# Original Article Loss of c-met expression in malignant endometrial tumors: an immunohistochemistry study

Mohamad Nidal Khabaz<sup>1</sup>, Nadeem Shafique Butt<sup>2</sup>, Nisrin Anfinan<sup>3</sup>, Khalid Sait<sup>3</sup>, Hesham Sait<sup>4</sup>, Jaudah Ahmed Al-Maghrabi<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pathology, Rabigh Faculty of Medicine, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia; <sup>2</sup>Department of Family and Community Medicine, Rabigh Faculty of Medicine, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia; <sup>3</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Gynecology Oncology Unit, Faculty of Medicine, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia; <sup>4</sup>Faculty of Medicine, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia; <sup>5</sup>Department of Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia;

Received December 27, 2015; Accepted March 8, 2016; Epub April 1, 2016; Published April 15, 2016

Abstract: Introduction: Many studies described c-Met involvement in cancer development and progression by its multiple biological responses, which stimulate proliferation, differentiation, survival, motility, migration, angiogenesis and invasion. This study portrays the immunostaining of c-Met in endometrial neoplasms, and assesses its value as diagnostic and prognostic marker. Methods: This study retrospectively recruited 102 cases that include 72 and 30 cases of malignant and benign endometrial tissues respectively. These cases were retrieved from the archives of Pathology Department at King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. Tissue microarrays and immunostaining were used to show the phenotype of c-met. Results: A total number of 13 (18.05%) tumor cases were positive for c-met immunostaining. Yalow to brown cytoplasmic and/or membranous expression of c-met was detected in 2/9 (22.2%) of papillary serous endometrial carcinomas, 9/53 (17%) of endometrioid adenocarcinomas, and one case of each endometrial stromal sarcoma and malignant mixed Mullerian tumor. Twenty three (76.6%) control cases showed positive immunostaining. c-Met immunostaining was common in the cytoplasm more than membranes in malignant tumors while it was cytoplasmic and membranous in benign tissues. Significant different c-Met immunostaining distribution was observed between tumor cases and control group (P-Value = 0.0000). Furthermore, inverse odds ratio shows that tumor cases are 14.92 times less likely of having positive c-Met immunostaining (odds ratio 0.067 with 95% confidence interval 0.024-0.189). This study did not find relation between c-Met expression and disease recurrence, survival or any of the other clinicopathological parameters in endometrial tumors. Conclusion: This study in favor of c-Met expression is not a valuable factor for tumor development, recurrence, and survival in endometrial tumors. Greater c-Met staining was seen in normal and benign endometrial tissue compared to endometrial carcinomas. Loss of c-Met expression gives an indication for endometrial tumors.

Keywords: c-Met, endometrial tumors, immunohistochemistry

#### Introduction

c-Met is a 190 kDa glycoprotein that belongs to a subfamily of receptor tyrosine kinases, predetermined by a proto-oncogene named MET, that is found in band 21-31 of the arm q of chromosome 7 [1]. Hepatocyte growth factor is the only recognized natural ligand of the c-Met [2]. On binding to c-Met, both molecules exert a variety of effects on epithelial and surrounding tissues, which stimulate proliferation, differentiation, survival (prevention of apoptosis), motility, branching morphogenesis, migration, angiogenesis, invasion, and epithelial-mesenchymal transition [3-9]. Furthermore, c-Met has critical cytoprotective role when a response to injuries is required [10, 11].

The advantage conferred by the activation of c-Met pathway to neoplastic cells during tumor progression has been linked mainly to their increased capability to disaggregate from surrounding tumor cells, destroy the basement membranes, and enhance cell motility and metastatic potential [6, 12, 13]. Many recent reviews have documented that the c-Met can

be overexpressed, potentially mutated, and/or amplified in a large number of human malignancies and conduct a critical role in epithelial mesenchymal transition. Changes in c-Met expression levels, and/or mutation/amplification of the receptor, and/or changes in kinase activity can occur in tumors of liver, lung, colon, breast, brain, head and neck, esophagus, stomach, thyroid, pancreas, ovary, cervix, in addition to mesothelioma and sarcomas [6, 12-17].

