Original Article Diagnostic value of a novel real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction for detection of ALK rearrangement in lung adenocarcinoma

Zhiqiang Cheng^{1,3*}, Weiling He^{2*}, Shuhua Li³, Yuefeng Wang³, Cuilan Tang³, Xiaojing Guo¹, Yang Wang¹, Hongtao Jin¹, Lisheng He¹, Liantang Wang³, Yunbao Pan⁴, Zunfu Ke³

¹Shen Zhen People's Hospital, Second Clinical Medical College of Jinan University, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China; ²Department of Gastrointestinal and Pancreatic Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China; ³Department of Pathology, The First Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China; ⁴Department of Pathology, Affiliated Hospital and Wuxi Medical School, Jiangnan University, Wuxi, Jiangsu, China. ^{*}Equal contributors.

Received November 18, 2015; Accepted January 24, 2016; Epub February 1, 2016; Published February 15, 2016

Abstract: The aim of the present study was to evaluate the diagnostic value of real-time reverse transcriptionpolymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) for detection of anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) fusion in lung adenocarcinoma patients. We examined 96 lung adenocarcinoma by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) and real-time RT-PCR. Among 96 cases tested, 8 (8.33%) and 10 (10.42%) cases were ALK positive by FISH and real time RT-PCR, respectively. All the FISH-positive cases were real time RT-PCR positive. Two RT-PCR-positive cases showed FISH negative, but their ALK rearrangement was confirmed by direct sequencing. The total accordance rate between ALK real time RT-PCR and FISH was 97.96%. These results suggest that real time RT-PCR is a reliable screening tool in routine pathologic laboratories for identification of patients with ALK rearrangement for targeted therapy in lung adenocarcinoma.

Keywords: Lung adenocarcinoma, ALK, fluorescence in situ hybridization, real time RT-PCR, targeted therapy

Introduction

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancerrelated death in Europe and USA with metastasis responsible for >70% of deaths. The majority of late stage lung cancer patients die within 18-months of diagnosis [1]. The currently adopted treatment regimen for advanced nonsmall cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients is firstline platinum-based drug chemotherapy combined with third generation agents (i.e. paclitaxel, gemcitabine, vinorelbine or docetaxel). Second line therapies clinically approved include erlotinib and pemetrexed. Five-year survival for advanced NSCLC patients with these therapies is currently less than 5% [2]. Further progress has been made more recently with improved understanding of the molecular mechanisms involved in oncogenesis [3]. These advances enabled the development of drugs that target cancer cell specific gene alterations. These targeted drugs significantly improved response rates and progression-free survival (PFS) in patients with specific genetic alterations [4]. Recent studies indicated inhibition of anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) showed similarly promising response in patients with ALK fusion-bearing NSCLC [5-7].

Soda M originally identified the echinoderm microtubule-associated protein-like 4-ALK (EM-L4-ALK) fusion in NSCLC [7]. This fusion results from a small inversion within chromosome 2p, resulting in many fusion partner such as EML4, KIF5B [8], KLC1 [9] and TFG [10]. The incidence of ALK gene rearrangement appears to range from 2% to 7%, and most EML4-ALK fusion carcinomas are wild type for EGFR, KRAS [7, 11].

Clinical trials showed that an ALK inhibitor, Crizotinib, demonstrated promising results in patients with ALK positive locally advanced or metastatic NSCLC [6]. However, lung cancer with the ALK fusion constitutes only a small fraction of lung cancers, therefore, accurate identification of EML4-ALK lung adenocarcinomas is essential for the selection of appropriate therapy.

EML4-ALK fusion carcinomas can be identified by immunohistochemistry (IHC), fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), or reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) [12-15]. Each analytical method has its advantages and disadvantages, but no agreement has yet been reached on the optimal technique for clinical testing. In this study, we introduced a real time RT-PCR to determine ALK status, together with another reported FISH assay in 96 patients with primary lung cancer. We studied the concordance between FISH and real time RT-PCR to determine the optimal methodology for EML4-ALK testing in a reference laboratory setting.

Patients and methods

Patients

Ninety-six patients had received curative surgery at the First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yatsen University, Guangzhou, China, between December, 2012 and August, 2014. All these tumor samples were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin for 24-48 h and embedded in paraffin and routinely diagnosed as primary lung adenocarcinoma. The use of identified human tissue for this study was approved by the medical ethics committee of Sun Yat-sen University.

