# Original Article Effect of glycated hemoglobin on heart function of the patients with revascularization of coronary artery

XQ Sun<sup>1</sup>, BN Bao<sup>1</sup>, XY Gao<sup>1</sup>, DE Yan<sup>1,2</sup>, YS Zhou<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Endocrinology & Metabolism, Beijing Anzhen Hospital Affiliated to Capital Medical University, Beijing, China; <sup>2</sup>Beijing Institute of Heart, Lung, and Blood Vessel Diseases, Beijing, China

Received April 15, 2015; Accepted May 28, 2015; Epub June 1, 2015; Published June 15, 2015

Abstract: Background: Patients with diabetes after coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) or percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) treatment for coronary artery disease (CAD) had higher mortality rates than those without diabetes. There were limited data comparing the cardiac and metabolic differences between diabetes and non-diabetes for CABG and PCI and about impact of pre-procedure GHb level on systolic heart function in patients with diabetes. Aims: To explore the cardio-metabolic differences and to evaluate their potential as significant risk factors. Subjects and method: 124 patients with diabetes and 170 patients without diabetes were enrolled. Coronary lesions (>70% stenosis in at least one major coronary artery) were documented by angiography. Patients with diabetes were divided into different groups by GHb, Coronary lesions ( $\geq$ 70% stenosis in at least one major coronary artery) were documented by angiography. CABG and PCI were performed for all the patients. Cardio-metabolic risk factors before revascularization were compared between them. Results: Diabetics with GHb≥8% had lower cardiac ejection fraction (EF) values than those with GHb<8% (P<0.05) or patients without diabetes (P<0.05). And count of vascular lesions between the groups was not statistically significant. Observed EF as a dependent variable negatively correlated to GHb levels (P<0.05). The levels of glycated hemoglobin A1c (GHbA1c) rose with increased fasted blood glucose (FBG) values (P<0.001). Even with treatment for hyperglycemia and dyslipidemia, overall levels of fasting blood sugar (FBG, P<0.001), GHbA1c (P<0.001), and triglycerides (TG, P<0.05) in patients with diabetes were still higher than those without diabetes respectively. Conclusion: Poorer glucose control with GHb≥8% and decreased systolic heart function are significant risk factors that potentially contribute to worse prognosis for CABG or PCI treatment. Elevated levels of FBG, GHbA1c, and TG are evident for patients with diabetes compared to patients without diabetes prior to revascularization.

Keywords: GHbA1c, EF, revascularization

#### Introduction

Patients with diabetes after coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) or percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) treatment had higher mortality rates than patients without diabetes undergoing the same treatments for coronary artery disease (CAD). The objective of type 2 diabetes mellitus prevention and management is to reduce cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events and improve resultant mortality rates [1-5]. Randomized trials for type 2 diabetes mellitus with CAD reported no significant differences in the rates of death and major cardiovascular events between prompt revascularization and medical therapies [1, 2]. Some prospective clinical trials have shown optimal control of the levels of blood glucose, lipids, and blood pressure was critical for diabetes intervention [6-14].

Basically, the diabetes mellitus has been proved to be a strong risk factor for revascularization of patients with CAD. Blood glycated hemoglobin (GHb) is reflective of mean ambient fasting and postprandial serum glucose levels over the preceding 2 to 3 months. But there were limited data comparing the cardiac and metabolic differences between diabetes and non-diabetes for CABG and PCI, and about impact of pre-procedure GHb level on systolic heart function in patients with diabetes. In this retrospective study, we decided to explore the cardio-metabolic differences and to evaluate their potential as significant risk factors.



Figure 1. Study design.

### Subjects and methods

### Patients

In this retrospective study, 294 of 367 patients hospitalized with CAD aged 61.0±9.5 years old in hospitalization from Beijing Anzhen Hospital were enrolled between January and December 2010. Subjects included 211 men and 83 women, who were separated into two groups: 124 patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and 170 without it, patients with diabetes were divided into different groups by HbA1c. Inclusion criteria for patients were: (1) CAD was determined by documented coronary angiography (≥70% stenosis in at least one major pericardial coronary artery); (2) operation of revascularization procedures of PCI or CABG was based upon angina symptoms or objective evidence of myocardial ischemia; (3) cardio-metabolic risk factors were accessed for each patient prior to revascularization procedures. Exclusion criteria were: (1) any malignant disease; (2) liver or renal illness; (3) infection. (4) hematologic and immune disorders; (5) type 1 diabetes; (6) history with rheumatic heart disease and cardiomyopathy.