To our knowledge, only four studies (one of it in Chinese language) have assessed c-Met expression in endometrial tumors and benign conditions of endometrium [18-21]. Endometrial carcinomas are the commonest aggressive malignant neoplasm of the female reproductive organs in developed countries [22]. In Saudi Arabia, two hundred and twenty cancer cases of the corpus uteri have been reported among women representing about 4.1% of all newly confirmed cancer cases in 2010. This type of malignant neoplasm rated sixth amongst female population. The average age was sixty years (28-85). Morphologically, the most common type is endometrial (endometrioid) adenocarcinoma accounts for more than 70%, and less frequently, serous cystadenocarcinoma, clear cell adenocarcinoma, endometrial stromal sarcoma, adenocarcinoma with mixed subtypes, and Others [23].

There are considerable variations between the major histologic types of endometrial carcinoma concerning a range of factors that may influence the treatment of patient, including prognosis, recurrence pattern, chemotherapeutic response, and possibility of thromboembolic complications [24-29]. Such factors require accurate distinction between histologic tumor types of endometrium. Nonetheless, substantial interobserver inconstancy persists among qualified pathologists in the identification of subtypes of endometrial carcinomas [30, 31]. Therefore, a vigorous immunohistochemical diagnostic marker for differentiating histological types of endometrial carcinomas is probably to be valuable.

The current study describes the immunohistochemical phenotype of c-Met in endometrial tumors, investigates its association with clinicopathological factors and follows up data, and tests its reliability as prognostic marker.

# Material and methods

#### Study subjects

This study retrospectively recruited 102 cases that include 72 and 30 cases of malignant and non-malignant endometrial tissues respectively. These cases were retrieved from the archives of Pathology Department at King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

Thirty benign cases of endometrial tissue were recruited as a control group. These control cases were chosen from individuals who were curetted for non-cancerous conditions comprising 16 proliferative endometrium, 10 secretory endometrium and 4 benign endometrial polyps. The average age of this control group is 35.6 years.

These cases (both malignant and benign) covered the period from January 2001 to December 2012. Four micron thickness sections were sliced from paraffin blocks, then stained with hematoxylin and eosin for tumors histopathological characteristics evaluations, grading and staging. Patient's clinical data (age, type of tumor, size, grade and stage of carcinoma) were extracted from the patient's medical records. All recruited tissue blocks of both benign and malignant conditions were used for tissue microarray construction in the present study. Biomedical Ethical Committee at King Abdulaziz University has approved the present study.

# Tissue microarray construction

Seventy two primary endometrial carcinomas and 30 non-cancerous endometrial tissue samples were used for tissue microarray construction (TMA) as previously described [32]. Blocks of TMA were cut into 4-micron thickness sections and placed on aminosilane coated slides to be used later in immunohistochemistry.

#### Immunohistochemistry staining protocol

Immunohistochemical staining of endometrial tumor samples, using anti-c-Met monoclonal antibody (Spring Bioscience, CA, USA), was performed by Multimer technology: *ultra* View<sup>™</sup> DAB procedure following manufacturer's kit instructions. Immunohistochemistry procedure was conducted using Ventana BenchMark ULTRA automatic immunostainer (Ventana

	Characteristics		%	C-met Immunos- taining		P-Value <sup>a</sup>
				Negative	Positive	
72 Endometrial Tumors	Endometrioid adenocarcinoma	53	73.6	44	9	0.0000
	Endometrioid adenocarcinoma with squamous differentiation	6	8.3	6	0	
	Serous adenocarcinoma	9	12.5	7	2	
	Clear cell adenocarcinoma	1	1.38	1	0	
	Malignant mixed mullerian tumor	2	2.77	1	1	
	Endometrial stromal sarcoma	1	1.38	0	1	
30 Non-cancerious control cases	Total	72	100	59	13	
	Proliferative endometrium	16	53.33	4	12	
	Secretory endometrium	10	33.33	1	9	
	Endometrial polyp	4	13.33	2	2	
	Total	30	100	7	23	

a: Fisher's Exact Test Exact Sig. (2-sided).

