, combine treatment with formononetin decreased the expression of vimentin and increased the E-cadherin levels, indicating that formononetin reversed the doxorubicin-induced EMT in glioma cells (**Figure 3C, 3D**).

Formononetin treatment suppressed the expression of HDAC5

Our previous study showed that HDAC5 promoted glioma cell proliferation [17]; we further examined the relevance of HDAC5 with chemoresistance in tumor cells. We found that HDAC5 was significantly increased in doxorubicin-treated glioma cells (**Figure 4A** and **4B**). However, formononetin co-treatment reduced the expression of HDAC5 in glioma cells (**Figure 4C** and **4D**). We than transfected U87MG cells with the plasmid encoding HDAC5 (**Figure 4E**). As a result, overexpression of HDAC5 diminished the suppressive effects of formononetin on glioma cell viability (**Figure 4F**). These data implied that formononetin sensitized glioma cells through inhibition of HDAC5.

Knockdown of HDAC5 diminished the doxorubicin-induced EMT

Nest we investigated whether HDAC5 regulated doxorubicin-induced EMT, RNAi was applied to knockdown the expression of HDAC5 in glioma cells. The HDAC5 siRNA-transfected glioma cells were incubated with doxorubicin alone or in combination with formononetin for 48 h. CCK-8 assay revealed that the cell viability of formononetin plus doxorubicin-treated cells was not significantly different compared to the doxorubicin-treated cells transfected with HDAC5 siRNA (**Figure 5A-C**), suggesting that HDAC5 was involved in the sensitivity to doxoru-



Figure 4. Formononetin treatment decreased the expression of HDAC5. U87MG cells was treated with doxorubicin or in combination with formononetin (100 μ M) for 48 h. Western blot was performed to determine the expression of HDAC5 in glioma cells incubated with doxorubicin (A and B) or in combination with formononetin (100 μ M) (C and D). U87MG cells were transfected with the plasmid encoding HDAC5 (E) and cell viability was determined by CCK-8 methods after incubation with doxorubicin alone or combined with formononetin (F). **P* < 0.05; ***P* < 0.01.

bicin in glioma. Western blotting showed the upregulation of E-cadherin and downregulation of vimentin in HDAC5 siRNA-transfected U87-MG cells (**Figure 5D** and **5E**). Taken together, these data demonstrated that knockdown of HDAC5 by siRNA could alter the doxorubicin-induced EMT in glioma cells.

Discussion

Accumulating evidences suggest that the acquired drug resistance to traditional chemotherapeutics has become a major obstacle to the triumph of chemotherapy [18]. In this study, we examined whether formononetin could enhance the cytotoxicity of doxorubicin in human glioma cells. Our data showed that combination treatment with formononetin increased the doxorubicin sensitivity in glioma cells.

Many studies have indicated that EMT plays a key role in carcinogenicity, metastasis, progression and acquired chemoresistance in various types of cancers [19, 20]. During EMT, epithelial markers such as E-cadherin decrease, while mesenchymal markers such as vimentin increase [21]. In our study, we found that doxorubicin treatment induced EMT in glioma cells. However, formononetin co-administration reversed the EMT induced by doxorubicin in glio-



Figure 5. Knockdown of HDAC5 diminished the doxorubicin-induced EMT in glioma cells. The HDAC5 siRNA-transfected glioma cells were incubated with doxorubicin alone or in combination with formononetin for 48 h. CCK-8 assay was used to determine the cell viability in different glioma cell lines including U87MG (A), U251MG (B) and T98G (C). Western blot analysis of E-cadherin and vimentin expression in HDAC5-siRNA or negative-siRNA transfected glioma cells (D and E). Relative protein expression of HDAC5 was quantified by band density with GAPDH served as control. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01.

ma cells. These results demonstrated that formononetin regulated doxorubicin-induced EMT in glioma cells.

The histone deacetylase (HDACs) family contains a family of 18 proteins and these proteins are classified into classes I-IV based on their homology and structure [22]. Accumulating evidences suggested that HDAC family functions as an important regulator in tumor progression and metastasis [23]. Recently, several HDAC inhibitors have been shown to exhibit antitumor activity in cancer cells and animal models [24]. In addition, clinical studies have also shown the potential application of HDAC inhibitors as anti-cancer agents [25]. HDAC5, a member of the class II histone deacetylase family, has been shown play critical roles in cell proliferation, cell cycle progression and apoptosis [26-28]. A recent study reported that HDAC5 promoted the twist 1 expression and highlighted a potential link between HDAC5 and osteosarcoma progression [29]. Our previous study demonstrated that HDAC5 promoted glioma cells proliferation via up-regulation of Notch 1 expression and might provide novel therapeutic targets in the treatment of gliomas [17]. In the

current research, we found that the expression of HDAC5 was significantly up-regulated in doxorubicin-treated glioma cells, which was reduced after formononetin co-treatment. In addition, overexpression of HDAC5 diminished the inhibitory effects of formononetin on glioma cells, suggesting that formononetin sensitized tumor cells through inhibition of HDAC5.