Fluorescence in situ hybridization

The FISH analysis was performed on formalinfixed, paraffin-embedded tumor tissue using the LSI ALK Dual Color, Break-apart Rearrangement Probe (Abbott Molecular, Abbott Park, Illinois) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cell line H2228 (positive for EML4-ALK variant 3a/b) and an ALK rearranged anaplastic large cell lymphoma were used as positive controls. Fused (yellow) or adjacent, touching, orange and green signals were classified as negative for ALK rearrangement. ALK rearrangement was concluded if the orange and green signals were not touching (split). Splits less than 2 signal distances apart were classified as rearranged, given the nature of the 2p23 inversions. The reference range for ALK rearrangement was established in normal lung tissue and indicated a cut off of \geq 15% split signals, using the criteria defined above. Three viewers independently scored 100 tumor nuclei from each tissue to determine interobserver agreement.

Real-time RT-PCR

The EML4-ALK fusion mRNA was readily detected by PCR using AmoyDx EML4-ALK Fusion Gene Detection Kit (Amoy Diagnostics, Xiamen, China) according to manufacturer's instruction. Generally, total RNA was extracted with AmovDx FFPE RNA Kit (Spin Column) from 5-10 µm thick FFPE sections with at least 30% tumor cells. For each sample, 100-500 ng of extracted RNA was used for reverse transcription into cDNA at 42°C for 1 h. Real-time PCR was then carried out in each of the four reactions of the EML4-ALK Fusion Gene Detection Kit according to the manufacturer's protocol. Reaction amplifies EML4-ALK variants 1, 2, 3a/b, 5', and 5a/b. All assays were performed on a Roche LightCycler 480 OPCR instrument. The following PCR procedure was used: an initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 15 cycles of 95°C for 25 s, 64°C for 20 s, 72°C for 20 s to ensure the specificity; and 31 cycles of 95°C for 25 s, 60°C for 35 s, 72°C for 20 s to perform the data collection. The qualitative judgment is according to the fusion fluorescence signal. Assay reactions achieving Ct values of <24 cycles were considered positive for one of the variants detected by that reaction mixture. Housekeeping gene (beta-actin) was used to control the integrity of RNA. The amplified PCR products from some samples were subjected to direct sequencing, using AB3500xI DNA Sequencer (Applied Biosystems).

Results

ALK fluorescence in situ hybridization

Ninety-six lung adenocarcinoma patients were included in this study, consisting of 37 female and 59 male patients. The mean age is 56.3 years (range, 24-83 years). Of these, 8 (8.33%, of 96) demonstrated an ALK rearrangement



Figure 1. Pairwise detection of ALK fusion in lung cancer patients by FISH and real-time RT-PCR. A-C. FISH carried out with Vysis LSI ALK Dual color Break-Apart FISH probes detected ALK fusion as split red and green signals. FISH-negative cases showing intact two fused signals per nucleus. Original magnification X1000. D-F. RNA was extracted from the patients showed in the left panel, and then detected by real-time RT-PCR using. Graphs from real-time RT-PCR showing change in the reporter signal against PCR cycle number.

(FISH positive), and 88 cases were FISH negative (**Figure 1**; **Table 1**). The FISH-positive cases included 3 women and 5 men (**Figure 2**; **Table 1**). On FISH examination, split pattern and unbalanced rearrangement were observed in all cases.

ALK real-time RT-PCR

Using real-time RT-PCR, 10 cases (10.42%, of 96) were identified to have EML4-ALK rearrangement, including 4 cases of EML4-ALK fusion variants E13-A20, and 6 cases of variants E6-A20 (Figure 2; Table 1).

Correlation of ALK FISH and real-time RT-PCR

As showed in **Table 1**, all eight (100%, of 8) patients detected as positively by FISH were

real-time RT-PCR positive, while 86 (97.73%, of 88) ALK FISH negative cases were real-time RT-PCR negative. The total accordance rate between ALK FISH and ALK real-time PCR was 97.96%. As for the paradox No. 15 and No. 56 samples, we further send them for direct sequencing. In agreement with our real-time RT-PCR data, sequencing data showed EML4-ALK rearrangement.

Discussion

Although EML4-ALK positive carcinomas take up only 5% to 7% of all NSCLC cases, they represent a therapeutically important subcategory. NSCLC patients with EML4-ALK fusions showed an impressive responsiveness to the ALK tyrosine kinase inhibitor, crizotinib, in early phase clinical trial [6]. Therefore, identification

Table 1. ALK gene status among NSCLC patients
detected by FISH assay and real time RT-PCR

Real time RT-PCR	FISH		Tatal
	Rearranged	WT	Total
Rearranged	8	2	10
WT	0	86	86
Total	8	88	96

Abbreviation: WT, wild type.

of appropriate patient population with reliable screening methods is the key to the overall success of tumor targeted therapies. Currently, several testing methodologies including FISH, IHC, and RT-PCR have been used for the EML4-ALK fusions examination. But each of them, inevitably, has its own advantages and disadvantages. Although FISH has been used to enroll patients in clinical trials, the optimal technique for large-scale screening in a clinical setting has not yet been determined. ALK immunohistochemistry has several desirable characteristics, such as low cost, timeliness of performance, relative ease. However, commercially available ALK antibodies have been reported to lack the sensitivity to detect the EML4-ALK fusion protein [16].

