Review Article Corticosteroid therapy for severe acute pancreatitis: a meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials

Li-Hua Dong^{1,2}, Zhong-Min Liu¹, Shi-Ji Wang¹, Shu-Jie Zhao¹, Dong Zhang¹, Ying Chen¹, Yu-Shan Wang¹

¹Intensive Care Unit, First Affiliated Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun 130021, China; ²Intensive Care Unit, Second Affiliated Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun 130041, China

Received March 12, 2015; Accepted June 10, 2015; Epub July 1, 2015; Published July 15, 2015

Abstract: Background: Recent reports about the benefits of corticosteroid therapy in patients with severe acute pancreatitis (SAP) have shown conflicting results. We aimed to explore the effects of corticosteroid therapy in SAP patients on patient outcomes by performing a meta-analysis. Methods: Databases (Medline, EMBASE, Web of Science, PubMed, Cochrane Library, Chinese Biomedicine Database, and China Academic Journal Full-Text Database) were queried for all relevant, randomized, controlled trials investigating corticosteroid therapy in patients with SAP. Results: Six randomized, controlled trials including 430 SAP patients were identified. Corticosteroid therapy for SAP was associated with reductions in the length of hospital stay, the need for surgical intervention, and the mortality rate (weighted mean difference [WMD]: -9.47, 95% confidence interval [CI]: -16.91 to -2.04, P = 0.01; odds ratio [OR]: 0.35, 95% CI: 0.18-0.67, P = 0.002; OR: 0.45, 95% CI: 0.22-0.94, P = 0.03). There were no significant differences in the complication rates or Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II (APACHE II) scores in patients with SAP.

Keywords: Corticosteroid, severe acute pancreatitis, meta-analysis

Introduction

Acute pancreatitis (AP) is initiated by autodigestion, defined as the activation of proteolytic and lipolytic enzymes within acinar cells and/or pancreatic ducts, which leads to inflammation and destruction of the pancreatic tissue. AP is associated with a high mortality rate, and its incidence has been increasing in recent years. Standard therapy for AP includes bowel rest, pain control, intravenous fluids, and correction of electrolyte and metabolic abnormalities. Patients with more severe presentations are treated with early enteral nutrition and are closely monitored to maintain adequate organ perfusion.

Despite these therapeutic interventions, approximately 20% of patients with severe acute pancreatitis (SAP) will progress to systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS), complicated by shock, multiorgan failure, and even death, within the first 72 hours after admission [1, 2]. SIRS is a response to the widespread systemic effects of proinflammatory cytokines,

including tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α), interleukin (IL)- β , IL-6, and phospholipase A₂ (PLA₂). These circulating cytokines play major roles in SAP progression to a systemic process by activating the vascular endothelium, increasing capillary permeability, and triggering the migration of leukocytes into tissues throughout the entire body [3, 4]. Inhibitors of these proinflammatory cytokines can be beneficial for reducing the severity of SAP.

Corticosteroids have shown beneficial effects in animal models of AP and SAP [5-7], potentially due to their effects on inflammatory mediators, such as cytokines, endotoxins, and free radicals [8, 9]. Corticosteroids were initially reported to induce pancreatitis in susceptible patients [10]. However, in 1952, corticosteroids were used successfully as nonspecific antiinflammatory agents to treat AP [11]. Following this report, additional studies showed that corticosteroids suppress the release of inflammatory cytokines in AP [12-14]. In 1997, Lazar and colleagues found that rats treated with hydrocortisone showed diminished IL-6 levels [15].




Figure 1. Six original RCTs were selected from 430 articles obtained from the electronic searches of MEDLINE, EMBASE, Web of Science, PubMed, Cochrane Library, Chinese Biomedicine Database, and China Academic Journal Full-Text Database. These RCTs were published from 2002 to 2010 and included 320 patients with SAP.