Table 2.	Clinicopathological	characteristics	of endometrial t	tumor
patients				

Charactariatica	Total	0/	C-met Immu			
	No (72)	70	Negative	Positive	F-value"	
Tumor differentiation						
Well differentiated	41	56.9	33	8	0.1123	
Moderately differentiated	20	27.7	17	3		
Poorly differentiated	8	11.1	8	0		
Ungraded	3	4.16	1	2		
FIGO grades						
I	40	55.6	32	8	0.148	
II	23	31.9	20	3		
III	6	8.33	6	0		
Unngraded	3	4.16	1	2		
FIGO stages						
IA	22	30.55	16	6	0.2033	
IB	11	15.27	9	2		
IC	1	1.38	0	1		
II	1	1.38	0	1		
IIA	1	1.38	1	0		
IIB	3	4.16	2	1		
IIIB	1	1.38	1	0		
IIIC	8	11.11	8	0		
IV	1	1.38	1	0		
IVA	1	1.38	1	0		
IVB	1	1.38	1	0		
Unstaged	21	29.16	19	2		
Recurrent						
Yes	15	20.83	13	2	0.4574	
No	57	79.17	46	11		
Mortalities						
Yes	17	23.61	16	1	0.1256	
No	55	76.39	43	12		

Medical Systems Inc., Arizona, USA). Colorectal adenocarcinoma tissue sample previously shown to be stained with this antibody was utilized as positive control. Tris-buffered saline replaced the primary antibody in a negative control slide. Slides were considered positive when granular yellow or brown staining was revealed in the membranes or cytoplasm of cells. c-Met positivity was scored by two pathologists using scoring system of Al-Maghrabi et al. [32]. The approximated grade of immunostaining intensity mirrored positive staining in transformed cells that make more than 5% of tumor cells.

#### Statistical analysis

Data was statistically analysed using IBM-SPSS version 21. Relation between categorical variables was established by Chi-Square and Fisher's exact test analysis. *P*-value < 0.05 is the statistical significance level.

#### Results

Seventy two endometrial neoplastic cases were revi-

a: Fisher's Exact Test Exact Sig. (2-sided).





**Figure 1.** c-Met expression in endometrial tumors and control tissue. A. Strong positive stained endometrioid adenocarcinoma (40 X); B. Positive stained secretory endometrium (40 X); C. Positive stained colorectal adenocarcinoma tissue (40 X).

sed. Morphologically, the most common type was endometrioid adenocarcinoma accounted for 73.6%, and less frequently, 12.5% papillary serous adenocarcinoma, 8.3% endometrioid endometrial adenocarcinoma with squamous differentiation, 1.38% clear cell carcinoma, 2.77% malignant mixed Mullerian tumor (MMMT), and 1.38% endometrial stromal sarcoma (Table 1). The median age of these cases was 54 years (ranging 26-86 yrs.). More than a half (56.9%) of tumors were well differentiated, 27.7% moderately differentiated, 11.1% were poorly differentiated and three cases were not described (Table 2). FIGO histologic classification was used for grading endometrial tumors, the grades of tumor cases were I, I/II, I/III, II, II/ III, and III accounting for 35 (48.6%), 1 (1.38%), 4 (5.55%), 20 (27.77%), 3 (4.16%), and 6 (8.33%), respectively (Table 2). Three cases were not graded. Only 51 endometrial tumors were staged using FIGO staging system; the most frequent stage was I accounted for 34 (47.22%) including 22 (30.55%) IA, 11 (15.27%) IB, and 1 (1.38%) IC. Next in descending frequency was stage III including 8 (11.11%) IIIC and 1 (1.38%) IIIB, followed by five cases and three cases of stages II and IV respectively (Table 2). The whole number of mortalities in the full panel of cases was 17 (23.61%). Tumor recurrences were seen in 15 (20.83%) cases (**Table 2**), 10 of these patients are deceased because of their tumor, and the remaining 5 patients were still alive at the latest follow up.

Positive cytoplasmic and less frequently membranous expression of c-Met were detected in 13 (18.05%) cases of endometrial tumors which include 9 endometrioid adenocarcinomas, 2 papillary serous carcinomas, one malignant mixed Mullerian tumor and one endometrial stromal sarcoma (Figure 1). Three endometrioid adenocarcinoma exhibited moderate to strong immunostaining in more than 75% of tumor cells, and 6 cases were of weak staining in more than 50% of transformed cells. Two cases of papillary serous adenocarcinoma were of weak focal immunostaining in approximately 25% of tumor cells. In respect of the two cases, MMMT case and stromal sarcoma case, immunoreactivity was moderate to strong and observed in a range of 10-60% of tumor cells.