### Methods

Hospital-based ethics committee approved the study. The study was designed as described in

Figure 1. Body weight, height, blood pressure were measured and recorded for all patients. Age, smoking, duration of the previous diseases (CAD, type 2 diabetes mellitus, hypertension, dyslipidemia), cardio-vascular events (non-fatal myocardial infarction, non-fatal stroke) and medication were recorded for assessment. Fasting blood and serum samples were collected prior to revascularization and tested for: HbA1c, serum glucose, lipid levels [total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein (LDL), high-density lipoprotein (HDL), triglyceride (TG)], hypersensitive C-reactive protein (hsCRP), uric acid (UA) and creatinine (Cr) levels. Target values of the metabolic assessment were from American Diabetes Associa-

tion (ADA) guidelines: GHb <7%, LDL <2.6 mmol/L, TG <1.7 mmol/L, blood pressure  $\leq$ 130/80 mmHg. The target GHb value of <8% for diabetes with CAD was also suggested by ADA, therefore, in this study, we used GHb >7%or >8% respectively as cut points during our analysis. Cardiac ejection fraction (EF) of left ventrium (LV) was measured by echocardiography. Diabetes was defined according to ADA: fasted glucose ≥7.0 mmol/L, postprandial glucose  $\geq$ 11.1 mmol/L. or HbA1c  $\geq$ 48 mmol/L (6.5%). Coronary lesions (≥70% stenosis in at least one major coronary artery) were documented by angiography. The patients are divided into more than three lesions and less than three lesions according to the count of vascular disease.

### Statistical analysis

Statistics were presented as "mean  $\pm$  SD" for continuous variables, and conducted by student's *t*-test and analysis of variance (ANOVA). Frequency with percentage for categorical variables was performed with a chi-square test. *P* values were two-sided. Bivariate correlations with Pearson coefficients were studied in cardiovascular and metabolic risk factors including: age, BMI, SBP, DBP, UA, FBG, GHb, TG, TC, HDL, LDL and left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF). Regression analysis was used in this

Items	Revascularization without DM	Revascularization with DM	Р	
	N=170	N=124	values	
Clinical & demographic				
Age, yrs	60.9±10.2	61.1±8.8	0.836	
Sex ratio (Men to Women)	3.15:1 (129 vs 41)	1.95:1 (82 vs 42)	0.057	
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	26.4±4.2	26.0±3.3	0.440	
Blood pressure, mmHg				
SBP	132.1±18.8	131.9±19.5	0.587	
DBP	78.7±10.5	77.7±11.7	0.442	
EF. %	60.3±9.3	59.6±10.9	0.574	
Coronary arteries	2.36	2.41	0.214	
Smoking, %	45.5	50.7	0.376	
Previous disease. %				
Coronary heart disease	16.6	61.2	< 0.001	
Hypertension	69.0	74.3	0.304	
Dvslipidemia	15.2	10.5	0.231	
Duration of disease. vrs				
Type 2 diabetes mellitus	N/A	6.0-40		
Coronary heart disease	1.2+3.4	3.8+5.0	< 0.001	
Hypertension	8 2+10 8	85+90	0.817	
Dyslipidemia	0.65+0.17	0.67+0.14	0.753	
Heart and cerebral vascular events %	010010111	0.01 2012 1	0.1.00	
NMI	172	171	0.975	
NS	83	10.5	0.507	
Fasting biochemical data	0.0	10.0	0.001	
FBG mmol/l	57+19	79+31	<0.001	
HbA1c (%)	6.0+0.5	7.5±3.±	<0.001	
	0.0±0.0	1.5±1.5	0.332	
	2 0+0 0	2 9+1 0	0.352	
HDL mmol/L	1 1+0 2	1 1+0 3	0.700	
TG mmol/l	1 0+0 0	$2.1 \pm 1.0$	0.029	
	1.9±0.9	2.4±1.9	0.012	
	95 6±00 5	91 1±26 0	0.704	
	270 / ±0/ 0	251 1+07 /	0.052	
Modications %	570.4 <u>±</u> 94.0	551.1±97.4	0.105	
Statin	06.6	06.7	0.049	
Stattii Any antibyportonsiyo agent	90.0 60.7	30.1 72 0	0.540	
	09.7 N/A	13.U 58 5	0.521	
Any antihypergiycernic agent	N/A	56.5		
	IN/A	∠0.4 16.4		
Sunonyiurea	IN/A	10.4		
	IN/A	0.7		
Insulin Detiente moeting tenget al const	IN/A	24.8		
Patients meeting target values, %	N1 / A	20.0		
GHDA1C <53 mmol/mol (7%)	N/A	38.2	0.001	
LDL-C < 2.6 mmol/L	43.8	41.2	0.661	
IG <1.7 mmol/L	50.0	43.2	0.247	
Blood pressure ≤130/80 mm Hg	46.9	54.0	0.221	

