# Original Article Influence of CHIEF pathway genes on gene expression: a pathway approach to functionality

Martha L Slattery<sup>1</sup>, Abbie Lundgreen<sup>1</sup>, Lila E Mullany<sup>1</sup>, Rosalind B Penney<sup>2</sup>, Roger K Wolff<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, University of Utah Health Sciences Center, Salt Lake City, UT 84018, USA; <sup>2</sup>Department of Environmental and Occupational Health, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, Arkansas, USA

Received March 29, 2014; Accepted April 10, 2014; Epub May 29, 2014; Published June 15, 2014

Abstract: Background: Candidate pathway approaches in disease association studies often utilize a tagSNP approach to capture genetic variation. In this paper we assess gene expression patterns with SNPs in genes in the CHIEF pathway to help determine their potential functionality. Methods: Quantitative real-time RT-PCR was run to determine gene expression of 13 genes in normal colon tissue samples from 82 individuals. TagSNP genotype data were obtained from a GoldenGate Illumina multiplex bead array platform. Age, sex, and genetic ancestry adjusted general linear models were used to estimate beta coefficients and p values. Results: Genetic variation in mTOR (1 SNP), NFKB1 (4 SNPs), PRKAG2 (3 SNPs), and TSC2 (1 SNP) significantly influenced their expression. After adjustment for multiple comparisons several associations between pathway genes and expression of other genes were significant. These included AKT1 rs1130214 associated with expression of PDK1; NFKB1 rs13117745 and rs4648110 with STK11 expression; PRKAG2 rs6965771 with expression of NFkB1, PIK3CA, and RPS6KB2; RPS6KB1 rs80711475 with STK11 expression: STK11 rs741765 with PIK3CA and PRKAG2 expression: and TSC2 rs3087631 with AKT1, IkBkB, NFkB1, PDK1, PIK3CA, PRKAG2, and PTEN expression. The higher levels of differential expression were noted for TSC2 rs3087631 (percent difference ranges from 108% to 198% across genes). Many of these SNPs and genes also were associated with colon and rectal cancer risk. Conclusions: Our results suggest that pathway genes may regulate expression of other genes in the pathway. The convergence of these genes in several biological pathways involved in cancer further supports their importance to the carcinogenic process.

Keywords: Gene expression, colorectal cancer, mTOR, AKT1, STK11, PRKAG2, TSC2, PTEN

#### Introduction

Candidate pathway approaches in disease association studies often utilize a tagSNP approach to capture genetic variation associated with disease. Associations between single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and outcomes, such as cancer, are often observed, although the interpretation of these associations is less clear. Associations with tagSNPs can result from being in LD with other diseasecausing SNPs. In silico programs are available to help predict functionality based on their involvement in splicing, transcription, translation, and post-translation [1, 2], although studies have found that the prediction made by these programs do not correspond with associations observed in analytical studies [3]. Unfortunately, a lack of information on functionality of disease-associated SNPs can hamper interpretation of findings. Findings not supported by analysis of functionality are often deemed the result of chance or subjected to rigors of multiple comparisons adjustment. However, there are many ways to determine functionality of a SNP. One method is to determine if gene expression is influenced by SNP genotype. Changes in gene expression associated with specific genotypes provides some indication of functionality of that specific SNP.

The Convergence of Hormones, Inflammation, and Energy-Related Factors (CHIEF) pathway is composed of genes associated with these elements [4]; genetic variants within this pathway have been examined with colorectal cancer, although little is known about the functionality of those SNPs. One arm of the pathway con-

tains a serine/threonine protein kinase 11 STK11 or LKB1 and is involved in the regulation of mTOR, or mammalian target of rapamycin. STK11 responds to changes in cellular energy balance (ATP levels) [5, 6] and governs whole body insulin sensitivity [7, 8]. In cells with excess adenosine monophosphate (AMP) due to altered energy homeostasis, STK11 phosphorylates the AMP-dependent kinase such as PRKAG2 [5, 9-11], which in turn phosphorylates proximal substrates like tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC1 and TSC2). mTOR represses anabolic processes (ATP utilization) and enhances catabolic processes (ATP generation), restoring the system toward normal energy homeostasis. A different portion of the pathway that responds to insulin, estrogen, and androgen, and certain proto-oncogene growth factors contain PTEN (phosphatase tensin homolog deleted on chromosome 10). PTEN, a tumor suppressor, regulates metabolic signaling and is a negative regulator of cell growth in the insulin/IGF signaling pathways. PTEN acts as a metabolic regulator by modulating signaling via the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K; oncogene formal name PIK3CA) and the v-akt murine thymoma viral oncogene homolog 1 (Akt1 also known as protein kinase B or PKB) pathway. Akt1-dependent phosphorylation negatively regulates the functioning of TSC1 and TSC2 and links to inflammation via NFkB [12].

Also involved in the pathway are the ribosomal protein S6 kinase (RPS6K) family which are involved in cell growth and regulation of insulin [13]. RPS6KB proteins are members of the AGC protein kinase family and require3-phosphoinositide-dependent protein kinase-1 (PDK1) phosphorylation for activation [14, 15]. PDK1 mediates the cellular influence of growth factors and insulin by activating both RSK and S6K and is essential for activation of Akt [16] NFkB plays a critical role in the regulation of inflammation and data have shown that RPS6KB is involved in a signaling pathway that involves angiotensin II activation of NFkB [17]. NFkB is an important nuclear transcription factor that regulates cytokines and is critical for the regulation of tumorigenesis, cell proliferation, apoptosis, response to oxidative stress, and inflammation. The IKK complex is a key regulator of NFkB's transcriptional activity. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) regulates S6K and IRS-1, and plays an important role in regulation of cell growth signaling within this pathway [18]; it is a major mediator of tumor angiogenesis [19].

In this study we test two hypotheses. First, we evaluate if variation in genes in the CHIEF pathway associated with colorectal cancer influence expression of those genes in normal colon tissue. Second, using a pathway approach, we assess if genetic variation in genes in this candidate pathway influence expression of other genes in that pathway. We hypothesize that genes in the same pathway could be influenced by variants in other pathway genes.

# Materials and methods

### Tissue samples

Eighty-two de-identified normal frozen colon tissues were obtained from the Cooperative Human Tissue Network (CHTN), and stored at -80°C. The age range of the sample donors was 17 to 92 (mean 60.48); 54% were male and 46% female, and from individuals with Caucasian (n=51), African American (n=23), Asian (n=1), and unknown (n=7) ethnicity.