Twenty three benign control cases were positive for c-Met immunostaining, include 12 proliferative endometrium, 9 secretory endometrium, and 2 endometrial polyps. The majority of control cases revealed membranous and cytoplasmic staining. All nine positive secretory endometrium cases showed moderate to strong c-Met expression. Positive proliferative endometrium cases varied in staining intensity

# Loss of c-met expression in malignant endometrial tumors

	Endometrial carcinoma	Serous carcinoma	Clear cell carcinoma	Endometrial polyp	Normal endometrium	Proliferative	Secretory	Atrophic tissue
Wagatsuma et al. 1998	59 (63.4)				2 (14.3)	2 (40.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Bishop et al. 2011	21 (81%)	27 (71%)						7 (58.3%)
Felix et al. 2012	Low grade 12 (19) High grade 11 (35)	25 (37%)	8 (26%)					
Current study	9 (17%)	2 (22.2%)	0 (0.0)	2 (50%)		12 (75%)	9 (90%)	

 Table 3. C-met expression in endometrial tumors and benign conditions in studies from the literature

with weak stain in 50% of it. In respect of the two polyp cases, one case was of moderate staining and the other one was of weak staining. Significant different c-Met immunostaining distribution was observed between malignant cases and benign control groups (P-Value = 0.0000), furthermore, inverse odds ratio shows that tumor cases are 14.92 times less likely of having positive c-Met immunostaining (odds ratio 0.067 with 95% confidence interval 0.024-0.189). Statistical analysis did not find significant association between c-Met immunostaining, clinical and histopathological characteristics of the endometrial tumors such as differentiation, grade, stage, recurrence and mortality status (Table 2).

### Discussion

Recently, many attentions have been brought to c-Met as a promising biomarker in tumor pathogenesis, and possible therapeutic agent in several human tumors, making MET gene and its protein an important subject in cancer research. Many papers have reported c-Met overexpression in many human malignancies [6-17]. However, few studies have assessed c-Met expression in endometrial tumors and benign conditions of endometrium [18-21]. The results of these studies were inconsistent and positivity of c-Met expression ranged from 19% to 81% of tumor cases, and from 0.0% to 58.3% of benign endometrial tissues (**Table 3**).

Although the current study recruited a small sample size, our results regarding the incidence of the positive immunostaining of c-Met in the 30 controls were higher than the results of other studies (Table 3) [18, 19]. In respect of endometrial endometrioid adenocarcinoma, the results of this study are in agreement with those of Felix et al. [20] which showed positive immunoreactivity in only 19% of the low grade tumor cases, and contradict the results of Wagatsuma et al., and Bishop et al. who found remarkable percentage of cases with positive c-Met expression 63.4% and 81% respectively [18, 19]. Regardless of the small number of serous carcinomas in the current study, our findings are three times less than those of Bishop et al. [19] who reported positive immunostaining in 71% of the cases. They concluded that total c-Met staining was significantly different between the tissue types and greater staining for total c-Met was seen in tumors as compared to atrophic endometrium, which contradicts our findings of greater expression of c-Met in benign than malignant tissues. Regarding the clinicopathological parameters such as grade, stage, recurrence, and survival, our findings are in same orientation of those of Felix et al. [20], who reported "no significant associations were observed between c-Met expression and any of the clinicopathological factors".

However, the differences between the current study and the other studies could be explained by procedures sensitivity, populations' diversity and variances in sample size. Our study and some other similar studies which attempted to evaluate the diagnostic and prognostic value of c-Met immunostaining in malignant and benign endometrial tissues had some limitations. First, the relative small sample size of tumor cases included in these studies. Second, interpretation of immunohistochemical staining is semi-quantitative [33]. However, greater inclusive researches are important for evaluating the diagnostic and prognostic capacities of c-Met immunostaining in malignant and benign endometrial tissues.