Table 1. Clinical, demographic, and biochemical data for all subjects

BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; EF, cardiac ejection fraction; NMI, nonfatal myocardial infarction; NS, nonfatal stroke; FBG, Fasting blood glucose; TC, total cholesterol; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; TG, triglyceride; hsCRP, hypersensitive CRP; Cre, creatinine; UA, uric acid.

study with P≤0.05 or entered varioles and P≥0.10 r excluded varibles. Multiple linar regression equtions were obtaind from regression nalysis. Linear reession by the stewise method was erformed for all cluded variables. ny P values less an 0.05 were condered to be statisally significant.

### Results

omparison of rdiovascular risk ctors between atients with diaetes and patients ithout diabetes rior to revascularation atients with histoof previous CAD counted for 61.2 in diabetes group, hich was 3.8 times gher than the perentage in the groo without diabetes < 0.001). The averge duration of past AD were 3.8 years r patients with abetes compared nly 1.2 years for atients without dipetes (P<0.001). shown in **Ta**le 1, patients with abetes had higher BG, GHb and TG vels than those the group without diabetes group

(7.9±3.1 vs. 5.7±1.2

mmol/L, P<0.001;

7.5±1.3% vs. 6.0±

	CABG			PCI			
Items	Diabetes	Non-diabetes	Dualuaa	Diabetes	Non-diabetes	P values	
	N=92	N=138	Pvalues	N=32	N=32		
BMI, kg/m²	26.0±3.4	26.5±4.5	0.409	26.0±3.3	26.6±5.7	0.593	
SBP, mmHg	132.6±19.2	132.8±19.1	0.952	130.4±19.7	134.2±20.0	0.364	
DBP, mmHg	78.4±11.7	78.7±10.5	0.857	76.42±12.0	78.0±10.4	0.489	
FBG, mmol/L	7.9±3.3	5.6±1.2	<0.001	8.1±3.1	5.7±1.0	< 0.001	
HbA1c (%)	7.5±1.3	6.1±0.5	<0.001	7.4±1.3	5.9±0.5	< 0.001	
TC, mmol/L	4.9±1.4	4.6±1.1	0.117	4.4±1.0	4.4±1.1	0.967	
LDL, mmol/L	3.0±1.0	2.9±0.9	0.390	2.7±0.84	2.7±0.7	0.922	
HDL-c, mmol/L	1.1±0.3	1.1±0.2	0.779	1.1±0.3	1.1±0.2	0.779	
TG, mmol/L	2.5±2.0	1.8±0.9	0.005	2.1±1.1	2.0±0.9	0.608	
hsCRP, mmol/L	4.0±4.4	3.8±4.0	0.652	3.6±4.3	3.0±2.7	0.426	
UA, µmol/L	348.7±97.4	367.1±96.9	0.155	359.1±102.3	376.0±96.8	0.414	
EF, %	59.9±10.7	59.5±9.6	0.785	57.7±11.4	60.9±9.7	0.172	

 
 Table 2. Cardio-metabolic risk factors between diabetes and non-diabetes in CABG and PCI subgroups

BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; EF, cardiac ejection fraction; NMI, nonfatal myocardial infarction; NS, nonfatal stroke; FBG, Fasting blood glucose; TC, total cholesterol; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; TG, triglyceride; hsCRP, hypersensitive CRP.

0.5%, P<0.001; 2.4±1.9 vs. 1.9±0.9 mmol/L, P<0.001). But there were no significant differences in BMI, SBP , DBP, FBG, LDL, and HDL, hsCRP, UA, EF and smoking rates between patients with diabetes and patients without diabetes (P>0.05).