### Reverse transcription and quantitative realtime PCR

To maximize yield, total RNA was isolated utilizing Trizol (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY) for homogenization, and the RNEasy Mini kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) for isolation using a protocol developed by Mauricio Rodriguez-Lanetty (unpublished) with minor alterations. Briefly, tissues (~25 mg) were homogenized in 150 µL Trizol using the Bullet Blender and stainless steel beads. Homogenate was placed in a new vial with 450 µL Trizol. After adding 100 µL chloroform, vials were shaken well, incubated for 2 minutes at room temperature, centrifuged, and the supernatant was placed in a new vial. An equal part of 100% ethanol was added, and the mixture placed in an RNEasy spin column. RNA was washed and eluted according to the RNEasy protocol. Total DNA and RNA were isolated from normal colon samples using the AllPrep DNA/RNA/Protein Mini Kit (Qiagen).

First strand cDNA synthesis was performed using the High Capacity RNA-to-cDNA kit (ABI, Carlsbad, CA) on 500 ng total RNA as measured by RNA 6000 Nano kit (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA). Quantitative real-time RT-PCR reactions

were performed on the ABI 7900HT Fast Real Time PCR System using Taqman primer/probe sets and Tagman Fast Universal PCR Master Mix no AmpErase® UNG (ABI). Experiments were run per manufacturer's protocol in triplicate on cDNA diluted 1:10 for 50 PCR cycles, retaining those with standard deviations (SD) <1. One observation was removed from the RPS6KB2 and STK11 analyses because the SD among the triplicates was >1. Samples were normalized to β-actin to generate gene expression levels using 2<sup>^</sup>[Ct(β-actin)-Ct(Marker)], where Ct represents the cycle threshold. Samples with  $\beta$ -actin Ct >30 were discarded (n=1 for AKT1, RPS6KB1, RPS6KB2, and VEGFA analyses; n=4 for all other genes). Gene of interest Ct  $\geq$ 40 or undetermined were set to 40 (n=5 for mTOR: n=1 for RPS6KB1 and RPS6KB2: n=0 for all other genes). β-actin was chosen as the housekeeping gene because it has been shown that structural housekeeping genes such as β-actin have less variation in normal colon tissues than metabolic housekeeping genes such as GAPDH [20].

# Case/control study

Associations between genotypes associated with expression and cancer risk come from data from two population-based case-control studies of colon cancer (cases n=1,555; controls n=1,956) and rectal cancer (cases n=754; controls n=959). Colon cancer cases were identified between October 1, 1991 and September 30, 1994 and included people living in the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area, Kaiser Permanente Medical Care Program of Northern California (KPMCP) and a seven-county area of Utah [19]. The rectal cancer study used identical data collection methods as the colon study, except cases came from the entire state of Utah and included incident cases of the rectosigmoid junction or rectum who were diagnosed between May 1997 and May 2001 in Utah and KPMCP [20]. Controls were matched to cases by sex and by 5-year age groups. At KPMCP, controls were randomly selected from membership lists; in Utah, controls 65 years and older were randomly selected from the Health Care Financing Administration lists and younger controls were randomly selected from driver's license lists. Controls were selected from driver's license and state-identification lists in Minnesota. Details of the study have been previously reported [19, 20].

# Genotyping

TagSNPs were selected using the following parameters: LD blocks were defined using a Caucasian LD map and an  $r^2$ =0.8; minor allele frequency (MAF) >0.1; range=-1500 bps from the initiation codon to +1500 bps from the termination codon; and 1 SNP/LD bin. All markers were genotyped using a multiplexed bead-array assay format based on GoldenGate chemistry (Illumina, San Diego, California).

# Statistical analysis for individual SNP effects on expression

Statistical analyses were performed using SAS version 9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Tests for Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) and linkage disequilibrium (LD) measures were calculated and stratified by race using the ALLELE procedure. The program STRUCTURE was used to compute individual ancestry for each sample assuming two founding populations [21, 22]. Higher order population model was assessed, but did not fit the population structure with the same level of repeatability and correlation among runs as the two-founding population model. Participants were classified by level of percent African ancestry. Genetic ancestry was used as a continuous variable when included in the models to adjust for possible confounding.

General linear models adjusted for age, admixture, and sex were used to estimate SNP beta coefficients and their corresponding p values, having applied a log10(2^[ $\Delta$ Ct])+10 transformation to the gene expression values to achieve approximate normality. Co-dominant models were initially used; the best fitting inheritance model is presented. Adjusted medians of the gene expression values were calculated in a manner similar to McGreevy et al using the parameter estimates from the QUANTREG procedure, including the intercept and beta coefficients for genotype and covariates age, admixture, and sex [23]. Percent difference in expression by genotype was calculated as 100 times the absolute difference of the adjusted medians across genotypes divided by the maximum of the absolute value of the adjusted medians. For simplicity the number of genotype categories was restricted to two by substituting the dominant model in place of the additive or co-dominant model. Adjustments for multiple comparisons used the step-down Bonferroni

Cana			Median 2 <sup>ΔCt</sup>		Dereent Difference	Od		
Gene	SINP (Model)	Genotype 1	Genotype 2	Genotype 3	Percent Difference	þ	ρ	
MTOR	rs2024627 (R)	0.0337	0.0146		56.68%	-0.548	0.011	
NFKB1	rs1801ª (R)	0.0655	0.119		44.96%	0.323	0.019	
	rs3821958 <sup>a,b</sup> (R)	0.0688	0.1001		31.27%	0.222	0.032	
	rs3774964 <sup>a,b</sup> (R)	0.0661	0.1034		36.07%	0.243	0.022	
	rs3755867 <sup>a,b</sup> (R)	0.0701	0.1005		30.25%	0.276	0.037	
PRKAG2	rs6965771	0.0921	0.0511	0.0289	47.76%	-0.173	0.013	
	rs7784818	0.1121	0.0681	0.0476	46.38%	-0.172	0.003	
	rs9648724	0.0592	0.0993	0.1224	46.03%	0.212	0.004	
TSC2	rs3087631 (R)	0.0796	-0.047		159.05%	-0.767	0.003	

Table 1. Gene expression influenced by SNPs in genes

<sup>a</sup>Pairwise r<sup>2</sup> values ranging from 0.61 to 0.88 among white population. <sup>b</sup>Pairwise r<sup>2</sup> values ranging from 0.59 to 0.84 among black population. <sup>c</sup>Percent difference=100\*(absolute difference of adjusted medians)/maximum of absolute value of adjusted medians). A dominant model was used in place of additive model in order to limit tables to one value per expression/genotype pair. Significant associations after adjustment for multiple testing are in bold. <sup>d</sup>Median 2<sup>ΔCt</sup> values, β coefficients and corresponding *p* values from models adjusted for age, genetic admixture, and sex.

correction, taking into account the degree of correlation of the SNPs within genes using the SNP spectral decomposition method proposed by Nyholt [24] and modified by Li and Ji [25].

The Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID), which provides functional annotation tools to assist in the understanding of biological meaning of genes when analyzing larger gene lists [26, 27] was used to further examine the candidate genes. The thirteen genes were uploaded to DAVID using their official gene symbol for Homo sapiens. The tools utilized in this study were principally the Pathways and the Functional Annotation Clustering tools. The Pathways tool provides access to six pathway databases; in this analysis we compared the pathways from the PANTHER Classification System and the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) databases, as both databases included all thirteen genes from our list in their pathway analyses. The databases identified a list of pathways that were associated with genes from our gene list, the group of specific genes within each pathway, and provided the P-values (crude and Bonferroni-adjusted for number of genes in the pathway assessed), and the fold enrichment scores for each gene. Only pathways that had a P-value of <0.05 were considered in our analysis. The fold enrichment score measured the amount of enrichment (over-expression) of the pathway in the gene list as compared to the background population. The Functional Annotation Clustering tool groups together heterogeneous but highly similar annotations of the genes by measuring the "degree of co-association" and using this as the basis of the relationship between the annotation terms of the genes in the list [26, 27]. The clusters were also assigned an enrichment score, which ranks the groups according to relative importance; the score is the geometric mean of the individual annotation term enrichment *P*-values. An enrichment score of 1.3 was seen as equivalent to a *P*-value of 0.05, however a higher score indicated more enriched terms within the cluster; therefore any score  $\geq 1.3$  is considered significant.

### Results

Genetic variation in *mTOR*, *NFkB1*, *PRKAG2*, and *TSC2* influenced the expression of their respective genes (**Table 1**). The percent difference in gene expression by genotype ranged from 30% for *NFkB1* rs3755867 to 159% for *TSC2* rs3087631. For *mTOR* rs2024627, *PR-KAG2* rs6965771 and rs7784818, and *TSC2* rs3087631, gene expression decreased for the rare homozygote variant, while for the other SNPs, expression significantly increased in the presence of the rare homozygote variant.

Many more associations between pathway genes and expression of other genes were observed than for within gene SNPs and expression (**Table 2**). AKT1 expression was influenced by *PRKAG2*, *STK11*, and *TSC2* genotypes; IK-BKB expression was altered by *mTOR*, *PIK3CA*, *PRKAG2*, *TSC2*, and *VEGFA*; mTOR expression

Constitute													Ger	ne Exp	ressio	n											
		AM	(T1	IKBKB		MT	OR	NF	KB1	PD	K1	PIK	3CA	PRM	(AG2	PT	EN	RPS	SKB1	RPS	6KB2	ST	<11	TS	C2	VEG	iFA
Gene	SNP (Model)	β <sup>h</sup>	р	β	р	β	р	β	р	β	р	β	р	β	р	β	р	β	р	β	р	β	р	β	р	β	р
AKT1	rs1130214 (R)									-0.45	0.04																
MTOR	rs2295080 (D)			0.33	0.04																						
NFKB1	rs13117745ª																					-0.25	<0.01				
	rs1801 <sup>b</sup> (R)									0.44	0.03	0.28	0.02	0.29	0.02												
	rs3821958 <sup>b,c</sup> (R)											0.19	0.04														
	rs3774964 <sup>b,c</sup> (R)											0.22	0.02														
	rs12509517⁵																			-0.17	0.05					-0.27	0.02
	rs3755867 <sup>b,c</sup> (R)									0.41	0.03																
	rs4648090																					-0.21	0.02				
	rs4648110ª																					-0.24	<.01				
	rs4648127 (D)																	0.32	0.03	0.32	0.05						
PIK3CA	rs7644648 (D)			0.36	0.03					0.35	0.02																
	rs7651265 (D)			0.43	0.02					0.43	0.01											0.25	0.04				
	rs1607237 (R)					-0.48	0.04																				
PRKAG2	rs1362236					-0.32	0.03																			0.27	0.05
	rs1001117 (D)																	-0.23	0.01	-0.22	0.03						
	rs6965771 (D)			-0.38	0.02	-0.43	0.01	-0.30	<0.01	-0.39	0.01	-0.29	<0.01			-0.41	0.03	-0.29	0.01	-0.36	<0.01			-0.31	0.04	-0.31	0.05
	rs7784818 (R)	-0.34	0.02	-0.37	0.04					-0.34	0.04					-0.39	0.05	-0.25	0.03	-0.28	0.03					-0.46	0.01
	rs9648724															0.37	0.01	0.17	0.05								
PTEN	rs1903858 <sup>e</sup> (D)											-0.16	0.05	-0.20	0.02												
	rs2735343 <sup>e</sup> (D)													-0.18	0.03												
RPS6KB1	rs8071475 (R)																					-0.54	<0.01				
	rs1292034 <sup>f</sup>											-0.16	0.04														
STK11	rs8111699 (R)															0.46	0.04										
	rs741765 <sup>g</sup> (R)	0.86	0.03									0.62	0.01	0.83	< 0.01	1.02	0.05	0.57	0.05								
TSC2	rs3087631 (R)	-0.65	0.01	-0.74	0.01			-0.59	<.01	-0.78	0.00	-0.51	<0.01	-0.47	< 0.01	-1.16	<.01									-0.60	0.02
VEGFA	rs3025030					-0.33	0.02																				
	rs3025033			-0.26	0.04							-0.18	0.01														

Table 2. Gene expression influenced by SNPs in genes in the pathway

<sup>a</sup>r<sup>2</sup>=0.81 among white population, 0.66 among black population. <sup>b</sup>Pairwise r<sup>2</sup> values ranging from 0.56 to 0.88 among white population. <sup>c</sup>Pairwise r<sup>2</sup> values ranging from 0.59 to 0.84 among black population. No homozygote variants. <sup>e</sup>r<sup>2</sup>=0.96 among white population, 1.00 among black population. <sup>c</sup>Out of HWE among white population (p=0.0004). <sup>g</sup>Only two homozygote rare variants. <sup>b</sup>β coefficients and corresponding *p* values for models adjusted for age, admixture, and sex; significant associations after adjustment for multiple comparisons in bold fond.