SAP patients exhibit an influx of leukocytes into the pancreas [16]. Corticosteroids may relieve disease symptoms by inhibiting leukocyte activation [17]. Corticosteroids increase the activity of selected protease inhibitors [17, 18] and indirectly inhibit PLA_2 synthesis [19]. Administration of glucocorticoids attenuates pancreatic damage by protecting acinar cells [20]. When administered shortly before endotoxin, hydrocortisone greatly reduced the clinical response to endotoxin in normal volunteers [21]. This interaction with endotoxin may represent another mechanism by which corticosteroids act.

Despite the positive results in animal models, the impact of corticosteroid therapy in patients with SAP remains unclear. Wan et al [22] found that corticosteroid therapy in SAP patients with SIRS decreased the risk of developing acute respiratory distress syndrome and shortened the length of hospitalization. Similarly, Xiang et al [23] reported that corticosteroid therapy ameliorated and abbreviated pathogenesis. However, most studies have not concluded whether corticosteroid therapy is useful in reducing rates of complications, surgery, and mortality in SAP patients. Therefore, given the positive effects in animal experiments [24, 25], we performed a meta-analysis to explore the potential effects of corticosteroids in patients with SAP.

Methods

Search strategy

We performed a literature search in several electronic databases (i.e., MEDLINE, EMBASE, Web of Science, PubMed, Cochrane Library, Chinese Biomedicine Database, and China Academic Journals Full-Text Database), without any language restriction. The following search terms were used: "(acute pancreatitis OR severe acute pancreatitis) AND (steroid OR corticosteroid OR cortisol OR glucocorticoid OR dexametha-

sone OR hydrocortisone OR prednisone OR prednisolone acetate OR methylprednisolone)" AND "("pancreatitis" [MeSH] AND "glucocorticoids" [MeSH])". References of selected studies were searched by hand.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Studies were included in the meta-analysis if they were randomized controlled trials (RCTs) enrolling participants, of any sex or ethnic origin, who had been diagnosed with SAP on the basis of clinical findings, elevated C-reactive protein and serum amylase concentrations, and computed tomography (CT) results. SAP was defined by a history of typical abdominal pain associated with an increase in serum lipase values of at least twofold. SAP diagnosis was confirmed by CT. Severity was predicted by Ranson's score (\geq 3), Balthazar CT (\geq II), Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II (APACHE II) scores (> 8), local complications (e.g., pancreatic necrosis, pseudocyst, and pancreatic abscess), or organ failure. Data on at least one of the following outcomes was required for inclusion: complication rates, changes in APACHE II scores, length of hospital stay, need for surgical intervention, or mortality.

Study	Sample Randomization Blinding size			Intervention	Confounding factor	Duration	Follow- up
Wan et al. [22] (China 2011)	70 (35/35)	Table of numbers	No	1 mg/(kg* day) dexamethasone	Modified Dachengqi decoction	3 days	Yes
Xiang et al. [23] (China 2010)	37 (19/18)	Yes	No	10 mg* (2-3)/day dexamethasone	None	3-5 days	Yes
Li et al. [26] (China 2006)	87 (45/42)	Yes	No	20-30 mg* (2-3)/day dexamethasone and 16- 20 mg/day composite salvia miltiorrhiza	Composite salvia miltiorrhiza	3-5 days	Yes
Ma et al. [27] (China 2002)	40 (20/20)	Table of numbers	No	80-100 mg/day dexamethasone	None	14 days	Yes
Zhang et al. [28] (China 2011)	47 (26/21)	Yes	No	10 mg* 3/day dexamethasone combined with 6% hydroxyethyl starch 130/0.4 and furosemide		7 days	Yes
Dai et al. [29] (China 2011)	39 (19/20)	Table of numbers	No	1 mg/(kg* day) methylprednisolone	None	5 days	Yes

Table 1. Methodological characteristics of studies included in this meta-analysis