# Compared data within CABG subgroup and within PCI subgroup

Within CABG or PCI subgroup, only FBG and GHb levels as stringent cardio-metabolic risk factors for patients with diabetes were more elevated than those for patients without diabetes (*P*<0.001 for all). No significant differences (*P*>0.05) were observed in other risk factors such as: BMI, SBP, DBP, FBG, HbA1c, LDL, and HDL, TG, hsCRP, UA and EF as shown in **Table 2**.

## Relationship between cardiac LVEF and blood GHb values in patients with diabetes underwent revascularization

All patients with diabetes were analyzed with either GHb <7% or GHb <8% as a cut point, and cardio-metabolic parameters were compared between these groups. LVEF values in patients with GHb  $\geq$ 8% were reduced notably compared to non-diabetes group (*P*<0.05) or GHb <8% patients (*P*<0.05) as shown in **Table 3** and **Figure 2**.

# Discussion

Hyperglycemia and hypertriglyceridemia were typical metabolic abnormalities associated with diabetes. In the PCI or CABG subgroup in this study, there were significant differences in FBG (P<0.001) and GHb levels (P<0.001) between patients with diabetes and patients without diabetes. Patients with diabetes with GHb  $\geq$ 8% had lower cardiac ejection fraction (EF) values than those with GHb <8% or patients without diabetes. Melle et al. [15] reported a correlation between GHb  $\geq$  6.5% in patients with diabetes with stable coronary artery disease who developed heart failure compared with patients who remained free of heart failure. In addition, GHb  $\geq$ 6.5% trended towards occurrence of new onset heart failure. In some echocardiographic comparison studies, patients with diabetes presented a diminished ejection fraction, an elevated end-systolic diameter and volume compared to normal subjects. Congestive heart failure occurred more easily in patients with diabetes when compared to patients without diabetes. The possible mechanism is macrovascular disease and diabetic cardiomyopathy [16]. In our study, the left ventricular ejection function as a dependent variable negatively correlated with blood GHb levels. GHb is not only a useful biomarker of long-term glycaemic control but also a good

# Hyperglycemia and reduced EF prior PCI and CABG

		DM					
	Non-DM	Different cut point GHbA1c target, N=124					
		<7% ≥7%		<8%	≥8%		
	N=170	N=46	N=78	N=90	N=34		
BMI, kg/m²	26.4±4.3	26.3±3.5	26.4±3.2	26.1±3.6	25.8±2.5		
SBP, mm Hg	133.4±18.9	128.4±20.4	133.0±18.7	131.1±19.7	132.2±18.6		
DBP, mm Hg	79.0±10.4	75.7±12.3	79.2±11.0	76.9±11.8	79.7±11.0		
FBG, mmol/L	5.6±0.8	6.1±1.4	8.8±3.3	6.7±1.6	10.7±3.9		
HbA1c (%)	6.0±0.5	6.2±0.4	8.1±1.0	6.8±0.7	9.1±0.8		
TC, mmol/L	4.6±1.1	4.7±1.4	4.7±1.4	4.7±1.3	4.9±1.6		
LDL, mmol/L	2.9±1.0	2.9±1.1	2.9±1.0	2.9±0.9	2.9±0.9		
HDL-c, mmol/L	1.1±0.2	1.1±0.26	1.0±0.27	1.1±0.2	1.1±2.7		
TG, mmol/L	1.9±0.9	2.2±1.5	2.4±1.1	2.2±1.3	2.9±2.9		
hsCRP, mmol/L	3.6±3.7	4.1±4.7	3.7±4.0	4.2±4.6	3.0±3.6		
UA, µmol/L	369.8±93.7	343.8±11.5	355.2±88.3	349.1±98.8	356.1±98.0		
Coronary arteries	2.36±0.3	2.34±0.35	2.36±0.33	2.35±0.2	2.37±0.14		
EF, %	60.5±9.4	60.5±10.5	59.1±11.3	60.1±9.7	56.6±13.5		