Furthermore, we investigated the molecular mechanism underlying the reversal of EMT by formononetin. The etiology of glioma involves a complex interplay of various factors, of which the accumulation of oncogenes and loss of tumor repressors are crucial events in the initiation and progression of cancer [30, 31]. Recently studies found that HDAC5 was aberrantly expressed in several types of tumor cells, such as glioma [17], osteosarcoma [29] and colon cancer [32]. In our previous study, we measured HDAC5 expression in glioma tumor tissues and showed that HDAC5 plays an important role in cell proliferation [17]. In the current study, our data showed that knockdown of HDAC5 by siRNA could alter cell phenotypes, suggesting that HDAC5 was a key factor in doxorubicin-induced EMT in glioma cells.

In conclusion, the present study showed that combined treatment with formononetin enhances the cytotoxicity of doxorubicin in glioma cells through suppressing HDAC5 and preventing doxorubicin-induced EMT. Therefore, combination therapy with formononetin may contribute to a better therapeutic effect in doxorubicin-based chemotherapy for patients with gliomas.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Dr. He-Qing Huang or Dr. Jia-Kang Chen, Department of Neurosurgery, The Fourth Affiliated Hospital Guangxi Medical University, Liuzhou 545005, Guangxi, China. E-mail: heqhuang@126.com (HQH); liuhnden@126.com (JKC)

References

- Stupp R, Hegi ME, van den Bent MJ, Mason WP, Weller M, Mirimanoff RO, Cairncross JG. Changing paradigms--an update on the multidisciplinary management of malignant glioma. Oncologist 2006; 11: 165-180.
- [2] Giordano FA, Brehmer S, Abo-Madyan Y, Welzel G, Sperk E, Keller A, Schneider F, Clausen S, Herskind C, Schmiedek P, Wenz F. INTRAGO: intraoperative radiotherapy in glioblastoma multiforme - a Phase I/II dose escalation study. Bmc Cancer 2014; 14: 992.
- [3] Lin L, Gaut D, Hu K, Yan H, Yin D, Koeffler HP. Dual targeting of glioblastoma multiforme with a proteasome inhibitor (Velcade) and a phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase inhibitor (ZSTK474). Int J Oncol 2014; 44: 557-562.
- [4] Johnson DR, Chang SM. Recent medical management of glioblastoma. Adv Exp Med Biol 2012; 746: 26-40.
- [5] Preusser M, de Ribaupierre S, Wohrer A, Erridge SC, Hegi M, Weller M, Stupp R. Current concepts and management of glioblastoma. Ann Neurol 2011; 70: 9-21.
- [6] Gottesman MM, Fojo T, Bates SE. Multidrug resistance in cancer: role of ATP-dependent transporters. Nat Rev Cancer 2002; 2: 48-58.
- [7] Knizhnik AV, Roos WP, Nikolova T, Quiros S, Tomaszowski KH, Christmann M, Kaina B. Survival and death strategies in glioma cells: autophagy, senescence and apoptosis triggered by a single type of temozolomide-induced DNA damage. PLoS One 2013; 8: e55665.
- [8] Hegi ME, Diserens AC, Gorlia T, Hamou MF, de Tribolet N, Weller M, Kros JM, Hainfellner JA, Mason W, Mariani L, Bromberg JE, Hau P,

Mirimanoff RO, Cairncross JG, Janzer RC, Stupp R. MGMT gene silencing and benefit from temozolomide in glioblastoma. N Engl J Med 2005; 352: 997-1003.