0							G	ene Expres	ssion					
Genotype		AKT1	IKBKB	MTOR	NFKB1	PDK1	<b>PIK3CA</b>	PRKAG2	PTEN	RPS6KB1	RPS6KB2	STK11	TSC2	VEGFA
Gene	SNP (Model)	$PD^{h}$	PD	PD	PD	PD	PD	PD	PD	PD	PD	PD	PD	PD
AKT1	rs1130214 (R)					77.35%								
MTOR	rs2295080 (D)		71.26%											
NFKB1	rs13117745ª											45.36%		
	rs1801 <sup>b</sup> (R)					59.61%	35.90%	57.88%						
	rs3821958 <sup>b,c</sup> (R)						18.80%							
	rs3774964 <sup>b,c</sup> (R)						34.23%							
	rs12509517 <sup>b</sup>										36.21%			58.59%
	rs3755867 <sup>b,c</sup> (R)					63.51%								
	rs4648090											36.07%		
	rs4648110ª											49.21%		
	rs4648127 <sup>d</sup> (D)									60.85%	55.91%			
PIK3CA	rs7644648 (D)		40.62%			45.05%								
	rs7651265 <sup>d</sup> (D)		54.36%			44.67%						31.86%		
	rs1607237 (R)			58.26%										
PRKAG2	rs1362236			34.02%										54.70%
	rs1001117 (D)									48.64%	24.64%			
	rs6965771 (D)		71.68%	61.04%	46.37%	65.12%	46.53%		57.44%	45.52%	31.78%		62.17%	39.32%
	rs7784818 (R)	37.07%	69.22%			46.17%			54.29%	46.63%	42.34%			50.63%
	rs9648724								57.65%	47.56%				
PTEN	rs1903858° (D)						22.81%	36.63%						
	rs2735343 <sup>e</sup> (D)							24.32%						
RPS6KB1	rs8071475 (R)											64.96%		
	rs1292034 <sup>f</sup>						44.16%							
STK11	rs8111699 (R)								68.62%					
	rs741765 <sup>g</sup> (R)	84.70%					81.37%	67.71%	96.12%	66.55%				
TSC2	rs3087631 (R)	198.28%	143.02%		166.18%	146.68%	142.45%	108.38%	150.09%					110.74%
VEGFA	rs3025030			49.59%										
	rs3025033		71.64%				18.87%							

Table 3. Percent difference in expression by genotypes

<sup>a</sup>r<sup>2</sup>=0.81 among white population, 0.66 among black population. <sup>b</sup>Pairwise r<sup>2</sup> values ranging from 0.56 to 0.88 among white population. <sup>c</sup>Pairwise r<sup>2</sup> values ranging from 0.59 to 0.84 among black population. <sup>d</sup>No homozygote rare variants. <sup>e</sup>r<sup>2</sup>=0.96 among white population, 1.00 among black population. 'Out of HWE among white population (p=0.0004). <sup>g</sup>Only two homozygote rare variants. <sup>b</sup>Percent difference (PD)=100\*(absolute difference of adjusted medians)/(maximum of absolute value of adjusted medians); medians adjusted for age, admixture, and sex. Dominate model used in place of additive to limit tables to one value per expression/genotype pair.

	Chromosome					Major/Minor	or/Minor MAF <sup>a</sup>			OR (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>			
Symbol	SNP	Location	Funct	ion	Potential	Allele	NHW	Hispanic	AA	Colon	Rectal		
AKT1	rs1130214	14q32.32	Intronic	TFBS <sup>h</sup>	0.15	G/T	0.29	0.26	0.41	1.25 (0.98, 1.59)	1.29 (0.93, 1.77)		
mTOR	rs2024627	1p36.2	Intronic		NA	C/T	0.26	0.21	0.60	1.16 (1.01, 1.33) <sup>e</sup>	0.97 (0.80, 1.17)		
mTOR	rs2295080	1p36.2	5'UTR	TFBS	0.28	T/G	0.29	0.27	0.77	1.21 (1.06, 1.39)	1.06 (0.88, 1.29)		
NFKB1	rs13117745	4q24	Intronic		0	C/T	0.15	0.10	0.27	0.64 (0.39, 1.05)	1.55 (0.85, 2.79)		
NFKB1	rs1801	4q24	Intronic		0.02	G/C	0.36	0.46	0.29	1.02 (0.84, 1.24)	1.20 (0.92, 1.57)		
NFKB1	rs3821958	4q24	Intronic		0	A/G	0.41	0.47	0.41	1.02 (0.86, 1.22)	1.28 (1.00, 1.64)		
NFKB1	rs3774964	4q24	Intronic		0.15	A/G	0.36	0.43	0.40	1.04 (0.86, 1.26)	1.34 (1.03, 1.75)		
NFKB1	rs12509517	4q24	Intronic		0	G/C	0.29	0.40	0.08	1.04 (0.82, 1.33)	1.44 (1.04, 1.99)		
NFKB1	rs3755867	4q24	Intronic		0	A/G	0.31	0.43	0.32	1.04 (0.84, 1.28)	1.23 (0.91, 1.64)		
NFKB1	rs4648090	4q24	Intronic		0.09	G/A	0.14	0.11	0.15	0.64 (0.37, 1.13)	1.14 (0.57, 2.28)		
NFKB1	rs4648110	4q24	Intronic		0.03	T/A	0.20	0.14	0.29	0.66 (0.46, 0.97)	1.30 (0.81, 2.09)		
NFKB1	rs4648127	4q24	Intronic		0	C/T	0.06	0.03	0.00	1.07 (0.87, 1.31)	1.18 (0.86, 1.61)		
PIK3CA <sup>e</sup>	rs7644648	3q26.3	Unknown		NA	A/G	0.18	0.23	0.39	1.11 (0.96, 1.27)	1.12 (0.91, 1.37)		
РІКЗСА	rs7651265	3q26.3	Unknown		NA	A/G	0.11	0.14	0.11	1.17 (0.61, 2.24)	2.32 (1.02, 5.30)		
PIK3CA	rs1607237	3q26.3	Intronic		NA	T/C	0.41	0.38	0.13	1.02 (0.85, 1.23)	0.88 (0.68, 1.12)		
PRKAG2	rs1362236	7q36.1	Intronic		0	C/T	0.17	0.19	0.05	0.97 (0.64, 1.47)	0.98 (0.55, 1.73)		
PRKAG2	rs1001117	7q36.1	Intronic		0	C/T	0.33	0.26	0.35	0.91 (0.79, 1.04)	1.08 (0.89, 1.31)		
PRKAG2	rs6965771	7q36.1	Intronic		0.09	C/T	0.28	0.31	0.12	0.88 (0.77, 1.00)	0.97 (0.80, 1.18)		
PRKAG2	rs7784818	7q36.1	Intronic		0	A/G	0.49	0.43	0.35	1.01 (0.86, 1.18)	1.28(1.02, 1.61)		
PRKAG2	rs9648724	7q36.1	Intronic	TFBS	0.17	G/A	0.25	0.19	0.17	0.74 (0.56, 1.00)	0.67 (0.43, 1.04)		
PTEN	rs1903858	10q23.3	intronic		0	T/C	0.32	0.39	0.42	0.94 (0.82, 1.08)	1.20 (0.98, 1.46)		
PTEN	rs2735343	10q23.3	intronic		0.20	G/C	0.34	0.40	0.42	0.92 (0.81, 1.06)	1.23 (1.01, 1.50)		
RPS6KB1°	rs8071475	17q23.1	Intronic	TFBS	0	T/C	0.25	0.23	0.35	0.99 (0.87, 1.13) <sup>e</sup>	0.86 (0.71, 1.03)		
RPS6KB1	rs1292034d	17q23.1	Intronic	TFBS	0	T/C	0.45	0.44	0.82	0.92 (0.76, 1.12)	0.85 (0.65, 1.11)		
STK11	rs8111699	19p13.3	Intronic		NA	C/G	0.46	0.54	0.63	1.10 (0.94, 1.29)	1.03 (0.82, 1.29)		
STK11	rs741765	19p13.3	Intronic		0.10	G/A	0.22	0.29	0.22	1.17 (0.87, 1.57)	1.48 (1.01, 2.18)		
TSC2	rs3087631	16p13.3	3'UTR	miRNA <sup>h</sup>	0.08	A/T	0.18	0.17	0.62	0.66 (0.47, 0.93)	0.77 (0.48, 1.23)		
VEGFA	rs3025030	6p12	Intronic		0.01	G/C	0.15	0.19	0.08	1.14 (0.73, 1.80)	0.53 (0.25, 1.11)		
VEGFA	rs3025033	6p12	Intronic		0.31	A/G	0.16	0.23	0.19	1.46 (0.98, 2.18)	0.61 (0.32, 1.15)		