Table 3. Comparison of cardio-metabolic risk factors in different GHb levels

All diabetic patients were divided by cut point GHbA1c 7% into two subgroups, or by GHbA1c 8% into the other two groups. Patients without diabetes were used as control for different GHbA1c cut point respectively. Among three groups as Non-DM, GHb <7% and GHb  $\geq$ 7%: (1) FBG in GHb  $\geq$ 7% subgroup compared with Non-DM or GHb <7% subgroup, P<0.001 respectively; (2) GHb levels in GHb  $\geq$ 7% subgroup compared with <7% or Non-DM subgroup, P<0.001 respectively; (3) TG levels in GHb  $\geq$ 7% subgroup compared with Non-DM subgroup, P<0.001 respectively; (3) TG levels in GHb  $\geq$ 7% subgroup compared with Non-DM subgroup, P<0.001; (2) GHb between groups, P<0.001; (3) TG levels in GHb  $\geq$ 8% subgroup compared with Non-DM, P<0.001; TG levels in GHb  $\geq$ 8% subgroup compared with GHb <8%, P=0.007; (4) EF values in GHb  $\geq$ 8% subgroup compared with Non-DM subgroup, P=0.033.



Figure 2. Comparison of left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) in different cut point GHb levels.

predictor of lipid profile. In diabetic patients with PCI or CABG, GHb  $\geq$ 8% indicated that patients had lower LVEF and were more likely to experience future heart failure. Cardiac ejection fraction, GHb and FBG factors are possibly interlinked before revascularization therapy for patients with CAD [17]. Although it is generally evident that targeting FBG and GHb control could lead to lower mortality and better clinical outcomes [12, 18-20], the methodology and drug-linked hypoglycemic episodes had cardiovascular mixed outcomes [10, 12, 21-24]. For revascularization, more and hypoglycemic severe events could counterbalance long-term benefit of tightly managing blood glucose levels [25].

The cluster of high fasting glucose, HbA1c, blood pressure, and LDL-c levels caused

severe CAD in patients with diabetes [26-28]. In this study, with patients before revascularization, only FBG, GHb and TG levels in patients with diabetes were significantly elevated more than those in patients without diabetes, but no significant differences were found in BMI, SBP, DBP, LDL, HDL-c and UA values. The possible reason is that more than 96% of the patients were on statin-related medication and over 69.7% of the patients were on antihypertensive agent, for the prevention and treatment of CAD. These medications against abnormal serum lipid levels and high blood pressure could result in similar rates of patients meeting target values for LDL and blood pressure between patients with diabetes and patients without diabetes. The freedom trial reported that, for patients with diabetes with PCI or CABG, GHb >7% and TG >1.7 mmol/L were the principal cardio-metabolic risk factors [1]. The steno-2 study [8], a trial of multi-factorial intervention in type 2 diabetes showed reduction in death from cardiovascular causes and cardiovascular events by significantly lowering fasting glucose (7.2 mmol/L) and TG levels (1.30 mmol/L).

In conclusion, elevated FBG, GHb and TG levels were the primary metabolic abnormalities of patients with diabetes prior to revascularization. Heart ejection fraction for patients with GHb  $\geq$ 8% was lower more than those with GHb <8% and patients without diabetes. Patients with diabetes with GHb  $\geq$ 8%, and decreased left ventricular injection fraction as risk factors potentially contributed to poorer prognosis for heart revascularization when compared to those with GHb <8% and patients without diabetes. Monitoring GHb and heart ejection fraction can play a major role in predicting and improving the outcomes post cardiac revascularization for patients with diabetes. In our study, high GHbA1c and decreased EF could be a new insight and helpful for future other investigators.

### Acknowledgements

We thank all members or contributors of this study and paper for their efforts and contributions. This work was partly supported by grants for Dr. Yingsheng Zhou from Beijing Municipal Science & Technology Commission (Z13110-200400000), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81041024) and Ministry of Education of China [2012 (940)].

### Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Yingsheng Zhou, Department of Endocrinology & Metabolism, Beijing Anzhen Hospital Affiliated to Capital Medical University, Beijing 100029, China; Beijing Institute of Heart, Lung, and Blood Vessel Diseases, 2 Anzhen Road, Chaoyang District, Beijing 100029, China. Tel: 0086-10-6445 6185; Fax: 0086-10-6445 6183; E-mail: zhouyingsheig@126.com