- [9] Grant CM, Kyprianou N. Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT) in prostate growth and tumor progression. Transl Androl Urol 2013; 2: 202-211.
- [10] Kim EM, Jeong MH, Kim DW, Jeong HJ, Lim ST, Sohn MH. lodine 125-labeled mesenchymalepithelial transition factor binding peptideclick-cRGDyk heterodimer for glioma imaging. Cancer Sci 2011; 102: 1516-1521.
- [11] Yan YR, Xie Q, Li F, Zhang Y, Ma JW, Xie SM, Li HY, Zhong XY. Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition is involved in BCNU resistance in human glioma cells. Neuropathology 2014; 34: 128-134.
- [12] Cho WC, Leung KN. *In vitro* and *in vivo* immunomodulating and immunorestorative effects of *Astragalus membranaceus*. J Ethnopharmacol 2007; 113: 132-141.
- [13] Park J, Kim SH, Cho D, Kim TS. Formononetin, a phyto-oestrogen, and its metabolites up-regulate interleukin-4 production in activated T cells via increased AP-1 DNA binding activity. Immunology 2005; 116: 71-81.
- [14] Zhou R, Xu L, Ye M, Liao M, Du H, Chen H. Formononetin inhibits migration and invasion of MDA-MB-231 and 4T1 breast cancercells by suppressing MMP-2 and MMP-9 through PI3K/ AKT signaling pathways. Horm Metab Res 2014; 46: 753-760.
- [15] Li T, Zhao X, Mo Z, Huang W, Yan H, Ling Z, Ye Y. Formononetin promotes cell cycle arrest via downregulation of Akt/Cyclin D1/CDK4 in human prostate cancer cells. Cell Physiol Biochem 2014; 34: 1351-1358.
- [16] Jin YM, Xu TM, Zhao YH, Wang YC, Cui MH. In vitro and in vivo anti-cancer activity of formononetin on human cervical cancer cell line HeLa. Tumour Biol 2014; 35: 2279-2284.
- [17] Liu Q, Zheng JM, Chen JK, Yan XL, Chen HM, Nong WX, Huang HQ. Histone deacetylase 5 promotes the proliferation of glioma cells by upregulation of Notch 1. Mol Med Rep 2014; 10: 2045-2050.
- [18] Loscher W, Potschka H. Drug resistance in brain diseases and the role of drug efflux transporters. Nat Rev Neurosci 2005; 6: 591-602.
- [19] Liu X, Fan D. The Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition and Cancer Stem Cells: Functional and Mechanistic Links. Curr Pharm Des 2015; 21: 1279-91.
- [20] Su Y, Wang J, Zhang X, Shen J, Deng L, Liu Q, Li G. Targeting SIM2-s decreases glioma cell invasion through mesenchymal-epithelial transition. J Cell Biochem 2014; 115: 1900-1907.

- [21] Bogachek MV, De Andrade JP, Weigel RJ. Regulation of epithelial-mesenchymal transition through SUMOylation of transcription factors. Cancer Res 2015; 75: 11-5.
- [22] Wang JC, Kafeel MI, Avezbakiyev B, Chen C, Sun Y, Rathnasabapathy C, Kalavar M, He Z, Burton J, Lichter S. Histone deacetylase in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Oncology-Basel 2011; 81: 325-329.
- [23] Francisco R, Perez-Perarnau A, Cortes C, Gil J, Tauler A, Ambrosio S. Histone deacetylase inhibition induces apoptosis and autophagy in human neuroblastoma cells. Cancer Lett 2012; 318: 42-52.
- [24] Greco TM, Yu F, Guise AJ, Cristea IM. Nuclear import of histone deacetylase 5 by requisite nuclear localization signal phosphorylation. Mol Cell Proteomics 2011; 10: M110-M4317.
- [25] Wagner JM, Hackanson B, Lubbert M, Jung M. Histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors in recent clinical trials for cancer therapy. Clin Epigenetics 2010; 1: 117-136.
- [26] Hsieh T, Hsu C, Tsai C, Long C, Wu C, Wu D, Lee J, Tsai E. HDAC inhibitors target HDAC5, upregulate MicroRNA-125a-5p, and induce Apoptosis in Breast Cancer Cells. Mol Ther 2015; 23: 656-66.
- [27] Peixoto P, Castronovo V, Matheus N, Polese C, Peulen O, Gonzalez A, Boxus M, Verdin E, Thiry M, Dequiedt F, Mottet D. HDAC5 is required for maintenance of pericentric heterochromatin, and controls cell-cycle progression and survival of human cancer cells. Cell Death Differ 2012; 19: 1239-1252.

- [28] Polese C, Mottet D. [HDAC5 inhibition: a tool to stop cancer cell immortality]. Med Sci (Paris) 2014; 30: 730-732.
- [29] Chen J, Xia J, Yu YL, Wang SQ, Wei YB, Chen FY, Huang GY, Shi JS. HDAC5 promotes osteosarcoma progression by upregulation of Twist 1 expression. Tumour Biol 2014; 35: 1383-1387.
- [30] Adams S, Braidy N, Bessede A, Brew BJ, Grant R, Teo C, Guillemin GJ. The kynurenine pathway in brain tumor pathogenesis. Cancer Res 2012; 72: 5649-5657.
- [31] Jung S, Moon KS, Kim ST, Ryu HH, Lee YH, Jeong YI, Jung TY, Kim IY, Kim KK, Kang SS. Increased expression of intracystic matrix metalloproteinases in brain tumors: relationship to the pathogenesis of brain tumor-associated cysts and peritumoral edema. J Clin Neurosci 2007; 14: 1192-1198.
- [32] Stypula-Cyrus Y, Damania D, Kunte DP, Cruz MD, Subramanian H, Roy HK, Backman V. HDAC up-regulation in early colon field carcinogenesis is involved in cell tumorigenicity through regulation of chromatin structure. PLoS One 2013; 8: e64600.