Table 4. Summary of SNPs associated with gene expression

<sup>a</sup>Minor allele frequency (MAF) based on non-Hispanic white (NHW) controls from case/control study (n=2746 NHW; n=150 Hispanic; n=96 African-American (AA). <sup>b</sup>Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) from multiple logistic regression analysis models adjusted for age, center, race, and sex using inheritance models presented in **Tables 1** and **2**; homozygote rare compared to homozygote common for additive model, heterozygote/homozygote rare compared to homozygote common for dominant model, and homozygote rare compared to homozygote common/heterozygote for recessive model. <sup>c</sup>Included *RPS6KB1* tagSNPs in analysis because *RPS6KB1* rs180519 (in high LD with rs1292034) interacts with *PIK3CA* rs7640662 for rectal cancer. <sup>a</sup>TagSNP was not included on case/control study platform so the MAF and ORs refer to rs180519 with G/A major/minor alleles; rs1292034 and rs180519 are in high LD with r<sup>2</sup>=0.965 according to 1000 Genomes. <sup>c</sup>Colorectal ORs and 95% CIs based on dominant model rather than recessive model, as presented in **Tables 1** and **2**. <sup>b</sup>Transcription factor binding site (TFBS). If a non-coding SNP is located at a TFBS of a gene, then it may affect the level, location or timing of gene expression. miRNA binding site prediction can inhibit protein translation through binding to the end of a mRNA. Regulatory Potential Score downloaded from the UCSC genome bioinformatics web site is used for SNPs outside of the coding region.

Gene Group- ings	PANTHER path- ways	p value	Bonfer- roni	Fold En- richment	Gene Group-	KEGG Path- ways	p value	Bonfer- roni	Fold En- richment	Cluster#1	SNP Influence on Gene Expression
PIK3CA, NFKB1, IKBKB	B cell activation <sup>2</sup>	0.048	0.70	7.8	AKT1, PIK3CA, NFKB1, IKBKB	B cell receptor signaling	0.0006	0.03	20.9	*7	NFKB1 -> PIK3CA; PIK3CA -> IKBKB <sup>3</sup>
AKT1, PIK3CA, NFKB1, IKBKB, PTEN	Inflammation mediat- ed by chemokine and cytokine signaling	0.031	0.55	3.6	AKT1, PIK3CA, NFKB1, IKBKB	Chemokine signaling	0.008	0.32	8.4	7	NFKB1 -> PIK3CA; PIK3CA -> IKBKB
AKT1, VEGFA, PIK3CA	VEGF signaling pathway	0.041	0.65	8.5	AKT1, VEGFA, PIK3CA	VEGF signaling	0.013	0.45	15.6	7	VEGFA -I PIK3CA
AKT1, PIK3CA, PTEN	p53 feedback loops 2	0.027	0.49	10.6	AKT1, PIK3CA, PTEN	Endometrial cancer	0.006	0.25	22.6	7	PTEN -I PIK3CA
						Melanoma	0.012	0.42	16.5	7	
AKT1, PIK3CA, NFKB1, IKBKB	Apoptosis signaling	0.015	0.31	6.8	AKT1, PIK3CA, NFKB1, IKBKB	Apoptosis	0.001	0.04	18.0	3, 7	NFKB1 -> PIK3CA; PIK3CA -> IKBKB
AKT1, PIK3CA, NFKB1, IKBKB	T cell activation	0.012	0.26	7.3	PDK1, AKT1, PIK3CA, NFKB1, IKBKB	T cell receptor signaling	0.0008	<0.01	18.1	7	AKT1 -I PDK1; NFKB1 -> PIK3CA; NKFB1 -> PDK1; PIK3CA -> IKBKB; PIK3CA -> PDK1
AKT1, RP- S6KB2, PIK3CA, RPS6KB1, MTOR	PDGF signaling	0.005	0.12	6.1	AKT1, RPS6KB2, PIK- 3CA, RPS6KB1, MTOR	ErbB signaling⁴	<0.0001	<0.01	22.5	1, 7	RPS6KB1 -I PIK3CA; PIK3CA -I MTOR
AKT1, TSC2, PIK3CA, PTEN	Insulin/IGF-protein kinase B signaling cascade	0.005	0.12	10.0	AKT1, PRKAG2, TSC2, RPS6KB2, PIK3CA, RP- S6KB1, MTOR.	Insulin signaling	<0.0001	<0.01	23.2	3	MTOR -> IKBKB; PIK3CA -> IKBKB; PIK- 3CA -I MTOR; PRKAG2 -I MTOR; PRKAG2 -I AKT1; PRKAG2 -I IKBKB; PRKAG2 -I PIK3CA; PRKAG2 -I/> RPS6KB1; PRKAG2 -I RPS6KB2; PRKAG2 -I/> PTEN; PRKAG2
AKT1, RP- S6KB2, PIK3CA, RPS6KB1, PTEN	PI3 kinase	0.001	0.02	9.6	IKBKB						-I TSC2; PTEN -I PRKAG2; PTEN -I PIK3CA; RPS6KB1 -I PIK3CA; TSC2 -I AKT1; TSC2 -I PIK3CA; TSC2 -I PTEN; TSC2 -I PRKAG2
AKT1, PIK3CA, MTOR, PTEN	Hypoxia response via HIF activation	0.0003	0.01	25.1	AKT1, PIK3CA, MTOR, PTEN	Glioma	0.0004	0.02	24.8	3	PIK3CA -I MTOR; PTEN -I PIK3CA
AKT1, STK11, PRKAG2, TSC2, RPS6KB2, RP- S6KB1, MTOR	p53 by glucose deprivation	4.45E- 10	1.11E- 08	54.9	AKT1, STK11, VEGFA, TSC2, RPS6KB2, PIK- 3CA, RPS6KB1, MTOR	mTOR signaling	<0.0001	<0.01	60.2	<b>1</b> , 3, 6 <sup>5</sup>	PIK3CA -I MTOR; PIK3CA -> SKT11; PRKAG2 -I AKT1; PRKAG2 -I MTOR; PRKAG2 -I PIK3CA; PRKAG2 -I RPS6KB1; PRKAG2 -I RPS6KB2; PRKAG2 -I TSC2; PRKAG2 -I VEGFA; TSC2 -I AKT1; TSC2 -I PIK3CA; TSC2 -I PRKAG2; TSC2 -I VEGFA; VEGFA -I MTOR; RPS6KB1 -I PIK3CA; RPS6KB1 -I SKT11; SKT11 -> AKT1; SKT11-> PIK3CA; SKT11 -> PRKAG2; SKT11 -> RPS6KB1