In this study, we introduced a novel real time RT-PCR and retrospectively compared it with FISH for the detection of ALK rearrangement in 96 lung adenocarcinoma. Using FISH as the standard procedure, we demonstrated that the novel real time RT-PCR is a highly sensitive (100%) method for the detection of the ALK rearrangement in lung adenocarcinoma. For two real time RT-PCR-positive but FISH-negative cases, their ALK rearrangements were confirmed by direct sequencing. The high sensitivity and specificity of the real time RT-PCR assay enables a reproducible, easy binary scoring system for evaluating ALK status.

Although a gold standard method for ALKpositive NSCLC had not been established, ALK positivity by FISH has been used as an eligibility criterion in clinical trials with crizotinib [6]. The break-apart probe allows detection of rearrangements, independent of the fusion partners or specific breakpoint. However, ALK gene alteration in NSCLC is an intrachromosomal rearrangement; thus, the split signal of the break-apart probe is relatively close, leading to difficult interpretation of ALK FISH in NSCLC. It has been reported that FISH as an initial screening tool did not detect all cases with ALKpositive NSCLC, because it can be misinterpreted as normal [17]. Similar to the FISH assay, IHC can detect ALK independent of the fusion partner. Due to the low level of EML4-ALK transcriptional activity, early studies with commercial ALK antibodies and standard protocol showed that IHC was specific but not sensitive for the detection of lung cancer with ALK rearrangement [17].

Multiplex RT-PCR system has been the usual screening strategy applied for ALK gene rearrangements [18, 19]. The presence of fusion transcripts as detected by real time RT-PCR provides direct evidence of chromosomal translocation. Therefore, it is desirable to establish a sensitive and accurate detection method for ALK fusion protein based on RT-PCR. In this study, we demonstrated that real time RT-PCR showed 100% of sensitivity, when compared with FISH results.

It has been reported that some of the ALK RT-PCR-positive tumors showed no break-apart FISH signals [17, 20]. In our study, two cases demonstrated false-negative FISH results. However, they showed positive for ALK by both real time RT-PCR and direct sequencing. Because the EML4 and ALK loci are mapped relatively close on chromosome 2p, the subtle changes in fluorescent signal, caused by intrachromosomal inversion in some positive cases, might be difficult to interpret and might lead to false-negative results. The limited probe separation in such cases reduces the sensitivity of FISH assay. One NSCLC patient with complex ALK rearrangement that was negative by FISH analysis has been reported to be crizotinib-sensitive [21]. Real time RT-PCR is a fast and sensitive method for detection of expressed known EML4-ALK fusion variants for which specific primers have been designed [18]. In this study, the sensitivity and specificity of real time RT-PCR for detection of ALK fusion were 100%. We found that only 2 of the 96 cases screened had inconsistent real time RT-PCR and FISH results. Two FISH-negative cases showed positive results by real time RT-PCR, which were confirmed by direct sequencing of the PCR products.

Collectively, we report that the real time RT-PCR is a sensitive and specific screening method to detect ALK rearrangement in lung cancer. Our study demonstrated that ALK RT-PCR-positive



Figure 2. Pairwise detection of ALK fusion in lung cancer patients by FISH, real-time RT-PCR and direct sequencing. A-D. FISH carried out with Vysis LSI ALK Dual color Break-Apart FISH probes detected ALK fusion as split red and green signals. A-D. FISH-positive cases representing split signals and isolated signals. Original magnification X1000. E-H. RNA was extracted from the patients showed in the left panel, and then detected by real-time RT-PCR using. Graphs from real-time RT-PCR showing change in the reporter signal against PCR cycle number. I-L. Direct sequencing showing ALK fusion in all the real-time RT-PCR positive cases.