A Complication Rate

Experimental			Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% CI	
Li 2006	26	45	38	42	34.0%	0.14 [0.04, 0.47]		
Ma 2002	6	20	8	20	33.0%	0.64 [0.17, 2.38]		
Zhang 2011	9	21	5	26	33.0%	3.15 [0.86, 11.59]		
Total (95% CI)		86		88	100.0%	0.65 [0.11, 3.85]		
Total events	41		51					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	2.04; Chi ² :	= 11.80,	df = 2 (P	= 0.00	% ⊢	.01 0.1 1 10	100	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.47 (P	= 0.64)				rs experimental Favors contro		

^B Change in APACHE II Score


	Expe	erimen	tal	С	ontrol			Mean Difference		Mean I	Differe	ence	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% C		IV, Rand	<u>lom, 9</u>	5% CI	
Dai 2011	0.44	0.46	19	0.05	0.43	20	36.1%	0.39 [0.11, 0.67]			۰.		
Xiang 2010	-3	4.68	19	0	4.68	18	29.5%	-3.00 [-6.02, 0.02]			•		
Zhang 2011	4.28	1.9	26	-1	2.87	21	34.4%	5.28 [3.85, 6.71]			•		
Total (95% CI)			64			59	100.0%	1.07 [-2.75, 4.90]			•		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect				f = 2 (P	F	-100 avors e	-50 xperimental	0 Fav	50 fors contro	100			

^C Length of Hospital Stay

	Exp	eriment	tal	С	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% C	IV. Random, 95% CI
Dai 2011	13.15	4.26	19	11.26	3.34	20	17.7%	1.89 [-0.52, 4.30]	•
Li 2006	42	5	45	49	6.8	42	17.7%	-7.00 [-9.52, -4.48	•
Ma 2002	42	8	20	58	8	20	16.7%	-16.00 [-20.96, -11.04	+
Wan 2011	32.5	13.2	35	40.2	17.5	35	15.4%	-7.70 [-14.96, -0.44	-
Xiang 2010	15.8	4.8	19	34.8	5.2	18	17.4%	-19.00 [-22.23, -15.77	•
Zhang 2011	20.12	10.37	26	29.4	15.6	21	15.1%	-9.28 [-17.05, -1.51]	-
Total (95% CI)			164			156	100.0%	-9.47 [-16.91, -2.04]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	79.69; C	chi² = 11	8.29, c	if = 5 (P	o < 0.00	0001); I	² = 96%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.50	(P = 0.	01)					-100 -50 0 50 100 Favors experimental Favors control	

^D Need for Surgical Intervention

	Experime	ental	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Li 2006	6	45	10	42	29.9%	0.49 [0.16, 1.50]	
Ma 2002	6	20	15	20	35.0%	0.14 [0.04, 0.57]	
Wan 2011	3	35	4	35	12.2%	0.73 [0.15, 3.51]	
Zhang 2011	3	26	7	21	22.9%	0.26 [0.06, 1.18]	
Total (95% CI)		126		118	100.0%	0.35 [0.18, 0.67]	•
Total events	18		36				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2	,	`	<i>,</i> .	%		I	0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: 2	<u>z</u> = 3.12 (P	= 0.002	.)			Fav	ors experimental Favors control
Mortality	Experime	ental	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI



Е

Figure 2. Forest plots of the effects of corticoid treatment for patients with severe acute pancreatitis. Forest plots display values of the weighted mean difference (WMD), 95% confidence interval (CI), and odds ratio (OR). The diamond indicates the global estimate and its 95% CI.

Data extraction and methodological quality

Two reviewers independently extracted the study data, including authors, publication year, study design, population, intervention, duration, and outcome. Disagreement was resolved by discussion. Methodological quality of the studies was scored by the Jadad scale, on the basis of the randomization method, allocation concealment, blinding of outcome assessment, and follow-ups. All included studies had a Jadad score of at least 2.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed with Review Manager 5.0. Dichotomous data were presented as odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Statistical heterogeneity was measured using the chi-squared test and the inconsistency index (I^2). A *P*-value < 0.05 in the chi-squared test was considered to indicate statistically significant heterogeneity. The random-effects model was applied when obvious heterogeneity was present; otherwise, the fixed-effects model was used.