In conclusion, this study reveals that c-Met expression is not a critical factor for tumor progression, recurrence, and survival in endometrial tumors. Greater c-Met staining was seen in normal and benign endometrial tissue compared to endometrial carcinomas. Loss of c-Met expression gives an indication for endometrial tumors.

# Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to the Scientific Chair of Professor Abdullah Hussain Basalamah for Gynecological Cancer for the support to produce this paper.

#### Disclosure of conflict of interest

#### None.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Jaudah Al-Maghrabi, Department of Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, King Abdulaziz University, P.O. BOX 80205, Jeddah 21589, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Tel: 00-966-12-6401000 Ext. 17069; 00-966-504680456; Fax: 00-966-12-6408433; E-mail: jalmgrabi@kau.edu. sa; jalmaghrabi@hotmail.com

# References

- Liu Y. The human hepatocyte growth factor receptor gene: complete structural organization and promoter characterization. Gene 1998; 215: 159-69.
- [2] Bottaro DP, Rubin JS, Faletto DL, Chan AM, Kmiecik TE, Vande Woude GF, Aaronson SA. Identification of the hepatocyte growth factor receptor as the met proto-oncogene product. Science 1991; 251: 802-4.
- [3] Organ SL and Tsao MS. An overview of the c-MET signaling pathway. Ther Adv Med Oncol 2011; 3 Suppl: S7-19.
- [4] Wang X, DeFrances MC, Dai Y, Pediaditakis P, Johnson C, Bell A, Michalopoulos GK, Zarnegar R. A mechanism of cell survival: sequestration of Fas by the HGF receptor Met. Mol Cell 2002; 9: 411-421.
- [5] Furge KA, Zhang YW, Vande WG. Met receptor tyrosine kinase: enhanced signaling through adapter proteins. Oncogene 2000; 19: 5582-9.
- [6] Birchmeier C, Birchmeier W, Gherardi E, Vande Woude GF. Met, metastasis, motility and more. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2003; 4: 915-25.
- [7] Zhang YW and Vande Woude GF. HGF/SF-met signaling in the control of branching morphogenesis and invasion. J Cell Biochem 2003; 88: 408-17.
- [8] Gherardi E, Birchmeier W, Birchmeier C, Vande Woude G. Targeting MET in cancer: rationale and progress. Nat Rev Cancer 2012; 12: 89-103.
- [9] Delitto D, Vertes-George E, Hughes SJ, Behrns KE, Trevino JG. c-Met signaling in the development of tumorigenesis and chemoresistance: potential applications in pancreatic cancer. World J Gastroenterol 2014; 20: 8458-70.
- [10] Giebeler A, Boekschoten MV, Klein C, Borowiak M, Birchmeier C, Gassler N, Wasmuth HE, Muller M, Trautwein C, Streetz KL. c-Met confers protection against chronic liver tissue damage and fibrosis progression after bile duct ligation in mice. Gastroenterology 2009; 137: 297-308.
- [11] Marquardt JU, Seo D, Gómez-Quiroz LE, Uchida K, Gillen MC, Kitade M, Kaposi-Novak P, Conner EA, Factor VM, Thorgeirsson SS. Loss of c-Met accelerates development of liver fibrosis in response to CCI (4) exposure through deregulation of multiple molecular pathways. Biochim Biophys Acta 2012; 1822: 942-951.
- [12] Comoglio PM and Trusolino L. Invasive growth: from development to metastasis. J Clin Invest 2002; 109: 857-862.
- [13] Boccaccio C and Comoglio PM. Invasive growth: a MET-driven genetic programme for

cancer and stem cells. Nat Rev Cancer 2006; 6: 637-645.