### References

- [1] Farkouh ME, Domanski M, Sleeper LA, Siami FS, Dangas G, Mack M, Yang M, Cohen DJ, Rosenberg Y, Solomon SD, Desai AS, Gersh BJ, Magnuson EA, Lansky A, Boineau R, Weinberger J, Ramanathan K, Sousa JE, Rankin J, Bhargava B, Buse J, Hueb W, Smith CR, Muratov V, Bansilal S, King S, 3rd, Bertrand M and Fuster V. Strategies for multivessel revascularization in patients with diabetes. N Engl J Med 2012; 367: 2375-2384.
- [2] Frye RL, August P, Brooks MM, Hardison RM, Kelsey SF, MacGregor JM, Orchard TJ, Chaitman BR, Genuth SM, Goldberg SH, Hlatky MA, Jones TL, Molitch ME, Nesto RW, Sako EY and Sobel BE. A randomized trial of therapies for type 2 diabetes and coronary artery disease. N Engl J Med 2009; 360: 2503-2515.
- [3] Kurbaan AS, Bowker TJ, Ilsley CD, Sigwart U and Rickards AF. Difference in the mortality of the CABRI diabetic and nondiabetic populations and its relation to coronary artery disease and the revascularization mode. Am J Cardiol 2001; 87: 947-950; A3.
- [4] Overgaard CB, Dzavik V, Buller CE, Liu L, Banasiak W, Devlin G, Maggioni AP, Leor J, Burton JR, Reis G, Ruzyllo W, Forman SA, Lamas GA and Hochman JS. Percutaneous revascularization and long term clinical outcomes of diabetic patients randomized in the Occluded Artery Trial (OAT). Int J Cardiol 2013; 168: 2416-2422.
- [5] Sobel BE. Coronary revascularization in patients with type 2 diabetes and results of the BARI 2D trial. Coron Artery Dis 2010; 21: 189-198.
- [6] Tight blood pressure control and risk of macrovascular and microvascular complications in type 2 diabetes: UKPDS 38. UK Prospective Diabetes Study Group. BMJ 1998; 317: 703-713.
- [7] Colhoun HM, Betteridge DJ, Durrington PN, Hitman GA, Neil HA, Livingstone SJ, Thomason MJ, Mackness MI, Charlton-Menys V and Fuller JH. Primary prevention of cardiovascular disease with atorvastatin in type 2 diabetes in the Collaborative Atorvastatin Diabetes Study

(CARDS): multicentre randomised placebocontrolled trial. Lancet 2004; 364: 685-696.

- [8] Gaede P, Lund-Andersen H, Parving HH and Pedersen O. Effect of a multifactorial intervention on mortality in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2008; 358: 580-591.
- [9] Haffner SM, Lehto S, Ronnemaa T, Pyorala K and Laakso M. Mortality from coronary heart disease in subjects with type 2 diabetes and in nondiabetic subjects with and without prior myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 1998; 339: 229-234.
- [10] Huang ES, Liu JY, Moffet HH, John PM and Karter AJ. Glycemic control, complications, and death in older diabetic patients: the diabetes and aging study. Diabetes Care 2011; 34: 1329-1336.
- [11] Huxley R, Barzi F and Woodward M. Excess risk of fatal coronary heart disease associated with diabetes in men and women: meta-analysis of 37 prospective cohort studies. BMJ 2006; 332: 73-78.
- [12] Patel A, MacMahon S, Chalmers J, Neal B, Billot L, Woodward M, Marre M, Cooper M, Glasziou P, Grobbee D, Hamet P, Harrap S, Heller S, Liu L, Mancia G, Mogensen CE, Pan C, Poulter N, Rodgers A, Williams B, Bompoint S, de Galan BE, Joshi R and Travert F. Intensive blood glucose control and vascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2008; 358: 2560-2572.
- [13] Stratton IM, Adler AI, Neil HA, Matthews DR, Manley SE, Cull CA, Hadden D, Turner RC and Holman RR. Association of glycaemia with macrovascular and microvascular complications of type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 35): prospective observational study. BMJ 2000; 321: 405-412.
- [14] Wu C, Camacho FT, Wechsler AS, Lahey S, Culliford AT, Jordan D, Gold JP, Higgins RS, Smith CR and Hannan EL. Risk score for predicting long-term mortality after coronary artery bypass graft surgery. Circulation 2012; 125: 2423-2430.
- [15] van Melle JP, Bot M, de Jonge P, de Boer RA, van Veldhuisen DJ and Whooley MA. Diabetes, glycemic control, and new-onset heart failure in patients with stable coronary artery disease: data from the heart and soul study. Diabetes Care 2010; 33: 2084-2089.
- [16] Fang ZY, Prins JB and Marwick TH. Diabetic cardiomyopathy: evidence, mechanisms, and therapeutic implications. Endocr Rev 2004; 25: 543-567.
- [17] Schwartz L, Bertolet M, Feit F, Fuentes F, Sako EY, Toosi MS, Davidson CJ, Ikeno F and King SB 3rd. Impact of completeness of revascularization on long-term cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: results