Results

The literature search yielded 430 articles published from 1996 to 2013. After reviewing each publication, we selected six original RCTs that met the study criteria. These RCTs were published from 2002 to 2010 and included 320 SAP patients (**Figure 1**). Characteristics of each study are shown in **Table 1**. Allocation concealment was adequate in three studies. Blinding was not mentioned in any study. Follow-ups were completed in all studies. Baseline characteristics of patients included in each study were well matched between the treatment and control groups.

There was no significant difference in the complication rate between the experimental and control groups (OR: 0.65, 95% Cl: 0.11 to 3.85, P = 0.64). Three RCTs [26-28] reported this outcome, with significant heterogeneity being observed among them (I² = 83%, P = 0.003, **Figure 2A**). There was no significant difference in the APACHE II score changes between the two groups (weighted mean difference [WMD]: 1.07, 95% CI: -2.75 to 4.9, P = 0.58). Three RCTs [23, 28, 29] reported this outcome, with significant heterogeneity being observed among them (I² = 96%, P < 0.00001, **Figure 2B**). Corticosteroid therapy had a significant effect on the length of hospital stay in patients with SAP (WMD: -9.47 95% CI: -16.91 to -2.04, P = 0.01). Six RCTs [22, 23, 26-29] reported this outcome, with significant heterogeneity being observed among them (I² = 96%, P <0.00001, **Figure 2C**).

Significantly fewer patients in the experimental group required surgery compared to the control group (OR: 0.35, 95% CI: 0.18-0.67, P = 0.002). Included studies [22, 26-28] were homogeneous (I² = 0%, P = 0.40, **Figure 2D**). Four RCTs [22, 26, 28, 29] assessed the effect of corticosteroid therapy on reducing the incidence of mortality. The mortality rate was significantly lower in the experimental group than in the control group (OR 0.45, 95% CI: 0.22-0.94, P = 0.03). Homogeneity among the studies was observed (I² = 0%, P = 0.68, **Figure 2E**).

Discussion

In this meta-analysis of six RCTs, corticosteroid therapy reduced the length of hospitalization, the need for surgical intervention, and the mortality rate. Corticosteroid use not only lowered pain and hospitalization expenses, but also extended the survival of SAP patients. However, some researchers found an increased mortality rate after administering a high dose of hydrocortisone treatment (100 mg/kg) [30]. Thus, we conclude that a relatively low dose (10 mg/ kg) corticosteroids is helpful in the treatment of SAP.

Five of the six RCTs examined the effect of dexamethasone in the treatment of SAP, and one investigated the effect of methylprednisolone. The dexamethasone dosages differed in each study (range: 20-120 mg/day), and the duration of treatment ranged from 3 to 14 days. Wan et al. [22] studied the compound effect of dexamethasone and a Chinese herb decoction. Zhang et al. [28] studied the combined use of

6% hydroxyethyl starch, dexamethasone, and furosemide. Such combined interventions may have complicated the results, but the number of studies was limited. The diagnosis of SAP was based on similar, but not identical, criteria. Studies diagnosed SAP according to the "Atlanta" criteria [31], the National Conference for Pancreatic Diagnosis Standards [32], and the Guide to Diagnosis and Treatment of Acute Pancreatitis in China [33]. Although all of the studies were prospective and randomized, none was blinded. Therefore, the possibility of investigator bias must be considered.

In conclusion, corticosteroid therapy can benefit SAP patients by reducing the length of hospital stay, the need for surgical intervention and the mortality rate. Future well-designed RCTs of adequate size and duration are needed to explore the effects of corticosteroids in SAP patients.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by a grant from National Health and Family Planning Commission of the People's Republic of China (Special Fund for Health Scientific Research in the Public Interest). Program: No. 201202011.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Yu-Shan Wang, Intensive Care Unit, First Affiliated Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun 130021, China. E-mail: dongleehua@163.com