- [14] Skead G and Govender D. Gene of the month: MET. J Clin Pathol 2015; 68: 405-9.
- [15] Garajová I, Giovannetti E, Biasco G, Peters GJ. c-met as a target for personalized therapy. Transl Oncogenomics 2015; 7 Suppl 1: 13-31.
- [16] Inokuchi M, Otsuki S, Fujimori Y, Sato Y, Nakagawa M, Kojima K. Clinical significance of MET in gastric cancer. World J Gastrointest Oncol 2015; 7: 317-27.
- [17] Sierra JR and Tsao MS. c-MET as a potential therapeutic target and biomarker in cancer. Ther Adv Med Oncol 2011; 3 Suppl: S21-35.
- [18] Wagatsuma S, Konno R, Sato S, Yajima A. Tumor angiogenesis, hepatocyte growth factor, and c-Met expression in endometrial carcinoma. Cancer 1998; 82: 520-30.
- [19] Bishop EA, Lengyel ER, Yamada SD, Montag A, Temkin SM. The expression of hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) and c-Met in uterine serous carcinoma. Gynecol Oncol 2010; 121: 218-23.
- [20] Felix AS, Edwards RP, Stone RA, Chivukula M, Parwani AV, Bowser R, Linkov F, Weissfeld JL. Associations between hepatocyte growth factor, c-Met, and basic fibroblast growth factor and survival in endometrial cancer patients. Br J Cancer 2012; 106: 2004-9.
- [21] He Y, Peng Z, Pan X, Wang H, Ouyang Y. Expression and correlation of C-met and estrogen receptor in endometrial carcinomas. Sichuan Da Xue Xue Bao Yi Xue Ban 2003; 34: 78-9, 88.
- [22] Jemal A, Siegel R, Ward E, Hao Y, Xu J, Murray T, Thun MJ. Cancer statistics, 2008. CA Cancer J Clin 2008; 58: 71-96.
- [23] Al-Eid HS and Quindo MA. Cancer Incidence Report, Saudi Cancer Registry, Ministry of Health. 2014. http://www.scr.org.sa/files/ file/2010.pdf.
- [24] Fadare O, Zheng W, Crispens MA, Jones HW, Khabele D, Gwin K, Liang SX, Mohammed K, Desouki MM, Parkash V, Hecht JL. Morphologic and other clinicopathologic features of endometrial clear cell carcinoma: a comprehensive analysis of 50 rigorously classified cases. Am J Cancer Res 2013; 3: 70-95.
- [25] Lee L, Garrett L, Lee H, Oliva E, Horowitz N, Duska LR. Association of clear cell carcinoma of the endometrium with a high rate of venous thromboembolism. J Reprod Med 2009; 54: 133-8.
- [26] Soslow RA, Bissonnette JP, Wilton A, Ferguson SE, Alektiar KM, Duska LR, Oliva E. Clinicopathologic analysis of 187 high-grade endometrial carcinomas of different histologic subtypes: similar outcomes belie distinctive biologic differences. Am J Surg Pathol 2007; 31: 979-87.

- [27] Hamilton CA, Cheung MK, Osann K, Chen L, Teng NN, Longacre TA, Powell MA, Hendrickson MR, Kapp DS, Chan JK. Uterine papillary serous and clear cell carcinomas predict for poorer survival compared to grade 3 endometrioid corpus cancers. Br J Cancer 2006; 94: 642-6.
- [28] Murphy KT, Rotmensch J, Yamada SD, Mundt AJ. Outcome and patterns of failure in pathologic stages I-IV clear-cell carcinoma of the endometrium: implications for adjuvant radiation therapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2003; 55: 1272-6.
- [29] Abeler VM, Vergote IB, Kjørstad KE, Trope CG. Clear cell carcinoma of the endometrium. Prognosis and metastatic pattern. Cancer 1996; 78: 1740-7.
- [30] Fadare O, Parkash V, Dupont WD, Acs G, Atkins KA, Irving JA, Pirog EC, Quade BJ, Quddus MR, Rabban JT 3rd, Vang R, Hecht JL. The diagnosis of endometrial carcinomas with clear cells by gynecologic pathologists: an assessment of interobserver variability and associated morphologic features. Am J Surg Pathol 2012; 36: 1107-18.

- [31] Gilks CB, Oliva E, Soslow RA. Poor interobserver reproducibility in the diagnosis of high-grade endometrial carcinoma. Am J Surg Pathol 2013; 37: 874-81.
- [32] Al-Maghrabi J, Emam E, Gomaa W, Saggaf M, Buhmeida A, Al-Qahtani M, Al-Ahwal M. c-MET immunostaining in colorectal carcinoma is associated with local disease recurrence. BMC Cancer 2015; 15: 676.
- [33] Seidal T, Balaton AJ, Battifora H. Interpretation and quantification of immunostains. Am J Surg Pathol 2001; 25: 1204-7.