Table 5. A bioinformaticss assessment of convergence of genes in biological pathways

<sup>1</sup>Enrichment Scores for Clusters: 1=4.692, 3=3.072, 6=2.651, 7=2.627. <sup>2</sup>Bolded terms are associated with the cluster reference. <sup>3</sup>-l indicates action blocked; -> indicates enhanced activity; in some instances different SNPs within gene were involved in blocking and enhancing activity. <sup>4</sup>PDGF is a growth factor (protein) regulating cell growth, particularly as it pertains to angiogenesis. ErbB belongs to the EGFR (epidermal growth factor receptor) family and as such ErbB signaling is involved with the development of tumors. <sup>5</sup>Regulation of glucose metabolic process is in cluster 2 and involves AKT1, MTOR, and PRKAG2. Glucose metabolic process is in cluster 4 and contains PDK1, AKT1, PRKAG2 and PIK3CA (AKT1 regulated expression of PDK1).

was influenced by PIK3CA, PRKAG2 and VEGFA; NFkB1 expression was altered by PRKAG2 and TSC2; PDK1 was influenced by AKT1, NFkB1, PIK3CA, PRKAG2, and TSC2; PIK3CA was altered by NFkB1, PRKAG2, PTEN, RPS6KB1, STK11, TSC2, and VEGFA; PRKAG2 expression was altered by NFkB1, PTEN, and TSC2; PTEN was influenced by PRKAG2, STK11, and TSC2; RPS6KB1and RPS6KB2 were influenced by NFkB1 and PRKAG2 with RPS6KB1 also influenced by STK11; STK11 expression was influenced by NFkB1, PIK3CA and RPS6KB1; TSC2 expression was influenced by PRKAG2; and VEGFA expression was influenced by NFkB1, PRKAG2 and TSC2. The percent difference in gene expression across genotypes varied, with ranges from around 35% for many genes to much higher levels of expression as noted for TSC2 rs3087631 which influenced expression of AKT1 (198%), IKBKB (143%), NFkB1 (166%), PDK1 (147%), PIK3CA (142%), PRKAG2 (108%), PTEN (150%), and VEGFA (111%) (Table 3).

Most of the tagSNPs associated with gene expression had limited prior information on potential functionality (**Table 4**). Five SNPs (*AKT1* rs1130214, *mTOR* rs2295080, *PRKAG2* rs9648724, and *RPS6KB1* rs8071475 and rs1292034) were identified as transcriptional factor binding sites and *TSC2* rs3087631 was identified as a miRNA site. Several of these SNPs (n=13) were predicted to have small regulation potential. Corresponding colon and rectal cancer risk associated with these tagSNPs for the most part was modest.

# Discussion

Genes in this candidate pathway, which we labeled CHIEF, were selected because of their involvement in hormones, inflammation, and energetic factors. The genes and SNPs we examined were part of a candidate pathway and were evaluated here because of prior associations with colon and rectal cancer [28]. Our findings suggest that several SNPs we had previously identified as being associated with colon or rectal cancer influenced expression of genes in the pathway. While several SNPs located within genes regulated that gene's expression, gene expression was more frequently altered by SNPs in other genes in the pathway. To further evaluate and validate the inter-relatedness of genes and common functions and pathways, we utilized bioinformatics tools. As shown in **Table 5**, these genes are collectively involved in many pathways that could influence cancer risk.

Gene expression levels were altered for four genes by genetic variants in those genes. mTOR rs2024627, four SNPs in NFkB1 (rs1801, rs3821958, rs3774964, rs3755867), three SNPs in PRKAG2 (rs6965771, rs7784818, and rs9647824), and TSC2 rs3087631 influenced their respective gene expression. All of these genes had been associated with colon or rectal cancer previously in our studies [28]. TSC2 rs3087631 had the greatest impact on TSC2 expression, with a 159% relative difference in expression between the common homozygote and heterozygote variants and the homozygote rare variant and also was associated with levels of expression of several other genes in the pathway. The homozygote rare genotypes of SNPs in *NF*<sub>K</sub>B1 were associated with a slight increase in NFkB1 expression, and with lower levels of pathway gene expression.

As we hypothesized, the majority of variation in gene expression was the result of genetic variants in the pathway influencing expression of other pathway genes. Although a pathway approach to evaluating expression in genes within the pathway is not commonly done, it is reasonable given what we know about the genes and the pathway. We observed that genetic variants in IkBkB, PDK1 and RPS6KB2 did not alter expression of any genes within the pathway, although their expression was altered by several genes. AKT1 is phosphorylated by PDK1 [29], leading to partial activation of AKT. Full activation of AKT1 occurs upon phosphorylation by the TORC2 complex of the mTOR protein kinase. Our findings suggest that variation in AKT1 can decrease expression of PDK1, which in turn may decrease phosphorylation of AKT1. Phosphorylation turns protein activity on and off, altering function at post-translational level. This may imply a feedback loop. Another example of a potential feedback loop in our data is between TSC2 and AKT1. TSC2 functions downstream of Akt and upstream of mTOR and is inactivated when phosphorylated by Akt. Depression of TSC2 in turn would increase activation of mTOR and S6K since TSC2 functions as a tumor suppressor to inhibit cell growth and functions as an inhibitor of mTOR and S6K (RPS6KB) [30]. However in our data TSC2 altered expression of AKT1 rather than AKT1

altering expression of TSC2. IkB has been shown to block the TSC1/TSC2 complex [31], however in our data TSC2 rs3087631 reduced expression of IkBkB.