References

- [1] Rau BM, Bothe A, Kron M, Beger HG. Role of early multisystem organ failure as major risk factor for pancreatic infections and death in severe acute pancreatitis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2006; 4: 1053-61.
- [2] Isenmann R, Rau B, Beger HG. Early severe acute pancreatitis: characteristics of a new subgroup. Pancreas 2001; 22: 274-8.
- [3] Montravers P, Chollet-Martin S, Marmuse JP, Gougerot-Pocidalo MA, Desmonts JM. Lymphatic release of cytokines during acute lung injury complicating severe pancreatitis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1995; 152: 1527-33.
- [4] Kylänpää ML, Repo H, Puolakkainen PA. Inflammation and immunosuppression in seve-

re acute pancreatitis. World J Gastroenterol 2010; 16: 2867-72.

- [5] Xiping Z, Yan P, Xinmei H, Guanghua F, Meili M, Jie N, Fangjie Z. Effects of dexamethasone and Salvia miltiorrhizae on the small intestine and immune organs of rats with severe acute pancreatitis. Inflammation 2010; 33: 259-66.
- [6] Muller CA, Belyaev O, Appelros S, Buchler M, Uhl W, Borgstrom A. Dexamethasone affects inflammation but not trypsinogen activation in experimental acute pancreatitis. Eur Surg Res 2008; 40: 317-24.
- [7] Wang ZF, Pan CE, Lu Y, Liu SG, Zhang GJ, Zhang XB. The role of inflammatory mediators in severe acute pancreatitis and regulation of glucocorticoids. Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int 2003; 2: 458-62.
- [8] Zhang XP, Chen L, Hu QF, Tian H, Xu RJ, Wang ZW, Wang KY, Cheng QH, Yan W, Li Y, Li QY, He Q, Wang F. Effects of large dose of dexamethasone on inflammatory mediators and pancreatic cell apoptosis of rats with severe acute pancreatitis. World J Gastroenterol 2007; 13: 5506-11.
- [9] Zhang XP, Zhang L, Chen LJ, Cheng QH, Wang JM, Cai W, Shen HP, Cai J. Influence of Dexamethasone on inflammatory mediators and NF-kappaB expression in multiple organs of rats with severe acute pancreatitis. World J Gastroenterol 2007; 13: 548-56.
- [10] Riemenschneider TA, Wilson JF, Vernier RL. Glucocorticoid-induced pancreatitis in children. Pediatrics 1968; 41: 428-437.
- [11] Stephenson HE Jr, Pfefer RB, Szypol GM. Acute hemorrhagic pancreatitis: report of a case with cortison treatment. AMA Arch Surg 1952; 65: 307-8.
- [12] Kimura T, Zuidema GD, Cameron JL. Steroid administration and acute pancreatitis: studies with an isolated, perfused canine pancreas. Surgery 1979; 85: 520-4.
- [13] Studley JG, Schenk WG Jr. Pathophysiology of acute pancreatitis: evaluation of the effect and mode of action of steroids in experimental pancreatitis in dogs. Am J Surg 1982; 143: 761-4.
- [14] Zanker B, Walz G, Wieder KJ, Strom TB. Evidence that glucocorticoids block expression of the human interleukin-6 gene by accessory cells. Transplantation 1990; 49: 183-5.
- [15] Lazar G Jr, Varga J, Lazar G, Duda E, Takacs T, Balogh A, Lonovics J. The effects of glucocorticoids and a glucocorticoid antagonist (RU 38486) on experimental acute pancreatitis in rat. Acta Chir Hung 1997; 36: 190-1.
- Schölmerich J1, Schümichen C, Lausen M, Gross V, Leser HG, Lay L, Farthmann EH, Gerok W. Scintigraphic assessment of leukocyte infiltration in acute pancreatitis using techne-

tium-99m-hexamethyl propyleme amine oxine as leukocyte label. Dig Dis Sci 1991; 36: 65-70.