from the Bypass Angioplasty Revascularization Investigation 2 Diabetes (BARI 2D). Circ Cardiovasc Interv 2012; 5: 166-173.

- [18] Nodari S, Manerba A, Vaccari A, Milesi G, Carubelli V, Lazzarini V, Lombardi C, Ettori F, Metra M and Dei Cas A. Six-year prognosis of diabetic patients with coronary artery disease. Eur J Clin Invest 2012; 42: 376-383.
- [19] Seshasai SR, Kaptoge S, Thompson A, Di Angelantonio E, Gao P, Sarwar N, Whincup PH, Mukamal KJ, Gillum RF, Holme I, Njolstad I, Fletcher A, Nilsson P, Lewington S, Collins R, Gudnason V, Thompson SG, Sattar N, Selvin E, Hu FB and Danesh J. Diabetes mellitus, fasting glucose, and risk of cause-specific death. N Engl J Med 2011; 364: 829-841.
- [20] Yang SW, Zhou YJ, Hu DY, Nie XM, Liu YY, Hua Q, Wang X and Li HW. Association between admission hypoglycaemia and in-hospital and 3-year mortality in older patients with acute myocardial infarction. Heart 2010; 96: 1444-1450.
- [21] Duckworth W, Abraira C, Moritz T, Reda D, Emanuele N, Reaven PD, Zieve FJ, Marks J, Davis SN, Hayward R, Warren SR, Goldman S, McCarren M, Vitek ME, Henderson WG and Huang GD. Glucose control and vascular complications in veterans with type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2009; 360: 129-139.
- [22] Gerstein HC, Miller ME, Byington RP, Goff DC Jr, Bigger JT, Buse JB, Cushman WC, Genuth S, Ismail-Beigi F, Grimm RH Jr, Probstfield JL, Simons-Morton DG and Friedewald WT. Effects of intensive glucose lowering in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2008; 358: 2545-2559.
- [23] Kohsaka S, Goto M, Nagai T, Lee VV, Elayda M, Furukawa Y, Fukushima M, Komeda M, Sakata R, Ohsugi M, Fukuda K, Wilson JM, Kita T and Kimura T. Impact of diabetes among revascularized patients in Japan and the U.S. Diabetes Care 2012; 35: 654-659.
- [24] Raz I, Wilson PW, Strojek K, Kowalska I, Bozikov V, Gitt AK, Jermendy G, Campaigne BN, Kerr L, Milicevic Z and Jacober SJ. Effects of prandial versus fasting glycemia on cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes: the HEART2D trial. Diabetes Care 2009; 32: 381-386.
- [25] Silbernagel G, Rosinger S, Grammer TB, Kleber ME, Winkelmann BR, Boehm BO and Marz W. Duration of type 2 diabetes strongly predicts all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in people referred for coronary angiography. Atherosclerosis 2012; 221: 551-557.
- [26] Nurkalem Z, Hasdemir H, Ergelen M, Aksu H, Sahin I, Erer B, Yilmaz HY, Comert N, Sargin M and Eren M. The relationship between glucose tolerance and severity of coronary artery disease using the Gensini score. Angiology 2010; 61: 751-755.

- [27] Saleh N, Petursson P, Lagerqvist B, Skuladottir H, Svensson A, Eliasson B, Gudbjornsdottir S, Eeg-Olofsson K and Norhammar A. Long-term mortality in patients with type 2 diabetes undergoing coronary angiography: the impact of glucose-lowering treatment. Diabetologia 2012; 55: 2109-2117.
- [28] Selvin E, Steffes MW, Zhu H, Matsushita K, Wagenknecht L, Pankow J, Coresh J and Brancati FL. Glycated hemoglobin, diabetes, and cardiovascular risk in nondiabetic adults. N Engl J Med 2010; 362: 800-811.