Our data also show increased gene activation that is supported in the literature. PIK3CA has been shown to activate PDK1 [32] and we observed increased PDK1 expression with *PIK3CA* rs7644648 and rs7651265. NFκB family is involved in many biological pathways and is activated by many stimuli such as cytokines and oxidant-free radicals. PIK3CA is transcriptionally regulated through the NFκB pathway [33] and we observed increased PIK3CA expression with several NFκB SNPs.

AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) is a key regulator of energy homeostasis. The official gene name for AMPK is PRKA with subunits A1, A2, B1, B2, and G1, G2, and G3; we observed associations with colon cancer for the G2 subunit (PRKAG2). In our data PRKAG2 influenced expression of AKT1, IKBkB, MTOR, NFkB1 (which also regulated its expression), PDK1, PIK3CA, PTEN (which also regulated its expression), RPS6KB1, RPS6KB2, TSC2 (which also regulated its expression) and VEGFA. AMPK is involved in numerous pathways. AMPK signaling has been shown to inhibit inflammatory response induced with the NFkB pathway through a non-phosphorylation pathway and has been shown to inhibit oxidative stress [34]. In our data PRKAG2 reduced expression of both IKBkB and NFkB1. In addition to the antiinflammatory mechanisms associated with AMPK, it plays a key role in the LKB1 (STK11)/ AMPK/TSC/mTOR pathway [35]. AMPK can inhibit TSC2 and mTOR along this pathway [36]: mTOR activates S6K. We observed that PRKAG2 was inversely related to expression of TSC2, mTOR, and RPS6KB2. PI3Ks and PTEN feed into this pathway and modulate AMPK expression; variants in PRKAG2 decreased expression of both PIK3CA and PTEN.

TSC2 rs3087631 was associated with reduced expression of several components of this pathway, including AKT1, IKBκB, NFκB1, PDK1, PIK3CA, PRKAG2, PTEN, and VEGFA. TSC2 is central to the mTOR pathway where PTEN suppressing PI3K, PI3K and PDK1 enhances AKT, and AKT inhibits TSC2. AMPK can phosphorylate TSC2 to activate it. The TSC1/TSC2 complex modulates NFκB activity by regulating AKT signaling that activates NFκB [37]. TSC2deficient cells have been shown to have reduced NF $\kappa$ B activation [37]. *TSC2* rs3087631 was inversely related to TSC2 expression as well as expression of NF $\kappa$ B1 and IKB $\kappa$ B.

Several considerations should be made when evaluating these results. First, there were few samples and they included only normal colon tissue. Because of the small sample size it was difficult to evaluate recessive models that may have been associated with colorectal cancer risk. Since the samples were limited to normal colon tissue, we were unable to evaluate expression or changes in gene expression in tumors. Other genes and genetic variants in the pathway may have been important; this study included genes where we previously observed an association with CRC risk. We evaluated functionality by associations with gene expression, however, lack of association with gene expression does not rule out functionality of that gene or SNP. While gene expression studies mainly test the influence of that SNP to alter transcription, SNPs could have an impact through other mechanisms, such as altering protein expression and stability. Thus, while gene expression can provide an indication of functionality of a given SNP, SNPs can be functionally significant without altering gene expression. Finally, gene expression could be influenced by non-genetic factors, including diet and lifestyle factors, that could influence gene expression independently or in conjunction with genetic factors. We were able to only evaluate independent genetic factors in this study.

# Conclusions

In conclusion, several genes in our candidate pathway influenced expression of other genes in that pathway in normal colon tissue. Our results suggest that genetic variation in these genes may importantly regulate expression of other genes in the pathway. The convergence of these genes in several biological pathways involved in cancer further supports their importance to the carcinogenic process.

### Acknowledgements

The study was funded by NCI CA48998 to Dr. Slattery.

# Disclosure of conflict of interest

No authors have any competing interest to report.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Martha L Slattery, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Utah Health Sciences Center, Salt Lake City, Utah 84108, USA. E-mail: marty.slattery@hsc.utah.edu

### References

- Lee PH and Shatkay H. F-SNP: computationally predicted functional SNPs for disease association studies. Nucleic Acids Res 2008; 36: D820-824.
- [2] Yuan HY, Chiou JJ, Tseng WH, Liu CH, Liu CK, Lin YJ, Wang HH, Yao A, Chen YT and Hsu CN. FASTSNP: an always up-to-date and extendable service for SNP function analysis and prioritization. Nucleic Acids Res 2006; 34: W635-641.
- [3] Penney RB, Lundgreen A, Yao-Borengasser A, Koroth-Edavana V, Williams S, Wolff R, Slattery ML and Kadlubar S. Lack of correlation between in silico projection of function and quantitative real-time PCR-determined gene expression levels in colon tissue. Pharmgenomics Pers Med 2013; 6: 99-103.
- [4] Slattery ML and Fitzpatrick FA. Convergence of hormones, inflammation, and energy-related factors: a novel pathway of cancer etiology. Cancer Prev Res (Phila) 2009; 2: 922-930.
- [5] Carling D. Ampk. Curr Biol 2004; 14: R220.
- [6] Hardie DG. Minireview: the AMP-activated protein kinase cascade: the key sensor of cellular energy status. Endocrinology 2003; 144: 5179-5183.
- [7] Carling D. The AMP-activated protein kinase cascade--a unifying system for energy control. Trends Biochem Sci 2004; 29: 18-24.
- [8] Viollet B, Andreelli F, Jorgensen SB, Perrin C, Flamez D, Mu J, Wojtaszewski JF, Schuit FC, Birnbaum M, Richter E, Burcelin R and Vaulont S. Physiological role of AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK): insights from knockout mouse models. Biochem Soc Trans 2003; 31: 216-219.
- [9] Woods A, Johnstone SR, Dickerson K, Leiper FC, Fryer LG, Neumann D, Schlattner U, Wallimann T, Carlson M and Carling D. LKB1 is the upstream kinase in the AMP-activated protein kinase cascade. Curr Biol 2003; 13: 2004-2008.
- [10] Hawley SA, Boudeau J, Reid JL, Mustard KJ, Udd L, Makela TP, Alessi DR and Hardie DG. Complexes between the LKB1 tumor suppressor, STRAD alpha/beta and MO25 alpha/beta are upstream kinases in the AMP-activated protein kinase cascade. J Biol 2003; 2: 28.
- [11] Shaw RJ, Kosmatka M, Bardeesy N, Hurley RL, Witters LA, DePinho RA and Cantley LC. The tumor suppressor LKB1 kinase directly activates AMP-activated kinase and regulates apoptosis

in response to energy stress. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2004; 101: 3329-3335.