- [17] Lasser EC, Lang JH, Lyon SG, Hamblin AE, Howard M. Glucocorticoid-induced elevations of C-1-esterase inhibitor: a mechanism for protection against lethal dose range contrast challenge in rabbits. Invest Radiol 1981; 16: 20-3.
- [18] Yamaguchi H, Weidenbach H, Lührs H, Lerch MM, Dickneite G, Adler G. Combined treatment with C1 esterase inhibitor and antithrombin III improves survival in severe acute experimental pancreatitis. Gut 1997; 40: 531-5.
- [19] Bettinger JR, Grendel JH. Intracellular events in the pathogenesis of acute pancreatitis. Pancreas 1991; 6 Suppl 1: S2-6.
- [20] Kimura K, Shimosegawa T, Sasano H, Abe R, Satoh A, Masamune A, Koizumi M, Nagura H, Toyota T. Endogenous glucocorticoids decrease the acinar cell sensitivity to apoptosis during cerulein pancreatitis in rats. Gastroenterology 1998; 114: 372-81.
- [21] Santos AA, Scheltinga MR, Lynch E, Brown EF, Lawton P, Chambers E, Browning J, Dinarello CA, Wolff SM, Wilmore DW. Elaboration of interleukin- 1 receptor antagonist is not attenuated by glucocorticoids after endotoximia. Arch Surg 1993; 128: 138-43.
- [22] Wan MH, Li J, Gong HL, Xue P, Zhu L, Chen GY, Xia Q, Wen-Fu T. Clinical observation on the effect of dexamethasone and Chinese herbal decoction for purgation in severe acute pancreatitis patients. Chin J Integr Med 2011; 17: 141-5.
- [23] Xiang Kai-ming, Wang Xue-feng. The therapeutic effects of glucocorticoid on severe acute pancreatitis combined with multiple organ dysfunction syndrome. Chinese General practice 2010; 13: 2387-8.
- [24] Ou JM, Zhang XP, Wu CJ, Wu DJ, Yan P. Effects of dexamethasone and Salvia miltiorrhiza on multiple organs in rats with severe acute pancreatitis. J Zhejiang Univ Sci B 2012; 13: 919-31.

- [25] Cosen-Binker LI, Binker MG, Cosen R, Negri G, Tiscornia O. Influence of hydrocortisone, prednisolone, and NO association on the evolution of acute pancreatitis. Dig Dis Sci 2006; 51: 915-25.
- [26] Li ZD, Ma QY, Liu QG. Adjunctive therapy of dexamethasone and composite salvia miltiorrhiza in 45 patients with severe acute pancreatitis. J Fourth Mil Med Univ 2006; 27: 158-9.
- [27] Ma M, Geng ZQ, HE XY, Meng SQ. Improving the prognosis of severe acute pancreatitis by using dexamethasone inhibiting inflammatory mediators. J Fourth Mil Med Univ 2002; 23: 932-4.
- [28] Zhang J, Yang ZA, Zhang ZL. Fluid resuscitation of severe acute pancreatitis with combining drug therapy. Chinese Journal of Practical Surgery 2011; 31: 1121-3.
- [29] Dai XW. The treatment of low-dose glucocorticoid to severe acute pancreatitis: An clinical observation. Master Thesis. Central South University 2011.
- [30] Tcholakov O, Uhl W, Gloor B, Bischofberger H, Muller CA, Buchler MW. Role of arachidonic acid metabolism and its inhibition by hydrocortisone in experimental acute pancreatitis. Eur Surg Res 1999; 31: 79-80.
- [31] Bradley EL 3rd. A clinically based classification system for acute pancreatitis. Summary of the International Symposium on Acute Pancreatitis, Atlanta, Ga, September 11 through 13, 1992. Arch Surg 1993; 128: 586-90.
- [32] Pancreaselegy section of Chinese surgical Association. (1997) the clinical classifation standard of acute pancreatitis (the second program in 1996). Chin J Surg 35: 773-5.
- [33] Chinese Medical Association of Gastroenterology pancreatic disease group. Guide to diagnosis and treatment of acute pancreatitis in China. Chin J Surg 2007; 45: 727-9.