but FISH-negative lung cancer did have rearrangement as confirmed by direct sequencing. Thus, this subgroup of patients should also benefit from ALK inhibitory therapy. Further clinical trials are required to address the predictive value of ALK real time RT-PCR in these patients.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 30900650/H1615, 81372501/H1615, 81572260/H1615, 81172232/H1615 and 81172564/H1625), the Guangdong Natural Science Foundation (No. 2011B031800025, S2012010008378, S2012010008270, S20-13010015327, 2013B021800126, 2009017-1120070, 11ykpy20, 9451008901002146, 2013B021800126 and 2013B021800259), the Shenzheng Technology Innovation Committee (JCYJ20140416122812024) and 2015-ykzd07.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Zunfu Ke, Department of Pathology, The First Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, 58 Zhongshan Road II, Guangzhou 510080, Guangdong, 510080, China. E-mail: kezunfu@126.com; Dr. Yunbao Pan, Department of Pathology, Affiliated Hospital and Wuxi Medical School, Jiangnan University, 200 Huihe Road, Wuxi 214062, Jiangsu, China. E-mail: panyunbao@outlook.com

References

- [1] Langer CJ, Besse B, Gualberto A, Brambilla E, Soria JC. The evolving role of histology in the management of advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol 2010; 28: 5311-5320.
- [2] Ettinger DS, Akerley W, Bepler G, Blum MG, Chang A, Cheney RT, Chirieac LR, D'Amico TA, Demmy TL, Ganti AK, Govindan R, Grannis FW Jr, Jahan T, Jahanzeb M, Johnson DH, Kessinger A, Komaki R, Kong FM, Kris MG, Krug

LM, Le QT, Lennes IT, Martins R, O'Malley J, Osarogiagbon RU, Otterson GA, Patel JD, Pisters KM, Reckamp K, Riely GJ, Rohren E, Simon GR, Swanson SJ, Wood DE, Yang SC; NCCN Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Panel Members. J Natl Compr Canc Netw 2010; 8: 740-801.

- [3] Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell 2011; 144: 646-674.
- [4] Mok TS, Wu YL, Thongprasert S, Yang CH, Chu DT, Saijo N, Sunpaweravong P, Han B, Margono B, Ichinose Y, Nishiwaki Y, Ohe Y, Yang JJ, Chewaskulyong B, Jiang H, Duffield EL, Watkins CL, Armour AA, Fukuoka M. N Engl J Med 2009; 361: 947-957.
- [5] Camidge DR, Bang YJ, Kwak EL, lafrate AJ, Varella-Garcia M, Fox SB, Riely GJ, Solomon B, Ou SH, Kim DW, Salgia R, Fidias P, Engelman JA, Gandhi L, Jänne PA, Costa DB, Shapiro GI, Lorusso P, Ruffner K, Stephenson P, Tang Y, Wilner K, Clark JW, Shaw AT. Activity and safety of crizotinib in patients with ALK-positive nonsmall-cell lung cancer: updated results from a phase 1 study. Lancet Oncol 2012; 13: 1011-1019.
- [6] Kwak EL, Bang YJ, Camidge DR, Shaw AT, Solomon B, Maki RG, Ou SH, Dezube BJ, Jänne PA, Costa DB, Varella-Garcia M, Kim WH, Lynch TJ, Fidias P, Stubbs H, Engelman JA, Sequist LV, Tan W, Gandhi L, Mino-Kenudson M, Wei GC, Shreeve SM, Ratain MJ, Settleman J, Christensen JG, Haber DA, Wilner K, Salgia R, Shapiro GI, Clark JW, lafrate AJ. Anaplastic lymphoma kinase inhibition in non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2010; 363: 1693-1703.
- [7] Soda M, Choi YL, Enomoto M, Takada S, Yamashita Y, Ishikawa S, Fujiwara S, Watanabe H, Kurashina K, Hatanaka H, Bando M, Ohno S, Ishikawa Y, Aburatani H, Niki T, Sohara Y, Sugiyama Y, Mano H. Identification of the transforming EML4-ALK fusion gene in nonsmall-cell lung cancer. Nature 2007; 448: 561-566.
- [8] Takeuchi K, Choi YL, Togashi Y, Soda M, Hatano S, Inamura K, Takada S, Ueno T, Yamashita Y, Satoh Y, Okumura S, Nakagawa K, Ishikawa Y, Mano H. KIF5B-ALK, a novel fusion oncokinase identified by an immunohistochemistrybased diagnostic system for ALK-positive lung cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2009; 15: 3143-3149.