- [12] Agarwal A, Das K, Lerner N, Sathe S, Cicek M, Casey G and Sizemore N. The AKT/I kappa B kinase pathway promotes angiogenic/metastatic gene expression in colorectal cancer by activating nuclear factor-kappa B and betacatenin. Oncogene 2005; 24: 1021-1031.
- [13] Slattery ML, Lundgreen A, Herrick JS and Wolff RK. Genetic variation in RPS6KA1, RPS6KA2, RPS6KB1, RPS6KB2, and PDK1 and risk of colon or rectal cancer. Mutat Res 2011; 706: 13-20.
- [14] Shahbazian D, Roux PP, Mieulet V, Cohen MS, Raught B, Taunton J, Hershey JW, Blenis J, Pende M and Sonenberg N. The mTOR/PI3K and MAPK pathways converge on eIF4B to control its phosphorylation and activity. Embo J 2006; 25: 2781-2791.
- [15] Pearce LR, Komander D and Alessi DR. The nuts and bolts of AGC protein kinases. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2010; 11: 9-22.
- Bayascas JR. Dissecting the role of the 3-phosphoinositide-dependent protein kinase-1 (PDK1) signalling pathways. Cell Cycle 2008; 7: 2978-2982.
- [17] Zhang L, Ma Y, Zhang J, Cheng J and Du J. A new cellular signaling mechanism for angiotensin II activation of NF-kappaB: An IkappaBindependent, RSK-mediated phosphorylation of p65. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2005; 25: 1148-1153.
- [18] Watson CJ, Webb NJ, Bottomley MJ and Brenchley PE. Identification of polymorphisms within the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) gene: correlation with variation in VEGF protein production. Cytokine 2000; 12: 1232-1235.
- [19] Waldner MJ, Wirtz S, Jefremow A, Warntjen M, Neufert C, Atreya R, Becker C, Weigmann B, Vieth M, Rose-John S and Neurath MF. VEGF receptor signaling links inflammation and tumorigenesis in colitis-associated cancer. J Exp Med 2010; 207: 2855-2868.
- [20] Rubie C, Kempf K, Hans J, Su T, Tilton B, Georg T, Brittner B, Ludwig B and Schilling M. Housekeeping gene variability in normal and cancerous colorectal, pancreatic, esophageal, gastric and hepatic tissues. Mol Cell Probes 2005; 19: 101-109.
- [21] Falush D, Stephens M and Pritchard JK. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data: linked loci and correlated allele frequencies. Genetics 2003; 164: 1567-1587.
- [22] Pritchard JK, Stephens M and Donnelly P. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. Genetics 2000; 155: 945-959.
- [23] McGreevy KM, Lipsitz SR, Linder JA, Rimm E and Hoel DG. Using median regression to ob-

tain adjusted estimates of central tendency for skewed laboratory and epidemiologic data. Clin Chem 2009; 55: 165-169.

- [24] Nyholt DR. A simple correction for multiple testing for single-nucleotide polymorphisms in linkage disequilibrium with each other. Am J Hum Genet 2004; 74: 765-769.
- [25] Li J and Ji L. Adjusting multiple testing in multilocus analyses using the eigenvalues of a correlation matrix. Heredity 2005; 95: 221-227.
- [26] Huang da W, Sherman BT and Lempicki RA. Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nat Protoc 2009; 4: 44-57.
- [27] Huang da W, Sherman BT and Lempicki RA. Bioinformatics enrichment tools: paths toward the comprehensive functional analysis of large gene lists. Nucleic Acids Res 2009; 37: 1-13.
- [28] Slattery ML, Herrick JS, Lundgreen A, Fitzpatrick FA, Curtin K and Wolff RK. Genetic variation in a metabolic signaling pathway and colon and rectal cancer risk: mTOR, PTEN, STK11, RPKAA1, PRKAG2, TSC1, TSC2, PI3K and Akt1. Carcinogenesis 2010; 31: 1604-1611.
- [29] Vanhaesebroeck B and Alessi DR. The PI3K-PDK1 connection: more than just a road to PKB. Biochem J 2000; 346 Pt 3: 561-576.
- [30] Inoki K, Li Y, Zhu T, Wu J and Guan KL. TSC2 is phosphorylated and inhibited by Akt and suppresses mTOR signalling. Nat Cell Biol 2002; 4: 648-657.
- [31] Krakstad C and Chekenya M. Survival signalling and apoptosis resistance in glioblastomas: opportunities for targeted therapeutics. Mol Cancer 2010; 9: 135.

- [32] Medina JR. Selective 3-phosphoinositide-dependent kinase 1 (PDK1) inhibitors: dissecting the function and pharmacology of PDK1. J Med Chem 2013; 56: 2726-2737.
- [33] Yang N, Huang J, Greshock J, Liang S, Barchetti A, Hasegawa K, Kim S, Giannakakis A, Li C, O'Brien-Jenkins A, Katsaros D, Butzow R, Coukos G and Zhang L. Transcriptional regulation of PIK3CA oncogene by NF-kappaB in ovarian cancer microenvironment. PLoS One 2008; 3: e1758.
- [34] Salminen A, Hyttinen JM and Kaarniranta K. AMP-activated protein kinase inhibits NF-kappaB signaling and inflammation: impact on healthspan and lifespan. J Mol Med (Berl) 2011; 89: 667-676.
- [35] van Veelen W, Korsse SE, van de Laar L and Peppelenbosch MP. The long and winding road to rational treatment of cancer associated with LKB1/AMPK/TSC/mTORC1 signaling. Oncogene 2011; 30: 2289-2303.
- [36] Hardie DG and Alessi DR. LKB1 and AMPK and the cancer-metabolism link - ten years after. BMC Biol 2013; 11: 36.
- [37] Ghosh S, Tergaonkar V, Rothlin CV, Correa RG, Bottero V, Bist P, Verma IM and Hunter T. Essential role of tuberous sclerosis genes TSC1 and TSC2 in NF-kappaB activation and cell survival. Cancer Cell 2006; 10: 215-226.