- [9] Togashi Y, Soda M, Sakata S, Sugawara E, Hatano S, Asaka R, Nakajima T, Mano H, Takeuchi K. KLC1-ALK: a novel fusion in lung cancer identified using a formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue only. PLoS One 2012; 7: e31323.
- [10] Rikova K, Guo A, Zeng Q, Possemato A, Yu J, Haack H, Nardone J, Lee K, Reeves C, Li Y, Hu Y, Tan Z, Stokes M, Sullivan L, Mitchell J, Wetzel R, Macneill J, Ren JM, Yuan J, Bakalarski CE, Villen J, Kornhauser JM, Smith B, Li D, Zhou X, Gygi SP, Gu TL, Polakiewicz RD, Rush J, Comb MJ. Global survey of phosphotyrosine signaling identifies oncogenic kinases in lung cancer. Cell 2007; 131: 1190-1203.
- [11] Choi YL, Takeuchi K, Soda M, Inamura K, Togashi Y, Hatano S, Enomoto M, Hamada T, Haruta H, Watanabe H, Kurashina K, Hatanaka H, Ueno T, Takada S, Yamashita Y, Sugiyama Y, Ishikawa Y, Mano H. Identification of novel isoforms of the EML4-ALK transforming gene in non-small cell lung cancer. Cancer Res 2008; 68: 4971-4976.
- [12] Mino-Kenudson M, Chirieac LR, Law K, Hornick JL, Lindeman N, Mark EJ, Cohen DW, Johnson BE, Jänne PA, lafrate AJ, Rodig SJ. A novel, highly sensitive antibody allows for the routine detection of ALK-rearranged lung adenocarcinomas by standard immunohistochemistry. Clin Cancer Res 2010; 16: 1561-1571.
- [13] Hofman P, Ilie M, Hofman V, Roux S, Valent A, Bernheim A, Alifano M, Leroy-Ladurie F, Vaylet F, Rouquette I, Validire P, Beau-Faller M, Lacroix L, Soria JC, Fouret P. Immunohistochemistry to identify EGFR mutations or ALK rearrangements in patients with lung adenocarcinoma. Ann Oncol 2012; 23: 1738-1743.
- [14] Conklin CM, Craddock KJ, Have C, Laskin J, Couture C, Ionescu DN. Immunohistochemistry is a reliable screening tool for identification of ALK rearrangement in non-small-cell lung carcinoma and is antibody dependent. J Thorac Oncol 2013; 8: 45-51.
- [15] Ying J, Guo L, Qiu T, Shan L, Ling Y, Liu X, Lu N. Diagnostic value of a novel fully automated immunochemistry assay for detection of ALK rearrangement in primary lung adenocarcinoma. Ann Oncol 2013; 24: 2589-2593.

- [16] Martelli MP, Sozzi G, Hernandez L, Pettirossi V, Navarro A, Conte D, Gasparini P, Perrone F, Modena P, Pastorino U, Carbone A, Fabbri A, Sidoni A, Nakamura S, Gambacorta M, Fernández PL, Ramirez J, Chan JK, Grigioni WF, Campo E, Pileri SA, Falini B. EML4-ALK rearrangement in non-small cell lung cancer and non-tumor lung tissues. Am J Pathol 2009; 174: 661-670.
- [17] Rodig SJ, Mino-Kenudson M, Dacic S, Yeap BY, Shaw A, Barletta JA, Stubbs H, Law K, Lindeman N, Mark E, Janne PA, Lynch T, Johnson BE, lafrate AJ, Chirieac LR. Unique clinicopathologic features characterize ALK-rearranged lung adenocarcinoma in the western population. Clin Cancer Res 2009; 15: 5216-5223.
- [18] Soda M, Isobe K, Inoue A, Maemondo M, Oizumi S, Fujita Y, Gemma A, Yamashita Y, Ueno T, Takeuchi K, Choi YL, Miyazawa H, Tanaka T, Hagiwara K, Mano H; North-East Japan Study Group; ALK Lung Cancer Study Group. A prospective PCR-based screening for the EML4-ALK oncogene in non-small cell lung cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2012; 18: 5682-5689.
- [19] Wallander ML, Geiersbach KB, Tripp SR, Layfield LJ. Comparison of reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction, immunohistochemistry, and fluorescence in situ hybridization methodologies for detection of echinoderm microtubule-associated proteinlike 4-anaplastic lymphoma kinase fusion-positive nonsmall cell lung carcinoma: implications for optimal clinical testing. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2012; 136: 796-803.
- [20] Murakami Y, Mitsudomi T, Yatabe Y. A screening method for the ALK fusion gene in NSCLC. Front Oncol 2012; 2: 24.
- [21] Peled N, Palmer G, Hirsch FR, Wynes MW, Ilouze M, Varella-Garcia M, Soussan-Gutman L, Otto GA, Stephens PJ, Ross JS, Cronin MT, Lipson D, Miller VA. Next-generation sequencing identifies and immunohistochemistry confirms a novel crizotinib-sensitive ALK rearrangement in a patient with metastatic nonsmall-cell lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol 2012; 7: e14-16.